



RESEARCH ARTICLE

MANAGEMENT OF CLAVICLE FRACTURES IN ADULTS

Kanav Mahajan, *Mohinder Singh Chib and Sanjeev Gupta

GMC Jammu, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 14th March, 2017
Received in revised form
25th April, 2017
Accepted 23rd May, 2017
Published online 30th June, 2017

Key words:

Clavicle,
Plating,
Nailing.

ABSTRACT

Clavicle fractures have an incidence of approx 3 % of all fractures. Bone Fractures most commonly in the middle third of the bone .the treatment methods are:-Non Operative: slings, straps and braces are there for clavicle immobilization and treatment of fractures. Operative: External fixators, Intramedullary nails, Plates and screws. The aim of the study was to analyze the results of fixation of clavicle fractures with plate and screws and assessing the union radiologically, complications associated and restoration of range of motion of the shoulder and to evaluate the results clinically regarding pain, activities of daily living, range of motion, power, radiologically regarding union, non-union, refracture, screw and plate loosening, implant breakage. A total of 30 cases of midshaft clavicular fractures which were Allman (type I) closed & fresh were included. They were treated by open reduction & internal fixation with S-shaped clavicular LCP in 15 patients and 3.5mm reconstruction locking plate in 15 patients. The age of patients in this study ranged from 18-55 years. Males formed 72% of the patients. Most common cause of the fracture in this was road traffic accidents (55%). Right side was involved commonly (57%). Duration from injury to surgery was an average of 3.78days and hospital stay was an average of 4.32 days. The time taken for complete radiological union ranged from 7 to 10 weeks. The time taken to return to previous level of activity ranged from 8 to 18 weeks. Overall excellent results were achieved in 21 patients & good in 9 patients.

Copyright©2017, Kanav Mahajan et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Kanav Mahajan, Mohinder Singh Chib and Sanjeev Gupta, 2017. "Management of clavicle fractures in adults", *International Journal of Current Research*, 9, (06), 53173-53176.

INTRODUCTION

Clavicle fractures account for approximately 3 % of all fractures and approximately 30% of all fractures in the shoulder region and are common in young, active individuals. the annual incidence in males is highest in age group less than 20 years. Fractures occur most commonly in the middle third of the bone (76% to 82%) and less often in the distal (12 to 21%) and medial (3 to 6%) thirds. Proximal clavicle fractures tend to occur in elderly men; middle-third fractures tend to occur in children (typically undisplaced), adolescents (displaced), and young male adults (comminuted); distal-third fractures are frequent in middle-aged patients. Allman described the mechanism of injury as a fall onto an outstretched hand or a fall onto the the shoulder. Recent data indicates direct trauma as the predominant cause of clavicle fractures.

The treatment methods are

a) **Non Operative:** slings, straps and braces have been proposed for immobilization and treatment of fractures.

b) **Operative**

1. External fixators
2. Intramedullary nails
3. Plates and screws

The treatment has been considered supportive and the results considered excellent. But union after midshaft clavicle fracture is not as universal as once thought. Certain types of clavicle fractures have been problematic. The increased likelihood of non-union are in: advancing age, female gender, absence of residual cortical contact between fracture ends, and comminution. Intramedullary fixation can be accomplished with smooth or threaded K-wires, Steinman pins, Knowles pins, or cannulated screws. Advantages include: less surgical dissection and soft tissue stripping is needed, and the hardware is less prominent. Disadvantages include possible pin migration and poor rotational control during elevation of the extremity above shoulder level. Biomechanically, plate fixation is superior to intramedullary fixation because it better resists the bending and torsional forces that occur during elevation of the upper extremity above shoulder level. Disadvantages include the necessity for increased exposure and soft-tissue stripping; potential damage to the supraclavicular nerves, which cross

*Corresponding author: Mohinder Singh Chib,
GMC Jammu, India

through the surgical field; slightly higher infection rates; and the risk of refracture after plate removal. Many investigators have recommended the use of a 3.5-mm AO dynamic compression plate (DCP) or a low-contact dynamic compression plate with at least three screws (six cortices) in both the medial and lateral fragment and an interfragmentary lag screw whenever the fracture pattern allows it. Autogenous bone graft should be used in comminuted fractures with bone loss. Recent advances in plate technology have added to the treatment choices for midshaft clavicle fractures. Specifically, having the plate and screws as a single construct may enhance the ability of the plate to resist the large inferiorly directed torque on the outer segment and therefore may limit the potential for plate pull-out. Alternatively, clavicular plates are now available in an s-shape, to better follow the axial course of the clavicle when they are placed in a superior position. Pelvic reconstruction plates (3.5) mm are also used in both locking and non locking forms.

Aims and objectives

The aim of the present study was to analyze the results of fixation of clavicular fractures with plate and screws with regard to

1. Assess the union radiologically
2. Complications associated with the procedure
3. Restoration of range of motion and function of the shoulder

The objective of the present study was to evaluate the results

1. Clinically regarding pain, activities of daily living, range of motion, power.
2. Radiologically regarding union, non-union, refracture, screw and plate loosening, implant breakage.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This prospective study was conducted in the post graduate department of Orthopedics Government Medical College, Jammu during the period from 1st May 2013 to 1st may 2016. Both male and female patients were included in the study. The selection criterion was based on Allman classification of clavicular fractures.

Inclusion criteria: Displacement >2cm, shortening >2cm, no cortical contact between the two main fragments, segmental fractures, increasing comminution (>3 fragments), age between 18 to 50 years, fresh fractures.

Exclusion criteria: Multiple injured patients, associated neurovascular injuries, open fractures, cancer or severely ill patients which increase the operation morbidity, pathological fractures, patients below 18 and above 55 years, fracture more than 14 days old, pregnancy. All the patients were initially assessed in the emergency section of GMC Jammu. They were given first aid in the form of analgesia, shoulder arm pouch immobilization, and other resuscitation measures. After selection of the patients for surgery, patients were prepared for elective surgery to be conducted in the elective operation theatre.

Pre-operative evaluation: Pre-operative evaluation included patients name, age, sex, and address, date of injury, associated

chronic illness, date of surgery and date of discharge. Every patient was evaluated for swelling, bruising & ecchymosis at the fracture site and visible deformity of the shoulder girdle. Shortening of the clavicle was measured by a measuring tape. A careful neurological and vascular examination of the involved limb was done. All the routine investigations like complete blood count & biochemistry were done. Radiographic evaluation by X-ray of the chest, shoulder PA view and axial view was done in every patient. Informed and written consent was taken from the patients

Implants

In this study two types of the plate system for fixation of the clavicular fractures were used.

- 1) S-shaped clavicle LCP (3.5mm)
- 2) Reconstruction LCP (3.5mm)

Screws

Cortical screws of 3.5mm thread diameter made up of stainless steel have been used with these plates. These screws were typically self tapping and fully threaded. 3.5mm locking cortical screws were also used with 3.5mm drill sleeve.

Operative Technique

The patient was positioned in supine position or Beach -chair with a bump or pad between the scapulae to aid exposure and facilitate the fracture reduction. Shoulder and involved limb were draped under all aseptic conditions. Face was turned away from the side to be operated. A superior approach and plating was the technique preferred. An oblique skin incision was made centered inferiorly over the fracture site. The subcutaneous tissue and platysma muscles were kept together as one layer and extensively mobilized, especially proximally and distally. The main fracture line and major fragments were clearly identified and cleaned of hematoma and debris and the fixation strategy was formulated. If there was a free fragment of sufficient size to be structurally important, it was fixed with a lag screw. The proximal and distal fragments were then reduced with the aid of reduction forceps, they were held temporarily with a K-wire or, ideally with a lag screw. Sometimes image intensifier was used to achieve the anatomical reduction and to detect any residual step, not appreciable clinically. Both the proximal and the distal ends of the fracture were drilled with 2.7mm drill bit, after the selected plate had been secured on the superior surface of the bone. The desired plate of the appropriate length was applied and fixed with 3.5mm self tapping cortical screws. In osteoporotic and comminuted fractures 3.5mm locking screws were used to decrease the possibility of implant failure. Segmental fractures were fixed step by step. At first fixation of a small fragment to one of the main fragments was done and then another main fragment was fixed with the previous fragment. Following fixation both soft tissue layers were closed with interrupted non-absorbable sutures. Sterilized wound dressing was applied and suction drain was used in some patients.

Postoperative evaluation

Post operatively the arm was placed in a standard sling for comfort and gentle pendulum exercise was allowed. Patient was followed up at 10-14 days, the wound was checked and

stitches were removed. The sling was discontinued and unrestricted range of motion exercise was allowed, but no strengthening, resisted exercises or sporting activities were allowed. At six weeks post operative radiograph was taken to evaluate the bony union. Intermittent physiotherapy by a trained physiotherapist was advised.

Follow up evaluation

The follow up in the post operative period was done at 3 weeks, 6 weeks, 12 weeks and 6 months. In each visit patient was assessed by clinical examination and radiological examination. Clinical examination included incision site (infection, dehiscence) severity of pain, swelling, tenderness, and distal neurovascular status, and deep infection, range of motion, power and fracture healing. Radiological examination included position of fragments, amount of callous, status of locking plate and screws and any other complication. After six months the patients were assessed by Constant–Murley Shoulder Outcome Score (1987).

RESULTS

Thirty cases of clavicular fractures were managed by open reduction and internal fixation with plates and screws. S-shaped clavicular LCP was used in 15 patients and 3.5mm reconstruction locking plate was used in 15 patients in this series over a period of 15 months. Around 72% cases occurred in patients 35 years or less in age. These fractures were common in young people who were more involved in outdoor activities, sports and hence more prone to trauma. Clavicular fractures were more common in males (72%), who are more involved in outdoor activities and most of the vehicles are driven by males in our country. RTA was the most common cause of injury in our series accounting for 55%, other common cause was fall from height which accounts about 32% of the cases followed by fall of heavy weight and assault (13% each). Right side (57%) was more frequently involved than left (44%). 6 patients had associated injuries like head injuries, blunt trauma abdomen etc, this signifies the importance of high impact trauma associated with clavicular fractures. Majority of the cases 19 were operated within 4 days of injury. Rest of the cases was delayed either because of the associated injury or due to any associated co- morbid conditions. More than half of the patients 13 in our series were discharged within first 3 days after surgery. Radiological union which is defined when there is complete obliteration of fracture in at least two radiological planes. In this study about 84% fractures united within 8 weeks and in 10 weeks 100% fractures united. Our series showed an overall complication in 8 of the cases. The most common complication in this study was a palpable implant, which was present in 20% of the patients followed by skin infection (8%) and shoulder stiffness(4%). 92% of the cases returned to full power and range of motion at shoulder within 16 weeks. Majority of the patients i.e. 23 in our series did not require implant removal; however implant removal was required in 7 patients. Elective implant removal was requested by 5 patients with palpable implant and 2 patients requested for removal without any reason. Our study showed excellent results in 21 patients and good results in 9 cases.

DISCUSSION

Our study included 30 new cases of clavicular fractures all Allman type I, which were managed by ORIF with S-shaped

clavicular LCP in 15 patients and 3.5 mm reconstruction locking plate in 15 patients. When compared with the study of Faldini *et al* who used conservative method (figure-of-eight bandage) for treatment of midshaft clavicle fractures, our study showed an overall good results (92%) as compared to Faldini *et al* study (81%), with less time taken for fracture union i.e. 6.92 weeks as compared to Faldini where it took 9 weeks and zero nonunion rate as compared to their study (3%). The higher rate of the postoperative complications in our study as compared to the study of King *et al* who managed the acute midshaft clavicular fractures by locked intramedullary devices can be explained by the fact that, the most common complication in our study was a palpable implant, since we have used the extramedullary device which was placed on the superficial surface of the clavicle accounted for the palpable implant, which although is not a serious complication.

On comparing this study with the studies of Dhoju *et al*, Kulshrestha & Zilberfarb *et al* who used plate and screw fixation in their studies for the treatment of acute clavicular fractures, average union time in this study was almost comparable with the other studies that used ORIF of clavicular fractures by plating techniques. We reported a superficial skin infection of 8% which is comparable to the study of Zilberfarb *J et al* 5.88%. No nonunion was found in our study, which is also true for the other above mentioned studies. There was no deep infection in our group, so is the case with the studies of Kulshrestha & Zilberfarb *et al*. The most common complication in our group was palpable implant in about 20% of the patients, as compared to 25% in the study of Dhoju *et al*. We had 100% excellent & good results compared to 100%, 90% & 100% excellent & good results in the series of Dhoju *et al*, Kulshrestha and Zilberfarb *et al* respectively.

Conclusion

The traditional method of managing middle third clavicular fracture conservatively gives poor functional results. Intramedullary fixation is not favored for its higher complication rate though better cosmesis. The most predictable method to maintain the anatomic reduction of displaced midshaft clavicular fractures, including length and rotation is plate and screw fixation. Locking plates ensure angular and rotational stability eliminating the possibility of screws to toggle, slide or dislodge and thus reduces the risk of post operative loss of reduction. Reconstruction plates can be contoured according to need. Six cortical purchases on either side of the fracture gives stable construct, predictable union and optimum functional outcome. Interfragmentary screws should be used cautiously wherever they are required. Primary bone grafting is justified in comminuted fractures. Owing to the subcutaneous anatomy of clavicle, superior implantation of implant might cause hardware prominence especially in thin lean individuals demanding subsequent removal. The low rate of serious intraoperative complications can be achieved by careful preoperative planning, meticulous surgical dissection, careful handling of fracture ends, careful use of drilling instruments, and appropriate use of antibiotics and programmed rehabilitation. Although there is a learning curve with this form of treatment, once one becomes proficient in fixing two part clavicle fracture, displaced comminuted clavicle fractures becomes far less intimidating. The choice to proceed with operative intervention for a displaced midshaft clavicular fracture will be a decision made between the surgeon and the patient.

REFERENCES

- All man, F.L. JR. 1988. Fractures and ligamentous injuries of the clavicle and its articulations. *J bone joint surg Am*, 19(3):162-64.
- Andersen, K., Jensen, P.O. AND Lauritzen, J. 1987. The treatment of clavicular fractures: figure of eight bandage versus a simple sling. *Acta orthop scand*, 58:71-74.
- Candian orthopaedic trauma society (MD, Mckee, Principal investigator), 2007. Plate fixation versus non-operative case for acute, displaced midshaft fracture of clavicle. *J bone joint surgery*, 89A:1-11.
- Carley, S. 1999. Collar and cuff or sling after fracture of the clavicle. *J Accid emerg med*, 16:140.
- Chuang, T.Y., HOWP, Hsieh, P.S., et al. 2006. Closed reduction and internal fixation of acute midshaft clavicular fracture using cannulated screws. *J trauma*, 60:1315-21.
- Constant, C.R. and Murley, A.H. 1987. A clinical method of functional assessment of the shoulder. *Clinic orthop* 214:160-64.
- Dhoju, D., Shrestha, D., Parajuli, N., et al. 2011. Operative fixation of displaced middle third clavicle (Edinburg type2) fractures with superior reconstruction plate osteosynthesis. *Kathmandu Univ Med J*, 36(4):286-91.
- Faldini, C., Nanni, M., Leonetti, D., et al. 2010. Nonoperative treatment of closed displaced midshaft clavicle fractures. *J Orthop Traumatol*, Dec;11(4):229-36.
- Fann, C.Y., Chiu, F.Y., Chuang, T.Y., et al. 2014. Transacromial Knowles pin in the treatment of Neer type 2 distal clavicle fractures. A prospective evaluation of 32 cases. *J Trauma*, 56 (5):1102-1105. ISSN 2320-5407 *International Journal of Advanced Research* (2014), Volume 2, Issue 6, 843-849 849.
- Fedotov, V.K. 1972. [Comparative characteristics of some methods of conservative therapy in clavicular fractures in children]. *Vestn Khir*, 107:109-111.
- Gaidukov, V.M. and Dedushkin, V.S. 1991. [Immobilization in fractures of the clavicle]. *Ortop Travmatol Protez* 35.
- Golish, S.R., Oliviero, J.A., Francke, et al. 2008. A biomechanical study of plate versus intramedullary devices for midshaft clavicular fixation. *J orthop surg*, 3:28.
- Hill, J.M. 1998. Closed treatment of displaced middle-third clavicular fractures gives poor results. *J bone joint surgery Br*, 80B:558.
- King, P.R., et al. 2011. Intramedullary fixation of clavicle shaft fractures: review of early results. *SA orthop J*, 10:4
- Kleen, P., Sorkin, A.T., Rubel, I.F., et al. 2002. Anteroinferior plating of midshaft clavicular non-unions. *J trauma*, 16:425-30.
- Kulshrestha, V. 2008. Primary plating of displaced midshaft clavicular fractures. *MJAFI*, 64:208-11.
- Lim, M., Kang, J., Kim, K. et al. 2003. Anterior inferior reconstruction plates for the treatment of acute midshaft clavicle fractures. In proceedings from 19th annual meeting of the orthopaedic trauma society. Salt lake city, Utah, October 2003.
- Mc Candless, D.N. and Mowbray, M.A. 1979. Treatment of displaced fractures of clavicle. Sling versus figure of eight bandage. *Practitioner*, 223:266-67.
- Mullaji, A.B. and Jupiter, J.B. 1994. Low-contact dynamic compression plating of the clavicle. *Injury* 25:41-5.
- Murley, H.F. 1972. *Shoulder lesson ed.2* Edinburg, Churchill, Livingstone, 28.
- Naviaser, J.S. 1963. The treatment of the fractures of the clavicle. *Surg Clin North Am*, 43:1553-63.
- Ngarmukos, C., Parkpian, V. and Patradul, A. 1998. Fixation of Fractures of the Midshaft of the Clavicle with Kirschner Wires: Results in 108 Patients. *J Bone Joint Surg* 80B:106.
- Nordqvist, A. and Petersson, C. 1994. The incidence of clavicular fractures. *Clin orthop*, 300:127-32.
- Nordqvist, A., Petersson, C.J. and Redlund Jonell, I. 1998. Midclavicular fractures in adults: end result study after conservative treatment. *J Orthop trauma*, 12:572-76.
- Nowak, J., Mallmin, H. and Larson, S. 2000. The aetiology and epidemiology of clavicular fractures. A prospective study during a 2 year period in uppsala, Sweden. *Injury*, 35:353-58.
- Pedereson, M.S., Kristiansen, B., Thomas, F., et al. 1993. Conservative treatment of clavicular fractures. *Ugesker Laeger* 155:3832-34.
- Poigenfurst, J., Rappold, G. and Fischer, W. 1992. Plating fresh clavicular fractures: Result of 122 operations. *Injury* 23: 237-41.
- Robinsen, C.M., Court-Brown, C.M., Mc Queen, M.M., et al. 2004. Estimating the risk of non-union following non-operative treatment of clavicle fracture. *J Bone Joint Surgery Am*, 86A:1359-65.
- Schwarz, N. and Hocker, K. 1992. Osteosynthesis of irreducible fractures of the clavicle with 2.7 mm ASIF plates. *J Trauma*, 33:179-82.
- Shahid, R., Mushtaq, A. and Maqsood, M. 2007. Plate fixation of clavicle fractures: a comparative study between reconstruction plate and dynamic compression plate. *Acta orthop Belg* 73(2):170-4.
- Wick, M., Muller, E.J., Kallig, E., et al. 2001. Midshaft fractures of clavicle with a shortening of more than 2 cm predispose to non-union. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg* 12:2007-11.
- Yian, E.H., Ramappa, A.J., Araneberg, O., et al. 2005. The constant score in normal shoulder. *J shoulder elbow surg*, 14:128-33.
- Zlowodzki, M., Zelle, B.A., Cole, P.A., et al. 2005. Treatment of Midshaft clavicle fractures; Systemic review of 2144 fractures. *J orthop Trauma*, 19: 504:07.
