



## CASE STUDY

### MODIFIED CORONALLY ADVANCED FLAP (ZUCHELLI TECHNIQUE): A CASE SERIES

\*Dr. Quaid Johar Shakir, Dr. Dipti Udaysing Patil and Dr. Namrata Dattatraya Pailwan

Department of Periodontology and Oral Implantology, D. Y. Patil School of Dentistry, Nerul, Navi Mumbai

#### ARTICLE INFO

##### Article History:

Received 16<sup>th</sup> November, 2015  
Received in revised form  
18<sup>th</sup> December, 2015  
Accepted 06<sup>th</sup> January, 2016  
Published online 14<sup>th</sup> February, 2016

##### Key words:

Gingival recession,  
Zucchelli's technique,  
Recession coverage,  
Aesthetics.

#### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Desire for improved aesthetics and the consequent need for cosmetic dentistry have increased tremendously in recent times making aesthetic procedures an integral part of periodontal treatment. The treatment of choice for recession coverage should address the biological as well as the patient's aesthetic demands. Zucchelli and Sanctis (2000) proposed a modification in the coronally advanced flap technique for treatment of multiple recession defects in aesthetic areas. Case series in which Zucchelli's technique was done to obtain desirable results of root coverage.

**Method:** Miller's class I multiple recession defects in upper maxillary anterior region were included for treatment. After initial therapy subjects were treated with Zucchelli's technique and recession depth was evaluated after 6 months.

**Results:** The result revealed significant decrease in recession depth and aesthetically pleasant appearance after 6 months. No scar formation was seen.

**Conclusion:** Zucchelli's technique is effective for the treatment of multiple adjacent recessions in terms of both root coverage and keratinized tissue gain, irrespective of the number of defects. Moreover, this technique does not require an additional surgical site.

Copyright © 2016 Quaid Johar Shakir et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Citation:** Dr. Quaid Johar Shakir, Dipti Udaysing Patil and Namrata Dattatraya Pailwan, 2016. "Modified Coronally advanced flap (Zucchelli technique): A case series", *International Journal of Current Research*, 8, (02), 26218-26222.

## INTRODUCTION

Over the years, numerous surgical techniques have been introduced to correct labial, gingival recession defects. Aesthetic concerns and to obtain optimum biologic width of gingiva are usually the reason to perform these procedures. "Gingival recession" is the exposure of the root surface because of the displacement of the gingival margin apical to cement-enamel junction (Glossary of Periodontology, 4<sup>th</sup> ed, 2001). It is a common occurrence in individual with poor oral hygiene as well as those with good oral hygiene & it usually affects the multiple teeth simultaneously. Therefore many patients request for root coverage (Marmar et al., 2009) for an aesthetic reason. There are several surgical approaches for covering exposed root surfaces, including free gingival graft placement (Miller, 1982), the coronally advanced flap (Harris et al., 1995), subepithelial connective tissue graft (Langer & Langer 1985, Paoloantonio et al., 1997), pedicle grafts (Harris, 1995), laterally repositioned flap (Grupe, 1956), double pedicle flap (Cohen, 1968), oblique rotated flap (Pennel, 1965).

The guided tissue regeneration technique (Evandro, 2000; Pini Parto, 1996), Acellular dermal matrix (de Queiroz Cortes, 2006) has also been utilized for root coverage procedures. The gingival recession affects 22.5% to 75.1% of individuals and multiple recessions are common manifestation in most of the populations, affecting from 6.5% to 43.5% of teeth per individual (Albandar, 1999; Susin, 2004; Van Palenstein Helderma, 1998). The possible pathogenesis of gingival recession is related to tissue inflammation produced by biofilm accumulation and traumatic brushing. When multiple gingival recessions involving adjacent teeth are present, an approach to address all the recession defects at one single surgical time is the first choice. A few case reports (Allen, 1994; Blanes, 1999; Zabaleguil, 1999) and case series (Tinti, 1996; Zucchelli, 2000; Centiner, 2004) have specifically addressed multiple adjacent gingival recessions, showing complete root coverage in 61% to 88% of defects. The aim of this case series was to clinically evaluate the effectiveness and the predictability of root coverage at adjacent multiple gingival recessions using a modified coronally positioned flap. The modified coronally advanced flap (Zucchelli technique) (Zucchelli, 2000) is one of the most effective techniques for Miller's class I & class II recessions. Since, it gives excellent aesthetic results and

\*Corresponding author: Dr. Quaid Johar Shakir,  
Department of Periodontology and Oral Implantology, D. Y. Patil  
School of Dentistry, Nerul, Navi Mumbai.

technique is simple to perform so this technique can be used for multiple adjacent gingival recession defects.

### Case report 1:

A 32 year male reported to the Department of Periodontology and Oral Implantology.

**Chief Complain:** Patient complained of sensitivity and unaesthetic appearance in the maxillary front region (11,21) since; 4month. Patient had a tobacco chewing habit for past 5 years and patient used to brush in horizontal scrub technique which is one of the cause of gingival recession. Clinical examination revealed Miller's I gingival recession with maxillary central incisors. Recession depth of 3 mm on mesiofacial aspect of both the central incisors. (Fig.1)

### Case 1:



Fig.no.1



Fig.no.2



Fig.no.3



Fig.no.4



Fig.no.5



Fig.no.6



Fig.no.7 Pre-operative.



Fig.no.8 Post-operative after 3 months.

**Case 2:**

Fig.no. 9



Fig.no. 10



Fig.no. 11



Fig.no. 12



Fig.no. 13



Fig.no. 14

**Presurgical procedure:** Preparation of patient including scaling and root planning of entire dentition and oral hygiene instruction has been given. The surgical procedure was explained to the patient and informed consent form was get signed by the patient. Patient was advised to stop tobacco chewing habit and advised modified stillman's technique for tooth brushing. Patient was prescribed antibiotic Amoxicilin (500mg tds) a days prior the surgery and after surgery continuing it for 4 days.

**Case report 2:**

A 28 year male reported to the Department of Periodontology and Oral Implantology.

**Chief complain:** Patient complained of sensitivity in the maxillary front region (11,12,13) since; 7months. Patient had smoking and tobacco chewing habit since a year and patient use to brush once daily with horizontal scrub technique. Clinical examination revealed miller's class I gingival recession with recession depth of 3 mm on mesiofacial aspect of 12,13,14 teeth. (Fig.9)

**Presurgical procedure:** Preparation of patient including scaling and root planning of entire dentition and oral hygiene instruction has been given. The surgical procedure was explained to the patient and informed consent form was get signed by the patient. Patient was advised to stop smoking and tobacco chewing habit and advised modified stillman's technique for tooth brushing. Patient was prescribed antibiotic

Amoxicillin (500mg tds) a days prior the surgery and after continuing it for 4 days.

### Surgical procedure

**Zucchelli's technique (Zucchelli, 2000):** New modification of coronally advanced flap for multiple teeth recession coverage. The procedure was carried out under local anesthesia (Lignocaine HCl with 2 % epinephrine 1 : 200,000). Clinical features of multiple coronal advanced flap are the absence of vertical releasing incisions, a variable thickness, combining areas of split and full thickness and the coronal re-positioning of the flap. Another characteristic feature is the oblique submarginal incisions in the interdental area. Incisions are given obliquely connecting the CEJ of one tooth to the gingival margin of the adjacent tooth. Horizontal incisions were given connecting the CEJ of one tooth to the gingival margin of the adjacent tooth (Fig.2 and Fig.10). A split thickness flap was reflected till the root exposure and further apically a full thickness flap was raised (Fig.3 & and Fig. 11). Beyond mucogingival junction again a split thickness flap was reflected to ensure adequate coronal displacement (Fig.4 and Fig. 12). Anatomic interdental papilla was completely de-epithelialised to expose the underlying connective tissue and to eliminate the epithelium that might interfere with healing (Fig. 3 and Fig. 12). After flap reflection the root surface was examined for remnant calculus and thorough scaling and root planing was done. While advancing the flap coronally, surgical papillae were rotated towards the ends of the flap and were displaced on the prepared connective tissue beds of the anatomical papillae. The flap was secured in place with sling sutures (Fig. 5 and Fig. 13). This ensured precise adaptation of the flap. The surgical site was then covered with periodontal dressing.

### Postoperative instructions

The patient was instructed not to remove the pack or disturb the surgical site in any way till the sutures were removed. Other post operative instructions were given. Patient was advised to take antibiotics & analgesics for 5 days TDS post-operatively (Amoxicillin 500mg & tablet combiflam (ibuprofen 400mg+paracetamol325mg)). Use of 0.12% chlorhexidine rinse was also advised. Two weeks post-operatively the periodontal dressing and the sutures were removed. Healing was satisfactory and adequate root coverage was obtained. Recall after 6 months revealed stable results and no sensitivity was reported.

### DISCUSSION

The most important factor in the etiology of dentin hypersensitivity is the exposure of root surfaces from gingival recession (Tugnait, 2001; Paoloantonio, 2002). Treatment modality includes an attempt to cover the exposed root surfaces. Over the past decades numerous periodontal plastic surgery (PPS) procedures have been described in an attempt to cover exposed root surfaces. Among these methods the most commonly employed is the 'coronally repositioned flap' introduced by Bruiestein in 1970 and modified by Allen & Miller in 1989. Zucchelli & Sanctis modified this technique

further in 2000 (Zucchelli G, de Santis 2000). The case presented here demonstrates Zucchelli's modification of the coronally advanced flap. This new technique has few clinical and biological advantages over the conventional technique. It is an envelope type of flap without vertical releasing incisions and hence the blood supply is not compromised and there are no unaesthetic scars along incision line. Since it is also a split – full – split thickness flap, it guarantees adequate coronal advancement, good anchorage and ample blood supply to the surgical interdental papillae. In present study using this flap technique adequate root coverage with stable results for over 12 months was achieved. No scar formation was observed and the color match of the tissue was excellent. The chief complaint of hypersensitivity was also resolved completely following the surgery.

### Conclusion

The result of 2 cases demonstrated that this new approach to the coronally advanced technique is very effective for treatment of multiple gingival recessions. Good stable results were observed in terms of root coverage, increased thickness of attached gingiva and resolution of dentinal hypersensitivity associated with gingival recession.

### REFERENCES

- Albandar JM, Kingman A.1999. Gingival recession, gingival bleeding, and dental calculus in adults 30 years of age and older in the United States, 1988-1994. *J Periodontol.*, 70:30-43
- Allen AL.1994. Use of the suprapariosteal envelope in soft tissue grafting for root coverage. II. Clinical results. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent*, 14:302-315. 24.
- Blanes RJ, Allen EP.1999. The bilateral pedicle flap-tunnel technique: A new approach to cover connective tissue grafts. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent*, 19: 471-479.
- Cetiner D, Bodur A, Uraz A.2004. Expanded mesh connective tissue graft for the treatment of multiple gingival recessions. *J Periodontol.*, 75:1167-1172.
- Cohen, D. & Ross, S. 1968. The double papilla flap in periodontal therapy. *Journal of Periodontology*, 39,65-70.
- de Queiroz Côrtes A.2006. A two-year prospective study of coronally positioned flap with or without acellular dermal matrix graft. *J Clin Periodontol* ; 33: 683–689
- gingival recessions with the tunnel subepithelial connective tissue graft: A clinical report. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent*, 19:199-206.
- Grupe, J. & Warren, R. 1956. Repair of gingival defects by a sliding flap operation. *Journal of Periodontology*, 37, 491-495.
- Harris, R.J. and Harris, A.W. 1995. The coronally positioned pedicle graft with inlaid margins: A predictable method of obtaining root coverage of shallow defects. *International Journal of Periodontics and Restorative Dentistry*, 14:229-241.
- Langer, B. and Langer, L. 1985. Subepithelial connective tissue graft technique for root coverage. *Journal of Periodontology*, 56,715-720.
- Marmar M. and Hom LW 2009. Tunneling procedure for root coverage using acellular dermal matrix: a case series.

- International *Journal of Periodontics and Restorative Dentistry*, 29:395-403.
- Miller, P.D. 1982. Root coverage using a free soft tissue autograft following citric acid application. I. Technique. *International Journal of Periodontics and Restorative Dentistry*, 2 (1), 65-70.
- Paolantonio M.2002. Treatment of gingival recession by combined periodontal regenerative techniques, guided tissue regeneration, and subpedicle connective tissue graft : A comparative clinical study. *J Periodontol.*, 73:53-62.
- Paolantonio M, Di Murro C, Cattabriga A, Cattabriga M. 1997. Subpedicle connective tissue graft in the coverage of exposed root surfaces. A 5 year clinical study. *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, 24:51-56.
- Pennel, B.M. 1965. Oblique rotated flap. *Journal of Periodontology*, 36,305-309.
- Pini Prato, G. 1996. Guided tissue regeneration versus mucogingival surgery in the treatment of human buccal gingival recession. A 4-year follow-up study. *Journal of Periodontology*, 67, 1216–1223.
- Susin C, Haas AN, Oppermann RV, Haugejorden O, Albandar JM.2004. Gingival recession: Epidemiology and risk indicators in a representative urban Brazilian population. *J Periodontol.*,75:1377-1386.
- The American Academy of Periodontology. Glossary of Periodontal Terms, 4<sup>th</sup> Ed Chicago 2001.
- Tinti C, Parma-Benfenati S.1996. The free rotated papilla autograft: A new bilaminar grafting procedure for the coverage of multiple shallow gingival recessions. *J Periodontol.*, 67:1016-1024. 27.
- Tugnait A. and Clerehugh V. 2001. Gingival recession: Its significance and management. *J Dent*, 29:381-94. 2.
- van Palenstein Helderma WH, Lembariti BS, van der Weijden GA, van't Hof MA. 1998. Gingival recession and its association with calculus in subjects deprived of prophylactic dental care. *J Clin Periodontol.*, 25:106-111.
- Zabalegui I, Sicilia A, Cambra J, Gil J, Sanz M. 1999. Treatment of multiple adjacent
- Zucchelli G, De Sanctis M. 2000. Treatment of multiple recession-type defects in patients with aesthetic demands. *J Periodontol.*, 71:1506-1514. 28.

\*\*\*\*\*