



## RESEARCH ARTICLE

### DALI FINDS UNFOLDING OF A HIDDEN REALITY

Dilip Kumar Bhowmik

6 Friends Road, Bhowmik Para , Kolkata – 700075

#### ARTICLE INFO

**Article History:**

Received 14<sup>th</sup> January, 2026  
Received in revised form  
24<sup>th</sup> February, 2026  
Accepted 25<sup>th</sup> March, 2026  
Published online 30<sup>th</sup> April, 2026

#### ABSTRACT

It is said that the gravitational pull of a celestial body like earth is  $8.9^2$  meters/sec. It is absolutely wrong because the earth surface is 40000 km. As it rotates hence body that falls on it and takes 1sec to reach the earth covers more. The earth move on it's axis about 463 meters accordingly the distance covered by the body to reach the earth surface is 463 meters and so. What happens in such a situation have been shown by us and the effect of it on the known decision in astrophysics.

**Keywords:**

Mathematically - In an Increasing Manner  
-Viable- Rotational Pull- Proportionally  
Fixed.

**\*Corresponding author:**  
Dilip Kumar Bhowmik

Copyright©2026, Dilip Kumar Bhowmik. 2026. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.


Citation: Dilip Kumar Bhowmik, 2026. "Dali finds unfolding of a hidden reality". *International Journal of Current Research*, 18, (04), 36998-37002.

## INTRODUCTION

It is said that the gravitational force is  $8.9$  metres per second<sup>2</sup> means a thing touches the earth we call falls on earth in one second, if it starts falling from  $8.9$  metres away. But if we take circumference of the earth to be  $40\ 000$  killo metres and it takes  $24$  hours to complete a rotation on its centre piercing imaginary rod then the earth rotates,

$$\frac{400000 \times 1000}{24 \times 60 \times 60} \text{ or } 463 \text{ metres on its circumference or Surface per second.}$$

Hence, if a thing comes to the earth from a distanced of  $1$  second, the earth touching point shifts by rotational direction.  $463$  metres towards the earth's rotational direction. in consequence the actual distance covered by the thing to touch the earth is



that is  $\sqrt{(\overline{AB}^2 + \overline{BC}^2)}$  or  $\overline{AC}$

Though we consider  $AC$  to be straight line for simplicity, actually  $AC$  is to some extent curved as the move of the earth is rotational. Here we see, though the distance covered in one second is  $\overline{AB}$  actually it covers  $\overline{AC}$  distance. Now if  $2$  second is taken to cover a distance of  $A_1, B$ , then the distance covered is  $\sqrt{d^2 + (2 \times 463)^2}$  Here 'd' is the new distance from  $A_1$  to  $B$ . So the change of pull the rotational pull of the earth is:  $\sqrt{(d^2 + (2 \times 463)^2)} - \sqrt{(\overline{AB}^2 + \overline{BC}^2)}$ . Now if  $\sqrt{(d^2 + (2 \times 463)^2)} - \sqrt{(\overline{AB}^2 + \overline{BC}^2)}$  remains same as  $AB$ . We can say the pull is same for both the distances.


If the distance  $d-AB$  or  $AB$  is less than  $A_1B$ , then the pull is increasing. If the difference  $d-AB$  is greater than  $AB$  then the pull is decreasing, the indication is clear if At the time of coming to the earth  $AC$  increases proportionally the pull is increasing. On the other way if the distance taken to be  $2AB$   $3AB$  and so on and the time taken to reach the earth varies proportionally then the rotational pull is surely varying. And the measure of variation is :

If time taken to cover  $2AB-AB$  is less than time taken to cover  $AB$  then the pull is decreasing. If time taken to cover  $2AB-AB$  is greater than time taken to cover  $AB$  then the pull is increasing.

And now to measure the pull is simple

$$\frac{2AB - AB}{time}, \frac{3AB - 2AB}{time}, \frac{4AB - 3AB}{time}$$

And the situation is like



That is increasing or decreasing. Hence  $BA$  is the vertical pull of the earth on a matter as we see But  $\overline{AC}$  slop pull of the earth on a thing as happens in the universe. In this universe the rotation of a mass lump is not like the rotation of a ring or a wheel proportionally fixed at every point. This is because, in case of a wheel the circumference of the wheel is fixed with the spoke. As a result the rotation of a hub and the rotation of the circumference is fixed proportionally, but in the universe there is no such binding spoke and consequentially the rotational proportion is not fixed as in case of a wheel rather gradually circular that is spiral. When a mass starts rotating the pull of rotation gradually reduces with the increase of distance in a shape gradually from circular to spiral. Then, when all the surrounding small mass feel the pull and gradually come to the whirling mass lump or fall on that, a change is seen in the motion of the falling matters. There are many reason factors volume mass distance friction direction just as is seen in case of a whirling water body in a tumbler. Now as the reality is this, then does the relation  $E = MC^2$  stand? With the move of the earth or any celescial bodylump the motion of a running thing changes in an increasing manner. In such a situation 'c' is ever changing.

Now if and when 'c' is increasing 'E' hikes in leaps and bounds due to the 'square' given in the formulation. And that is for 1 unit increase in speed energy increment is  $6 \times 10^5$  or  $300000+300001$  or  $600001$  But, is it possible in the universe?

If it is possible then any amount of energy can be derived by increasing the speed of light mathematically. Do not that mathematics that shows like this is wrong?


Is it reliable !

Here from the above arises another question, why in the formulation  $C^2$  why the square of the speed of light?

What is the relation of speed of light to create energy and that is also  $9 \times 10^{10}$  times the mass? Suppose mass is 1 kilo then the energy created by this mass  $9 \times 10^{10}$  K.G. Is not that in the universe the things are happening in the other way round ,

Do not we see that the energy creates thud that pushes and the effect is speed? Great speed at times. In this context we like to present something with related matter. Our time, circle measure and geo circumference are related, used in every day move but the present Sumer system of time and circle measures are '6' based. As a result the relations show complex hard mathematical that is numerical results, hard and taught to handle. The relations are watch time, measure of circle and geo circumference are all co-related in our daily move as well as in the astronomy better say in the cosmogeny.

the results are



$$1 \text{ hour} = \frac{360^\circ}{24} \text{ or } 15^\circ \quad 1 \text{ minute} = \frac{360^\circ}{24 \times 60} = 360 \text{ or } 0.25^\circ \quad 1 \text{ second} = \frac{360^\circ}{24 \times 60 \times 60} \text{ or } 1/24^\circ$$

$$\text{Again } 1 \text{ hour} = \frac{40000}{24 \times 360} \text{ or } 111.111\dots$$

Hence our proposed is :

Let us take a new system, I mean relation that is soft and easy understandable.

100 seconds 50 minutes - 20 hours    400 degrees    40000 kms

And the softened relations are

$$1 \text{ second} = \frac{400}{20 \times 50 \times 100} \text{ or other } 0.004^0$$

$$1 \text{ minutes} = \frac{400}{20 \times 50} \text{ or } 0.4^0$$

$$1 \text{ hour} = \frac{400}{20} \text{ or } 20^0$$

Similarly:

$$1 \text{ second} = \frac{40000}{20 \times 50 \times 100} \text{ or } 400 \text{ metres.}$$

$$1 \text{ minute} = \frac{40000}{20 \times 50} \text{ or } 40 \text{ Kms}$$

$$1 \text{ hour} = \frac{40000}{20} \text{ or } 2000 \text{ kms}$$

And

$$1^0 = \frac{40000}{400} \text{ or } 100 \text{ kms}$$

$$\text{Again } 1^0 = \frac{100000}{400} \text{ 250 seconds}$$

$$1^0 = 5 \text{ minutes}$$

$$1^0 = 0.05 \text{ hours}$$

$$1 \text{ KM}$$

$$2.5 \text{ seconds}$$

$$1 \text{ KM} \quad 0.025 \text{ minutes}$$

$$1 \text{ KM} \quad 0.001 \text{ hour}$$

Are not these soft and sweet !

From the previous lines, it is obvious that a rotational body has a great relational attraction. The more the relational speed the more its attraction power on other matters, what ever may its size be. It is said that when light passes by a high rotational speed mass body, due to the rotational pull the path of light tilts to some extent from its original line of move.


This is not due to inter dependent contained environ". The "inter dependent contained environ works" when anything is swithin the "environ".

Here it happens due to by passing of light by a strong rotational mass body. This we experience in our daily move. When a high speed car moves by us it tilts our move to some extent. It is normal in the universe, as all attraction in this universe is due to rotation though we do not know why a body starts rotating. The "idea" that an external force does this is a vague one because every force has an external force, in this idea". If we start seeking the line of origin of the external force will find exhausted but no end. But in reality we found our body works without any external force. We say" automatic", but do not know reason of this "automatic". It is obvious that a strong rotational body tilts the position of anything, that is smaller, this is visible.

It is seen on a "Solar eclipse" day. It was viewed that a star is visible at two places in the sky by two sides of a highly rotating big mass body. This is normal due to change of directional path of the rays from the star while it was passing by the highly rotating big mass body between the star and our eye.

This is mirror effect. Due to this effect the star seems to be somewhere else as in mirror we see image of a thing somewhere else from its, original position. The effect is also visible while we see something is water dipped due to refraction of light path from thick to light medium and vice versa.


This is like this



Here the high mass body's is in between the star and the onlookers eye and rotation in left direction. Hence when the rays from the star passes by side A of the body high rotational attraction distracted the rays to the on looker's eye. And the on looker for mirror effect, sees the star as if at position '2'


It is normal.

But strangely enough, The observers say they have seen the same star at two positions. That cannot be because it requires the in between mass body to rotate in both the direction, left as well as right at the same time, that is absurd. If they saw that at two positions like this:



Then it is very much curious one. The ray of light should go from the star to  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  direction but the observers say, That they saw the star in two mirror effect positions, 2 and '3' though the in between body rotates in left direction. This is a matter of great curiosity if such happens at all.

If such happens then there must be a high rotating body in between the star and the mass body 's' and it rotates in right direction like this.



Here we see that the ray of light that was moving to  $D_3$  from the star, Reflected right ward at point C of  $S_1$ . This can be proved, if we measure the angles of rays of light at the on lookerseyes from the mirror points 'A' and 'C' of  $S_1$  curious event indeed.