

Available online at http://www.journalcra.com

International Journal of Current Research Vol. 4, Issue, 01, pp.167-169, January, 2012 INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT RESEARCH

RESEARCH ARTICLE

ON CON-K-NORMAL MATRICES

¹Krishnamoorthy, S., ¹Gunasekaran, K. and ²Arumugam, K.

¹Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College (Autonomous), Kumbakonam,

Tamilnadu, India 612 001

²Department of Mathematics, A.V.C College (Autonomous), Mannampandal, Mayiladuthurai,

Tamilnadu, India 609305.

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article History:

The concept of conjugate k-normal (con-k-normal) matrices is introduced. Some basic results of con-k-normal, con-k-unitary are discussed.

Received 25th October, 2011 Received in revised form 16th November, 2011 Accepted 14th December, 2011 Published online 31st January, 2012

Key words:

Con-k-normal, Con-k-unitary.

INTRODUCTION

Let C_{nxn} be the space of nxn complex matrices. For a matrix $A \in \square_{n \times n}$, $\overline{A}, A^T, A^*, A^{-1}$ and A^{\dagger} denote conjugate, transpose, conjugate transpose, inverse and Moore-Penrose inverse of a matrix 'A' respectively. Let 'k' be a fixed product of disjoint transpositions in $S_n = \{1, 2..., n\}$ (hence, involutory) and let 'K' be the associated permutation matrix. The concept of Con-k-normal matrices is introduced as a generalization of k-real and k-hermitian and normal matrices [2]. The con-k-unitary is also discussed in this paper. Clearly 'K' satisfies the following properties: $K^2 = I(\text{mind} K = K^T = K^* = K^{\dagger}$ (iv) **Basic Definitions** (v)

Definition 2.1[3]: A matrix $A \in \Box_{n \times n}$ is said to be k-normal,

$$if A A^* K = K A^* A.$$
 (vii)

That is, $a_{ij} \overline{a}_{n-k(j)+1 \ k(i)} = \overline{a}_{k(j) \ n-k(i)+1} \ a_{ij}$; i, j = 1, 2... n. **Definition 2.2[3]:** A matrix $A \in \Box_{n \times n}$ is said to be k-

unitary, if $A A^* K = K A^* A = K$.

Con-k-normal matrices

Definition 3.1: A matrix $A \in \Box_{n \times n}$ is said to be con-k-

normal, if $A A^* K = K A^* A$. That is, $A A^* K = K A^T \overline{A}$ (or) $K A^* A = \overline{A} A^T K$ Copy Right, IJCR, 2012, Academic Journals. All rights reserved.

Example 3.2: Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 1 & i \end{bmatrix}$ is con-k-normal for k= (1, 2), where $K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Theorem 3.3: For $A \in \Box_{n \times n}$ the following conditions are equivalent.

A is con-k-normal.

A' is con-k-normal.

- A^* is con-k-normal.
- A^{-1} is con-k-normal, if A^{-1} exist.

 A^{\dagger} is con-k-normal.

 λA is con-k-normal, where λ is a real number.

Proof: (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii): A is con-k-normal $\Leftrightarrow A A^* K = K A^T \overline{A}$

$$\Leftrightarrow \overline{(AA^*K)} = \overline{(KA^T\overline{A})}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \overline{A}A^TK = KA^*A$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \overline{A} \text{ is con-k-normal.}$$
$$(i) \Leftrightarrow (iii): A \text{ is con-k-normal}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow AA^*K = KA^T\overline{A} \Leftrightarrow (AA^*K)^T = (KA^T\overline{A})^T$$
$$\Leftrightarrow K^T (A^*)^T A^T = \overline{A}^T (A^T)^T K^T \Leftrightarrow K \overline{A}A^T = A^*AK$$
Pre and post multiply by K on both sides,

^{*}Corresponding author: profkrishnamoorthy@gmail.com

 $\Leftrightarrow \overline{A}A^T K = K A^* A \Leftrightarrow A^T$ is con-k-normal. (i) \Leftrightarrow (iv): A is con-k-normal $\Leftrightarrow AA^*K = KA^T\overline{A}$ $\Leftrightarrow (AA^*K)^* = (KA^T\overline{A})^*$ $\Leftrightarrow K^* (A^*)^* A^* = \left(\overline{A}\right)^* (A^T)^* K^* \Leftrightarrow K A A^* = A^T \overline{A} K$ Pre and post multiply by K on both sides, $\Leftrightarrow A A^* K = K A^T \overline{A} \iff A^*$ is con-k-normal. (i) \Leftrightarrow (v): A is con-k-normal $\Leftrightarrow A A^* K = K A^T \overline{A}$ $\Leftrightarrow (AA^*K)^{-1} = (KA^T\overline{A})^{-1}$ $\Leftrightarrow K^{-1}(A^*)^{-1}A^{-1} = (\overline{A})^{-1}(A^T)^{-1}K^{-1}$ $\Leftrightarrow K(A^{-1})^*A^{-1} = \overline{\left(A^{-1}\right)}(A^{-1})^T K$ $\Leftrightarrow A^{-1}$ is con-k-normal, if A^{-1} exist. (i) \Leftrightarrow (vi): A is con-k-normal $\Leftrightarrow A A^* K = K A^T \overline{A}$ $\Leftrightarrow (A A^* K)^{\dagger} = (K A^T \overline{A})^{\dagger}$ $\Leftrightarrow K(A^{\dagger})^* A^{\dagger} = \overline{(A^{\dagger})} (A^{\dagger})^T K \Leftrightarrow A^{\dagger} \text{ is con-k-normal.}$ (i) \Leftrightarrow (vii): A is k-normal $\Leftrightarrow A A^* K = K A^T \overline{A}$ $\Leftrightarrow \lambda^2(AA^*K) = \lambda^2(KA^T\overline{A})$ $\Leftrightarrow (\lambda A)(\lambda A^*)K = K(\lambda A^T)(\lambda \overline{A})$ $\Leftrightarrow (\lambda A) (\lambda A)^* K = K (\lambda A)^T \overline{(\lambda A)}$ $\Leftrightarrow (\lambda A)$ is con-k-normal.

Theorem 3.4: If A and B are con-k-normal matrices. Then (A+B) and (A-B) are con-k-normal matrix.

Proof: Given A and B are con-k-normal. Then

$$AA^*K = KA^T\overline{A}$$
(1)
and $BB^*K = KB^T\overline{B}$ (2)
Adding equations (1) and (2), we get,
 $AA^*K + BB^*K = KA^T\overline{A} + KB^T\overline{B}$
Pre and post multiply by $(AB^* + A^*B)K$ and
 $K(A^T\overline{B} + B^T\overline{A})$ we get,
 $(AB^* + A^*B)K + (AA^* + BB^*)K = K(A^T\overline{A} + B^T\overline{B}) + K(A^T\overline{B} + B^T\overline{A})$
 $\Rightarrow [A(A^* + B^*) + B(A^* + B^*)]K = K[(\overline{A} + \overline{B})A^T + (\overline{A} + \overline{B})B^T]$
 $\Rightarrow (A + B)(A^* + B^*)K = K(A^T + B^T)(\overline{A} + \overline{B})$
 $\Rightarrow (A + B)(A^* + B^*)K = K(A + B)^T(\overline{A} + \overline{B})$
Therefore $(A + B)$ is con-k-normal.

Similarly, we can prove (A - B) is con-k-normal.

Theorem 3.5: Let $A \in \square_{n \times n}$,

(i). If A is con-k-normal, then (iA) is con-k-normal.(ii). If A is con-k-normal, then (-iA) is con-k-normal.**Proof:** (i) Given A is con-k-normal.

That is, $A A^* K = K A^T \overline{A} \implies i^2 (A A^* K) = i^2 (K A^T \overline{A})$ $\Rightarrow (iA)[-(iA)^*][]K = K(iA)^T[-\overline{(iA)}]$ $\Rightarrow (iA)(iA)^* K = K(iA)^T \overline{(iA)}$ $\Rightarrow (iA)$ is con-k-normal. Similarly, we can prove (-iA) is con-k-normal. **Theorem 3.6:** Let $A \in \Box_{n \times n}$ be con-k-normal, then $A\overline{A}$ and

 $\overline{A}A \text{ are k-normal.}$ **Proof:** Let A be con-k-normal, if $A A^*K = KA^T\overline{A}$. We have, $(A\overline{A})(A\overline{A})^*K = (A\overline{A})(A^TA^*)K = A(\overline{A}A^T)A^*K$ $= A(K^2\overline{A}A^T)A^*K$ $= A(A^*A)A^*K = (AA^*)^2K$(3) and $K(A\overline{A})^*(A\overline{A}) = K(A^TA^*)(\overline{A}A) = (KA^T\overline{A})(A^*A)$ $= (AA^*K)(A^*A)$ $= (AA^*)(AA^*)K = (AA^*)^2K$(4) From (3) and (4), we have, $(A\overline{A})(A\overline{A})^*K = K(A\overline{A})^*(A\overline{A})$

Therefore, $A\overline{A}$ is k-normal.

Similarly, we can prove \overline{AA} is k-normal.

Remark 3.7: The reverse implication $A\overline{A}$ and $\overline{A}A$ are k-normal, then A is con-k-normal is false.

Theorem 3.8[1]: Let $A, B \in \Box_{n \times n}$ be con-k-normal matrices,

then
$$A\overline{B} = BA \implies A^T\overline{B} = BA^*$$
.

In words, if A is con-commutes with some matrix B, then A^{T} con-commutes with B as well.

Proof: Given A and B are con-k-normal matrices, then

 $A A^* K = K A^T \overline{A}$ and $B B^* K = K B^T \overline{B}$. Since $A\overline{B} = B\overline{A}$ is trivially true for B=A. Let A be con-k-normal and let B be con-commute with A. $A\overline{B} = B\overline{A}$

For,
$$\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ \overline{A} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $\hat{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ \overline{B} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. We have
 $\hat{A}\hat{B} = A\overline{B} \oplus \overline{A}B$ and $\hat{B}\hat{A} = B\overline{A} \oplus \overline{B}A$.
 $\Rightarrow \hat{A}$ and \hat{B} commutes.

Since A is con-k-normal, then A^* is con-k-normal.

 $\Rightarrow \hat{A} \text{ is con-k-normal, then} \Rightarrow \hat{A}^* \text{ is con-k-normal.}$ Therefore, $\hat{A}^*\hat{B} = \hat{B}\hat{A}^*$ which is equivalent to $A^T\overline{B} = BA^*.$

Con-k-unitary matrices

Definition 4.1: A matrix $A \in \square_{n \times n}$ is said to be con-kunitary, if $AA^*K = KA^T\overline{A} = K$.

Example 4.2: Let
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$
 is con-k-unitary for $k = (1, 2)$, where $K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Theorem 4.3: For $A \in \square_{n \times n}$ the following conditions are equivalent.

(i) A is con-k-unitary.

(ii) A is con-k- unitary.

- (iii) A^{I} is con-k- unitary.
- (iv) A^* is con-k- unitary.
- (v) A^{-1} is con-k- unitary, if A^{-1} exist.
- (vi) A^{\dagger} is con-k- unitary.
- (vii) λA is con-k- unitary, where λ is a real number.

Theorem 4.4: Let $A, B \in \Box_{n \times n}$. If A and B are con-k-

unitary matrices, then AB is con-k-normal. **Proof:** Let A and B are con-k-unitary, then

A $A^*K = K A^T \overline{A} = K$ and $B B^*K = K B^T \overline{B} = K$. To prove AB is con-k-normal. Now, $(AB)(AB)^*K = AB(B^*A^*)K = A(BB^*)A^*K = AA^*K = K$(5) $K(AB)^T (\overline{AB}) = K(B^T A^T)(\overline{AB}) = KB^T (A^T \overline{A})\overline{B} = KB^T \overline{B} = K$ From (5) and (6), we have

 $(AB)(AB)^*K = K(AB)^T(\overline{AB}).$

Therefore AB is con-k-normal.

Corollary 4.5: Let $A, B \in \Box_{n \times n}$. If A and B are con-k-

unitary matrices, then AB is con-k-unitary.

Theorem 4.6: Let $A, B \in \Box_{n \times n}$. If A and B are con-k-

unitary matrices, then BA is **Proof:** Let A and B are con-k-unitary, then

and $BB^*K = KB^T\overline{B} = K$ (8) From (7) and (8), we have

 $A A^* K B B^* K = K A^T \overline{A} K B^T \overline{B} = K^2 = I$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 KB B^{*}K = K A^T \overline{A} K = I

$$\Rightarrow BB^* = A^T\overline{A} = I$$

$$\Rightarrow B K^{2}B^{*} = A^{T}K^{2}\overline{A} = I$$

$$\Rightarrow B A A^{*}KKB^{*} = A^{T}KK B^{T}\overline{B}\overline{A} = I$$

 $\Rightarrow (BA)(BA)^* = (BA)^{I}(BA) = I$

Therefore, BA is con-unitary.

Theorem 4.7: Let $A \in \Box_{n \times n}$ and A = SU, $A^T = SV$, where U and V are con-k-unitary matrices and S is a symmetric matrix, then A is con-k-normal.

Proof: Let A = SU and $A^T = SV$, where U and V are con-k-unitary.

Then,

$$AA^*K = (SU)(SU)^*K = SUU^*\overline{S}K = SUU^*K^2\overline{S}K = S\overline{S}K$$
.....(9)

$$\overline{K}\overline{A} = K\overline{A}\overline{A} = K(SV)(V^*\overline{S}) = SKVV^*\overline{S} = SV^*V\overline{K}\overline{S} = SV^*V\overline{S}K = S\overline{S}K$$
.....(10)

From (9) and (10), we have $AA^*K = KA^*A = KA^T\overline{A}$. Therefore, A is con-k-normal.

REFERENCES

- Fabbender, H., Ikramov, H., "Conjugate-normal matrices: A survey," Linear Alg. Appl. Vol.429(2008), pp.1425-1441.
- [2]. Hill, R.D., Water, S.R., "On k-real and k-hermitian matrices," Linear Alg. Appl. Vol.169(1992), pp.17-29.
- [3]. Krishnamoorthy, K., and Subash, R., "On k-normal matrices" International J. of Math. Sci. & Engg. Appls. Vol. 5 No. II (2011), pp. 119-130.
- [4]. Krishnamoorthy, S., Vijayakumar, R., "Some Equivalent Condition on s-normal matrices," Int.J.Cont.Math.Sciences, Vol.29(2009),pp. 1449-1454.
- [5]. Meenakshi, A. R., Krishnamoorthy, S., "On k-EP matrices," Linear Alg. Appl. Vol.269(1998), pp. 219-232.

con-unitary.