



ISSN: 0975-833X

Available online at <http://www.journalcra.com>

International Journal of Current Research
Vol. 11, Issue, 04, pp.2888-2891, April, 2019

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24941/ijcr.35024.04.2019>

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
OF CURRENT RESEARCH

RESEARCH ARTICLE

SURGICAL SITE INFECTION IN ORTHOPAEDICS

Dr. Rakesh Sharma, *Dr. Amar Saharan, Dr. Maninder Singh, Dr. Rahul Mahajan and Dr. Sumeet Singh

GMC, JAMMU, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 28th January, 2019

Received in revised form

19th February, 2019

Accepted 07th March, 2019

Published online 29th April, 2019

Key Words:

Surgical site infection,
Biofilms, Staphylococcus

*Corresponding author: Amar Saharan

Copyright © 2019, Rakesh Sharma et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Dr. Rakesh Sharma, Dr. Amar Saharan, Dr. Maninder Singh, Dr. Rahul Mahajan and Dr. Sumeet Singh, 2019. "Surgical site infection in orthopaedics", International Journal of Current Research, 11, (04), 2888-2891.

ABSTRACT

Surgical site infection is the greatest enemy to success of a surgeon eroding his pride and glory which is a dreaded complication and hence resulting in poor outcomes, increased morbidity, prolonged hospital stay, escalation of hospital expenditure and constrained relationship between the patient and the surgeon, placing an immense economic burden on the patient and the healthcare infrastructure. SSI is of multifactorial origin where Bacteria may access the surgical site through both endogenous and exogenous routes which is predominantly exogenous contacting during the initial operative exposure. Staphylococcus aureus is the leading cause. Implants provide a niche for such organisms where biofilms provide a safe environment for microbial replication. Various modifiable risk factors are there such as DM, Obesity, Malnutrition etc. Imaging not only confirms the diagnosis but also provides details about the extent, severity and any associated complications providing an objective and longitudinal method of monitoring treatment

INTRODUCTION

Surgical site infection is the greatest enemy to the success of a surgeon and erodes away his pride and glory. It is a dreaded complication following orthopaedic surgery resulting in poorer outcomes, increased morbidity, prolonged hospital stay, escalation of hospital expenditure following an otherwise excellent piece of craftsmanship. Many a times this may lead to a constrained relationship between the patient and the surgeon. Moreover it places immense economic burden on the patient and the healthcare infrastructure. Recent WHO statistics reveal that for every 100 hospitalised patients at any given time, 7 in developed and 10 in developing countries will acquire healthcare associated infection. These figures may be as high as 10-30% in centres dealing with critically ill patients. Since no patient or surgery is immune to the risk of SSI the subject has received its due attention recently. The pathogenesis of SSI is multifactorial. Bacteria may access the surgical site through both endogenous and exogenous routes. Patient related factors such as immunity and bacterial colonisation along with antisepsis, antibiotic prophylaxis, surgical technique and healthcare environment play an important role in predisposition to SSI. Strategies to reduce SSI include preoperative patient optimisation, perioperative protocols, sterilisation and operation theatre environment and preparation protocols along with surgeon and operation theatre personnel preparation protocols, all based on an intricate understanding of the pathogenesis of SSI and the role of biofilms.

Pathogenesis of SSI: The source of infective agent may be endogenous from commensal microorganisms or exogenous which includes apparatus, fomites, caregivers, etc. The route of infection is mostly through direct contact and less commonly via air or droplets Staphylococcus aureus is the leading cause of orthopaedic SSI which is a common body commensal. The prevalence of methicillin resistant strain (MRSA) importantly is on the rise both in community and healthcare setting. Gram negative bacilli originate from solutions, fluids and invasive devices and viruses from blood and blood products. Fungal infections in immunocompromised individuals involves aspergillus spp and Candida albicans. Commensal organisms which are polymicrobial coexist on almost all healthy body surfaces exposed to the environment. The body's innate and adaptive immunity prevent infection from these circumstances however these defences are disrupted at surgical incision sites due to tissue injury and hematoma. Moreover implanted medical devices provide a niche for such organisms. Progression to infection is determined by interplay between the host defence, microbial virulence and the presence of an attachment surface. Once infection sets in, antimicrobial therapy being instituted controls the planktonic phase of these organisms- the individually thriving, free floating phase which induces acute illness. Biofilms which are polymicrobial, sessile, community based aggregations within a self-secreted matrix exhibit radically altered phenotype regarding growth, gene expression and protein production compared to taxonomically identical planktonic organisms.

Planktonic organisms are a transient population susceptible to host defences and antimicrobials whereas biofilms provide a safe environment for microbial replication and microcolony growth via detachment and dispersion which is genetically programmed or under mechanical shear. Abiotic and devitalised biotic surfaces which are coated in host extracellular matrix adhere the planktonic microbes. As replication of these microbes proceeds, an altered host humoral response and quorum sensing compound induced altered microbial gene expression cause biofilm production, host immune cell lysis and localised tissue destruction. With further expansion as the nutrients become limited in supply a phase of lowered metabolic activity ensues which cause tolerance to antimicrobial agents that act via synthesis of cell walls, nucleic acids or proteins. Such populations called persisters exhibit almost nil metabolic activity and are completely resistant to antimicrobials. Moreover in biofilms multiple species co-exist within a close spatially structured region that allow robust signalling and transfer of genetic material inducing new unique strains with enhanced diversity and survival characteristics. This entire genetic material distributed across the biofilm functions as a de facto genome larger than any one strain and is termed pangenome which prevents the host from developing an effective adaptive immunity.

This resistance to antimicrobial bactericidal action may be upto 100 to 1000 times the levels that would easily kill the planktonic organisms. The identification of pathogenic organisms implicated in biofilms are however extremely difficult to isolate. Biofilms have extremely small foci of organisms causing a large area of surrounding inflammation and may be easily missed during biopsies. Also it is difficult to liberate the microbes from these biofilms and even if done may actually resemble the planktonic variety with vastly different characteristics. Moreover conventional cultures are often unable to grow the sessile phenotypes especially the persisters thereby yielding false negative reports. Newer methods used for direct identification of microbes in biofilms using PCR, DNA array, RNA, FISH probes, ELISA, phase contrast microscopy, etc are still investigational. The acute symptoms and intermittent exacerbations of SSI are due to the rapid growth of planktonic organisms and the host responses to it, which is amenable to antimicrobial therapy however if abiotic or compromised tissue surfaces are present soon the sessile or biofilm phase ensues which can only be eradicated with surgical removal of devitalised tissue and implant.

Staphylococcus aureus: Two strains of *S aureus* that cause orthopaedic SSI are the methicillin sensitive and MRSA. They colonize skin surface of about one third of the general population. MRSA is associated with increased morbidity, mortality and hospital stay. Nasal carriage is the commonest site of colonisation of *S aureus* and is strongly associated with skin carriage and such patients are two to nine times more likely to develop SSI. Several studies have shown it to be the only independent risk factor in orthopaedic SSI. Nasal screening has shown to detect 66% of carriers and combined nasal and perineal swabs have improved detection rates up to 82%. Several studies have shown decolonisation to decrease SSI rates. The most commonly used protocol is topical intranasal mupirocin ointment twice daily and chlorhexidine body washes for 5 days immediately before surgery along with preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis and patients with MRSA additionally receive Vancomycin. Resistance to these antimicrobials should also be monitored.

Preoperative patient optimization: Patient education Patients should be preoperatively assessed for physiological factors such as age, recumbency as well as personal factors such as general recumbency. Hence patient education is a vital component of SSI prevention.

Modifiable patient risk factors

Diabetes mellitus: Hyperglycemia adversely affects humoral and cell mediated immunity specifically impairing neutrophil function. Glycated haemoglobin along with micro and macrovascular disease impairs tissue oxygen delivery, inhibition of fibroblast proliferation and collagen synthesis during wound healing. Perioperative hyperglycemia in non-diabetics (2 or more measurements of ≥ 200 mg/dl) are also associated with increased incidence of SSI. The precise thresholds are not established but most guidelines recommend preprandial and postprandial levels of 90-130mg/dl and 180mg/dl respectively and hba1c levels less than 7% in elective surgeries. Fasting blood sugars and urine for ketones should be checked on the day of surgery. In emergency setting random blood sugars should be optimised to less than 200mg/dl.

Obesity: Obesity may be one of the most common modifiable risk factor for SSI. It increases the technical challenge in surgery and results in larger incisions, more extensive tissue dissections, greater blood loss, and longer surgical times. Compounding these, such patients often receive insufficient doses of prophylactic antibiotics

Malnutrition: Inadequate nutritional reserves specially protein deficiencies, impairs collagen and proteoglycan synthesis thus tissue healing. Decreased lymphocyte count reduces the capacity of the immune system to combat infection thereby increasing the chances of SSI. Most significant predictors of protein deficiency include serum albumin

Rheumatoid Arthritis: Rheumatoid arthritis constitutes a greater risk in late infection as opposed to acute infection. It is a direct risk factor of disease specific impairment of wound healing and immune response to pathogenic organisms. The medications prescribed for rheumatoid arthritis indirectly acts as risk factor of SSI.

Corticosteroids: The use of corticosteroids is one of the most widely accepted risk factors for SSI. The level of risk appears to be directly proportional to the dosage. They inhibit vascular permeability, leukocyte chemotaxis, adhesion, and phagocytises as well as impair cell mediated immune response.

Methotrexate and Non Biologic DMARD: Most investigators conclude that nonbiologic DMARDS don't have substantial effect on SSI rates. The long half-lives of these drugs also make temporary discontinuation of surgery difficult and enhance the challenge of underlying disease flares and loss of disease controls. An international panel of rheumatologists recommended against discontinuing methotrexate before surgery

Anti-Tumour Necrosis Factor: Macrophage derived TNF alpha is a proinflammatory molecule that plays a critical role in combating infection, undergoing anti TNF treatment are predisposed to mycobacterial and other opportunistic

infections. Moderate evidence suggests anti TNF drugs increase the risk of SSI from common bacteria.

Non rheumatoid Inflammatory Arthritides: Conditions other than rheumatoid arthritis such as psoriatic arthritis, ankylosing spondylitis also increase the risk of SSI. Medical treatment involves similar medications which are used in rheumatoid arthritis. Judicious preoperative use of these medications will reduce the rates of SSI

Cigarette Smoking: Cigarette smoking appears to be the substantial modifiable risk factor of SSI. Nicotine mediated tissue hypo perfusion leads to unfavourable shift of Hb O₂ dissociation curve which ultimately results in impaired tissue healing. It also inhibits collagen synthesis resulting inflammation and dysfunction of vascular endothelium. Nicotine, carbon monoxide nitric oxide are all implicated in impaired wound healing. Cessation of smoking results in decreased risk of SSI

Urinary Tract Infection Post-operative: UTI can be a risk factor for periprosthetic joint infection but its association with SSI is unclear. Consensus exists that patients should be asked about urgency or frequency of micturition. If such symptoms present urine analysis and urine culture should be obtained. In the absence of symptoms surgery can proceed if urine analysis doesn't suggest infection despite presence of bacteriuria. Surgery can also proceed in settings of symptoms with I/O in setting of urinary tract obstruction or symptomatic infection surgery should be postponed

Anaemia: Anaemia is the 2nd most prevalent modifiable risk factor for SSI after obesity. Postoperative allogenic blood transfusion is a risk factor for SSI. Preop anaemia increases the risk for requiring postop blood transfusion. Although treatment of preop anaemia decreases this risk the optimal treatment method has not been established

HIV: Conditions associated with immune deficiencies are risk factors for SSI. In patients with HIV infection CD count >400/mL and an unpredictable viral load is strongly associated with risk for patients undergoing total joint arthroplasty.

Timing of Dental Procedure: Dental procedures such as tooth extractions are associated with transient bacteraemia, and sepsis which can result in implant shedding and active infection. Recovered bacterial species include viridians streptococci, beta haemolytic streptococci, non-pathogenic gonococci and gram positive anaerobes.

Diagnosis of surgical site infections: In the perioperative period inflammation related to local procedural trauma causing pain and discomfort may be confounding but the presence of clinical signs such as fever, erythema, warmth and incision site wound drainage may be important clues to the presence of infection. Chronic infection on the other hand is a diagnostic dilemma because the symptoms are typically indolent and less severe and in the presence of orthopaedic hardware differentiating infection from adverse local tissue reactions and mechanical or hardware failure can be challenging. Laboratory parameters such as leukocytosis and elevated C-reactive protein levels and erythrocyte sedimentation rates can be useful in the chronic setting however these parameters are nonspecific in the immediate perioperative period when they can routinely be elevated because of inflammation.

Histologic and microbiologic analysis of soft-tissue specimens is the definitive standard for diagnosis of postoperative infection but sampling may sometimes be complex, invasive or contaminated yielding low sensitivity and specificity rates. Image guided aspiration of soft tissue collection or biopsy are options but biopsy carries the risk of artificial introduction of infection apart from yielding low positive rates [35]. Imaging is the cornerstone of diagnosis of SSI and apart from confirming the diagnosis, it also provides details about the extent, severity and any associated complications. It provides an objective and longitudinal method of monitoring treatment. Plain radiography though easily accessible, findings lag behind clinical disease. The initial loss of fat planes is followed by signs of osteomyelitis appearing 7 to 10 days later and signs of chronic osteomyelitis appearing still later. The effects of surgery such as cortical irregularity and periosteal reaction can mimic osteomyelitis on radiographic findings. Periprosthetic lucency on radiographs also can be due to aseptic loosening or infection.

Arthrography with either ultrasonographic or fluoroscopic guidance can help evaluate joint infections. By taking two separate joint aspirates: a native sample if fluid is present and a lavage sample using injected contrast material the incriminating organism can be detected. CT facilitates visualization of subtle erosive changes and periosteal reaction in acute osteomyelitis and bony sequestrae in chronic osteomyelitis that can be masked by postoperative changes and hardware on radiography. Intravenous contrast material may help define focal sinus tracts and abscess which typically demonstrate thick, sometimes irregular rim enhancement although MRI better delineates soft tissue infections. Currently, MRI is the premier modality for diagnosing postoperative infection because of superior soft-tissue contrast and inherent ability to define the anatomic extent of osseous and soft tissue infection. In the postoperative setting, fat-saturated, fluid-sensitive sequences such as short tau hyper inversion recovery (STIR) are used to identify edema patterns within soft-tissue and osseous structures and to differentiate simple cellulitis from more complicated soft-tissue and/or osseous infection. Absence of signal abnormality on STIR images virtually excludes the presence of infection. Gadolinium-enhanced, fat-suppressed T1-weighted sequences can distinguish between edematous and necrotic tissue and can identify the presence and extent of fluid collections and sinus tracts. Even when osteomyelitis can be diagnosed using radiography and/or CT, MRI may be vitally important in the detection of an intraosseous abscess, which frequently requires surgical debridement rather than simple intravenous antibiotic therapy. Artifacts can be reduced with implants having lower ferrous content and a field strength of 1.5T or less.

Conclusion

Surgical site may lead to many complications. Adherence to proper pre-operative protocols may curtail this complication. Excellent surgery may lead to failure. However it is very much preventable by following strict surgical protocols.

REFERENCES

- American Diabetes Association. 2008. Standards of medical care in diabetes-2008. Diabetes care. 31(1):S12- S54.
- Anderson DJ., Sexton DJ., Kanafani ZA., Auten G., Kaye KS. 2007. Severe surgical site infection in community hospitals

- epidemiology, key procedures and the changing prevalence of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Infect control hosp epidemio*. 28(9):1047-1053.
- Bongartz T HCDea. 2008. Incidence and risk factors of prosthetic joint infection after total hip or knee replacement in patients with knee replacement in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum*. 59(12).
- Costerton JW., Stewart PS., Greenberg EP. 1999. Bacterial biofilms a common cause of persistent infections. *Science*. 284(5418):1318-1322.
- Costerton W., Veeh R., Shirtliff M., Pasmore M., Post C., Ehrlich G. 2003. The application of biofilm science to the study and control of chronic bacterial infections. *J Clin Invest.*, 112(10):1466-1477.
- Cross MB YPCJVC. 2014. Evaluation of malnutrition in orthopedic surgery. *Am Acad Orthopedic surgery*, 22(3).
- Engemann JJ., Carmeli Y., Cosgrove SE. et al., 2003. Adverse clinical and economic outcomes attributable to methicillin resistance among patients with staphylococcus aureus surgical site infection. *Clin Infect Dis.*, 36(5):592-598.
- Grijalva CG CLEa. 2011. Initiation of tumor necrosis factor alpha antagonists and the risk of hospitalization for infection in patients with autoimmune diseases. *JAMA.*, 306(21).
- Hansen S., Schwab F., Asensio A. et al., 2010. Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus Aureus* in Europe which infection control measures are taken? *Infection*, 38(3).
- Harrop JS., Styliaras JC., Ooi JC., Radcliff KE., Vaccaro AR., Wu C. 2012. Contributing factors to surgical site infections. *J Am Acad Ortho Surg.*, 20(2):94-101.
- JA S. Smoking and outcomes after knee and hip arthroplasty: A systematic review. *Rheumatol*. 2011, 38(9).
- Jaylakshmi T., Kapil A., Sathpathy S., Lodha R., Rao T. 2004. AIIMS hospital infection control manual: All India institute of medical sciences.
- Koch R., Becker K., Cookson B. et al., Methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus burden of disease and control challenges in Europe. *Euro Surviell*, 15(41).
- Korol E JKNa. 2013. A Systematic review of risk factors associated with surgical site infections among surgical patients, 2013, 8(12).
- McLaren AC., Shirtliff ME. 2015. Biofilms in surgical site infection. In Hsu WK, McLaren AC, Springer BD, editors. *Let's discuss Surgical Site Infection.: American academy of orthopaedic surgeons*, 2-9. 16. Wertheim HF, Melles DC, Vos MC, et al. The role of nasal carriage in staphylococcus aureus infections. *Lancet infect dis*. 2005, 5(12).
- Meluleni GJ., Grout M., Evans DJ., Pier GB. 1995. Mucoid *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* growing in a biofilm in vitro are killed by opsonic antibodies to the mucoid exopolysaccharide capsule but not by antibodies produced during chronic lung infections in cystic fibrosis patients. *J Immunol*. 155(4):2029-2038. 13. Ward KH, Oslon ME, Lam K, Costerton JW. Mechanism of persistent infection associated with peritoneal implants. *J Med Microbiol*. 1992; 32(6):406-4013.
- Moucha CS CTRL.2011. Modifiable risk factors for SSI. *Bone Joint Surgery*. 93(4).
- Parvizi J ZBEa. 2011. New definition for periprosthetic joint infection. From the workgroup of the Musculoskeletal Infection Society. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 469(11).
- Perl TM., Golub JE. 1998. New approaches to reduce staphylococcal aureus nosocomial infection rates: treating *S aureus* nasal carriage. *Ann Pharmacother*. 32(1):S7-S16.
- Rao N., Kim DH.2015. Perioperative risk factors and patient optimisation: risk assessment and prevention. In Hsu WK, McLaren AC, Springer BD, editors. *Let's discuss Surgical Site Infection.: American academy of orthopaedic surgeons*, 13-23.
- Ravi B EBPA. 2008. A systemic review and metaanalysis comparing complications following total joint arthroplasty for rheumatoid arthritis versus for osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum*. 64(12).
- Resch A., Leicht S., Saric M. et al., 2006. Comparative proteome analysis of *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm and planktonic cells and correlation with transcriptome profiling. *Proteomics*. 6(6):1867-1877. 11. Donlan RM, Costerton JW. Biofilms survival mechanisms of clinically relevant microorganisms. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 2002; 15(2):167-193.
- Richards JE., Kauffmann RM., Zuckerman SL., et al., 2012. relationship of hyperglycemia and surgical site infection in orthopaedic surgery. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.*, 94(13):1181-1186.
- Sancheti P., Shyam A. 2015. Manual of infection control in orthopaedic surgery Sancheti P, Joshi R, Shyam A, Rocha S, editors. *jaypee brothers medical publishers*.
- Schoenfeld AJ CPAJC. 2013. Patient factors, comorbidities, and surgical characteristics that increase mortality and complication risk after spinal arthrodesis: A Prognostic study based on 5,887 patients. *Spine journal*. 13(10).
- Traskalova-Hogenova H., Stepankova R., Hudcovic T. et al. 2004. Commensal bacteria (normal microflora), mucosal immunity and chronic inflammatory and autoimmune diseases. *Immuno Lett.*, 93(2-3). 7. Ehrlich GD, Ahmed A, Earl J, et al. The distributed genome hypothesis as a rubric for understanding evolution in situ during chronic bacterial biofilm infectious process. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol*. 2010; 59(3):269-279.
- Visser K KWEEa. 2009. Multinationals evidence based recommendations for the use of methotrexate in rheumatic disorders with a focus on rheumatoid arthritis. Integrating systematic literature research and expert opinion of a broad international panel of rheumatologists. *Ann Rheum Dis.*, 68(7).
- West SK., Plantengna MS., Strausbaugh LJ. 2007. Emerging Infections network, Infectious disease society of America Use of decolonisation to prevent Staphylococcal infection in various healthcare settings: Results of an emerging infections Networks survey. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 28(9):1111-1113.
- Xu KD., Mcfeters GA., Stewart PS. 2000. Biofilm resistance to antimicrobial agents. *Microbiology*. 146(3).
