



International Journal of Current Research Vol. 10, Issue, 11, pp.75557-75560, November, 2018

DOI: https://doi.org/10.24941/ijcr.32915.11.2018

#### RESEARCH ARTICLE

# CHILOTIC LINE AND OTHER NON-METRIC MORPHOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS AS GENDER DETERMINANTS. A STUDY OF DRY HUMAN ADULT HIP BONE IN SOUTH INDIAN POPULATION

### Dr. Pranu Chakravarthy and \*Dr. Shifan Khanday

Assistant professor, Dept of Anatomy, Associate member Royal college of Physicians, Dubai Medical College, London

# **ARTICLE INFO**

#### Article History:

Received 19<sup>th</sup> August, 2018 Received in revised form 26<sup>th</sup> September, 2018 Accepted 18<sup>th</sup> October, 2018 Published online 30<sup>th</sup> November, 2018

#### Key Words:

Innominate Bone, Sex Determination, Ossified, Chilotic Line.

#### **ABSTRACT**

Innominate bone or the hip bone is a large and irregular bone. Determination of gender and outcome of normal delivery are main study area that the bone helps in, attributing to its irregularity and variables it serves as an interesting and yielding by many variables. It is a bone of interest from anatomical, anthropological and forensic fields. This study is aimed to find out the sex from an intact full adult ossified hip bone in South Indian population.

Copyright © 2018, Pranu Chakravarthy and Shifan Khanday. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Dr. Pranu Chakravarthy and Dr. Shifan Khanday. 2018. "Chilotic line and other non-metric morphometric measurements as gender determinants .A study of dry human adult hip bone in South Indian population.", International Journal of Current Research, 10, (11), 75557-75560.

## INTRODUCTION

Ossa Extremitatis Inferioris, Os Coxaeor in nominate bone are the names given to the hip bone. The hip bone is a large, flattened, irregularly shaped bone, constricted in the center and expanded above and below. It meets its fellow on the opposite side in the middle line in front, and together they form the sides and anterior wall of the pelvic cavity. It consists of three parts, the ilium, ischium, and pubis, which are distinct from each other in the young subject, but are fused in the adult; the union of the three parts takes place in and around a large cupshaped articular cavity, the acetabulum, which is situated near the middle of the outer surface of the bone. The ilium, socalled because it supports the flank, is the superior broad and expanded portion which extends upward from the acetabulum. The ischium is the lowest and strongest portion of the bone; it proceeds downward from the acetabulum, expands into a large tuberosity, and then, curving forward, forms, with the pubis, a large aperture, the obturator foramen. The pubis extends medial ward and downward from the acetabulum and articulates in the middle line with the bone of the opposite side: it forms the front of the pelvis and supports the external organs of generation (Gray's anatomy). Gender determination is considered as one of the essential parameters in forensic anthropology casework, and requires foremost consideration in the examination of skeletal remains.

\*Corresponding author: Dr. Shifan Khanday,

Assistant professor, Dept of Anatomy, Associate member Royal college of Physicians, Dubai Medical College, London.

It is possible to determine the sex by visual examination of the hip bone as reported by Asala *et al* (1998). The sexual dimorphism of hip bone is a special adaptation in the females for childbearing. Therefore, awareness of the average dimensions of the hip bone in both sexes will help in detection of sex by forensic experts disputed cases. If the sex of unknown skeleton is accessed correctly, then further investigations are likely to be more accurate and separate male and female standards may be then used for estimation of age (Asala, 1998). There is natural anatomical variation to set norms within each sex. These variations are also affected by factors like genetic, ethnic, environment and culture (Leong, 2006).

## **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

80 hip bones of unknown gender were chosen. Unpaired unknown of sex human ossified adult hip bones were included in this study. Bones included are from the Anatomy department of Sri Ramchandra institute of higher education and research (DU), Chennai. Fully ossified, intact, well preserved bones are included in this study. All hip bones were adult type and without any signs of erosion.

**Institutional ethics committee reference number:** IEC-NI/18/JUL/65/43

Following parameters were studied:

**Inclusion criteria:** Healthy hip bones.

Exclusion criteria: The hip bones that have been eroded, malformed and deformed

Osteometric parameters: Gender identification of the hip bones was done on apparent and visible features like angle of grater sciatic notch, Ischiopubic ramus, shape of Obturator foramen, prominence of Pre- auricular sulcus and Acetabular diameter. According to the above mentioned non-metrical parameters sex of the hip bone can be determined by the presence or absence of these characters, hip bones were classified as female bones due to the presence of PAG, the trait only found in female hip bones. The following characteristic were found with the increasing order of their presence in the hip bone to classify male or female hip bone, (1) MOC, (2) PR, (3) IPR aspect, (4) PT, (5) para AG, (6) CA, and (7) PAG. Accordingly, 40 bones were classified as male hip bones and 40 hip bones were classified as female hip bones. Only fully ossified adult hip bones were included in the present study. Hip bones showing wear and tear, any fracture, or pathology were excluded. The metrical parameter taken under study is CI. Using Vernier calipers, the chilotic line was measured (Figures d, e).

The CI is calculated as follows: CI =Sacral segment/ Pelvic segment × 100. Non metric studies like weight are taken in grams by an electronic weighing machine (Fig. 1). Metric method studies are done by putting the bone over the flat surface of the table against the wall and a osteometric board on the other side. Measurements taken are with the help of a metallic scale in cm.

# The parameters included in the study:

- Weight: Bones are weighed by an electronic weighing machine.
- Length: Maximum distance between iliac crest and ischium. (Fig. 2)
- Width: Maximum distance between anterior and Posterior ends of iliac crest (Fig. 3)
- Coxal index, calculated by the formula Width of hip bone / Length of hip bone × 100

#### RESULTS

Out of the 80 hip bones ,40 are male and 40 females. The mean weight of male hip bone is 137.95gm. The mean of length for male hip bone 20.25cm and the mean width for female hip bone 18.46cm. The Coxal index for males was 73. The mean weight of female hip bone is 111. 77gm. The mean length 18.46cm and the mean width of 14. 58cm. The Coxal index for females was 78.98. The pelvic segment of the male hip bones falls in the range of 45-65mm, with the mean value of 54.47 mm, whereas the pelvic segment of the chilotic line for females lie in the range of 57.1-101.9 mm, with the mean value of 62.7 mm. While P and t values were 0.0001 and 5.8177, respectively. The sacral segment of male hip bones falls in the range of 51-73mm, with the mean value of 63mm, whereas the sacral segment of the chilotic line for females lie in the range of 41-58mm, with the mean value of 48.67mm. While P and t values were 0.0001 and 10.8844, respectively. The CI for male lies in the range of 87.9-155mm, with the mean value of 115.7 and for females the CI lies in the range of 57.1-101.9mm, with the mean value of 77.6. While P and t values were found to be 0.0001 and 7.8437, respectively.



Fig a: Measurement of weight of hip bone.



Fig b. Measurement of length of hip bone



Fig c. Measurement of width of hip bone





Fig d: Measurement of sacral segment

Fig e: Measurement of pelvic segment

Table 1.Measurements of Weight (gm) length(cm), width (cm), Sacral segment(mm)t and pelvic segments(mm)of Male Hip Bone

| Weight (gm) | Length(cm) | Width(cm) | SACRAL SEGMENT (mm) | PELVIC SEGMENT(mm) |
|-------------|------------|-----------|---------------------|--------------------|
| 138         | 18.5       | 14        | 69                  | 55                 |
| 123         | 19.4       | 14.2      | 53                  | 60                 |
| 131         | 20.8       | 15.3      | 68                  | 58                 |
| 125         | 20.4       | 14.5      | 51                  | 58                 |
| 117         | 20.1       | 14.4      | 70                  | 55                 |
| 175         | 20.5       | 14.7      | 64                  | 55                 |
| 130         | 20.1       | 15.7      | 71                  | 53                 |
| 141         | 21.5       | 15        | 73                  | 64                 |
| 146         | 22         | 16.5      | 62                  | 49                 |
| 125         | 20.4       | 14.5      | 56                  | 60                 |
| 118         | 20.2       | 14.4      | 52                  | 54                 |
| 174         | 20.4       | 14.7      | 61                  | 56                 |
| 130         | 20.1       | 15.7      | 58                  | 58                 |
| 122         | 19.3       | 14.2      | 59                  | 54                 |
| 130         | 20.7       | 15.3      | 62                  | 40                 |
| 136         | 20.3       | 14.6      | 60                  | 52                 |
| 127         | 21         | 14.3      | 63                  | 57                 |
| 160         | 21.5       | 14.5      | 72                  | 53                 |
| 140         | 20         | 14.7      | 62                  | 50                 |
| 152         | 18.9       | 15        | 63                  | 45                 |
| 138         | 21         | 14.2      | 65                  | 49                 |
| 143         | 19.8       | 14.5      | 61                  | 54                 |
| 139         | 19.6       | 15.2      | 59                  | 51                 |
| 128         | 21.1       | 14        | 72                  | 53                 |
| 134         | 20.6       | 14.6      | 68                  | 54                 |
| 160         | 21.2       | 13.9      | 75                  | 53                 |
| 137         | 20.5       | 15        | 73                  | 52                 |
| 146         | 18.8       | 14.6      | 60                  | 58                 |
| 126         | 19         | 14.3      | 44                  | 53                 |
| 130         | 20         | 14.5      | 63                  | 60                 |
| 130         | 20.3       | 15.5      | 61                  | 54                 |
| 147         | 21.5       | 15.8      | 64                  | 52                 |
| 144         | 18.9       | 14.6      | 68                  | 59                 |
| 132         | 20.2       | 15.2      | 61                  | 54                 |
| 142         | 21         | 14.9      | 61                  | 56                 |
| 130         | 20.3       | 15.2      | 62                  | 54                 |
| 144         | 19         | 14.7      | 64                  | 51                 |
| 152         | 20.2       | 15.1      | 60                  | 62                 |
| 135         | 19.8       | 14.9      | 68                  | 55                 |
| 141         | 21.3       | 14.8      | 63                  | 59                 |

## **DISCUSSION**

Anatomists can give expert opinion regarding sex and age of the individual from the skeletal remains found under suspicious conditions. In medico legal cases, there is necessary to determination of sex and age from the available intact bones or skeletal remains. A general rule is male bones are heavier and more massive than female bones. In this study also, the mean weight of male bone is 137.95gms which is more than the mean weight of female which is 111.77gms. Mean values of various parameters from different regions show significantly different values and therefore demarking point (DP) has to be calculated. The Coxal index in present study is 71.56 on right side and 70.85 on left side. The values are consistent with values taken by Garson in Andamanese, Peruvian, New Caledonian and Savage Islander

Table 2. Measurements of Weight (gm) length(cm), width (cm), Sacral segment(mm) and pelvic segments(mm)of Female Hip Bone.

| WEIGHT(gm) | LENGTH(cm) | WIDTH(cm) | SACRAL SEGMENT (mm) | PELVIC SEGMENT (mm) |
|------------|------------|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 99         | 18.5       | 15.7      | 45                  | 63                  |
| 117        | 18.8       | 14.5      | 53                  | 63                  |
| 136        | 17.9       | 14.5      | 50                  | 68                  |
| 113        | 19.7       | 14.3      | 51                  | 55                  |
| 108        | 18         | 14.6      | 40                  | 70                  |
| 105        | 18.1       | 14.5      | 58                  | 63                  |
| 116        | 18.8       | 14.5      | 42                  | 62                  |
| 136        | 17.9       | 14.5      | 54                  | 72                  |
| 112        | 19.7       | 14.3      | 55                  | 69                  |
| 109        | 18         | 14.6      | 43                  | 64                  |
| 135        | 17.8       | 14.5      | 52                  | 67                  |
| 112        | 18.2       | 14.4      | 51                  | 77                  |
| 110        | 18.3       | 14.3      | 57                  | 74                  |
| 104        | 17.6       | 14.6      | 58                  | 74                  |
| 100        | 17.8       | 14        | 46                  | 72                  |
| 98         | 17.9       | 14.2      | 42                  | 64                  |
| 110        | 18         | 14.1      | 57                  | 67                  |
| 106        | 18.4       | 13.9      | 54                  | 60                  |
| 95         | 20         | 14.6      | 51                  | 64                  |
| 126        | 19.1       | 14.2      | 44                  | 60                  |
| 111        | 18.7       | 15        | 45                  | 63                  |
| 120        | 18.5       | 14.6      | 48                  | 54                  |
| 104        | 19         | 15.2      | 42                  | 70                  |
| 113        | 17.5       | 14        | 41                  | 53                  |
| 123        | 19.2       | 15.6      | 44                  | 54                  |
| 89         | 17.8       | 14.7      | 46                  | 62                  |
| 102        | 18.8       | 14.1      | 49                  | 68                  |
| 126        | 19.1       | 14.2      | 41                  | 58                  |
| 111        | 18.7       | 15        | 44                  | 53                  |
| 120        | 18.5       | 14.6      | 50                  | 60                  |
| 104        | 19         | 15.2      | 44                  | 65                  |
| 113        | 17.5       | 14        | 46                  | 58                  |
| 123        | 19.2       | 15.6      | 50                  | 59                  |
| 108        | 18.1       | 14.1      | 55                  | 54                  |
| 112        | 19.8       | 15        | 47                  | 56                  |
| 109        | 18.6       | 14.3      | 52                  | 60                  |
| 103        | 18         | 14.6      | 49                  | 51                  |
| 114        | 17.6       | 15.3      | 51                  | 62                  |
| 121        | 19.2       | 14.5      | 53                  | 61                  |
| 98         | 17.3       | 14.8      | 47                  | 59                  |

populations. In this study, it is seen that the CI differentiates sex except in the range of 85-105 where overlapping values is seen in both sexes, therefore the probability of the bone belonging to male is higher if the CI is more than 105 and to females if the CI is <85.

#### Conclusion

Generally male bones are heavier then female bones. The mean weight of hip bone on right side is less than left side in both sexes. The mean length & width of hip bone on left side is also more than right side in both sexes. The values are similar to other Indian studies. The Coxal index in present study is 73 for males and 78.98 for females. From this study, it is concluded that the total chilotic line in males is longer than in females. The CI for male lies in the range of 87.9-155mm, with the mean value of 115.7 and for females the CI lies in the range of 57.1-101.9mm, with the mean value of 77.6. While P and t values were found to be 0.0001 and 7.8437, respectively therefore from this study it is seen that average values of CI are more in males then in females. From the present study, it is also seen that few hip bones about 12% in females and 23% in males have overlapping values of CI lying in the range of 85-105, therefore from the present study of CI about 77% of hip bones were accurately classified as male hip bones and about 88% of hip bones were classified as female hip bones, from the present study, it is also concluded that the bones having the values of CI<85 belongs to females and the hip bones having the values of CI above 105 belongs to males.

## **REFERENCES**

Asala SA *et al.* 1998. A comparative study of femoral head diameters and sex differentiation in Nigerians. Acta Anat (Basel). 162:232-237.

Chhibber SR., Singh I. 1970. Asymmetry in muscle weight and one side dominance in the human lower limbs. *J Anat.*, 106:553-6.

Garson JG. 1881. Pelvimetry. J Anat Physiol., 16:106-34.

Gray's. Anatomy of the human body; 41<sup>st</sup>Edition.

Kalpana Purohit, et al. 2018. International Journal of Applied Research., 4(1): 373-376

Kanika Sachdeva *et al.* 2014. Role of ilium in sexual dimorphism of hip bone: a morphometric study in north Indian population, *Int J Anat Res.*, 2(3):524-32. ISSN 2321-4287

Kishor Dattatray Khushale *et al.* 2016. Indian Journal of Clinical Anatomy and Physiology. 3(4):518-525.

Leong A. 2006. Sexual dimorphism of the pelvic architecture; a struggling response to destructive and parsimonious forces by natural and mate selection, *McGill J Med.*, 9(1):61-66

Maruyama M., Feinberg JR., Capello WN., D'Antonio JA. 2001. Morphologic features of the acetabulum and femur. *Clin Orthop. Rela Res.*, 393:52-65.

Raju PB., Singh S. 1978. Sexual dimorphism in scapula. *J Indian Acad Forensic Sci.*, 17(2):23-33. 9.

Singh I. 1970. Functional asymmetry in the lower limbs. *Acta Anat* (Basel).77:131-8