

Available online at http://www.journalcra.com

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CURRENT RESEARCH

International Journal of Current Research

Vol. 11, Issue, 02, pp.1387-1389, February, 2019 DOI: https://doi.org/10.24941/ijcr.34422.02.2019

RESEARCH ARTICLE

ROLE OF HYSTEROSCOPY IN EVALUATION OF INFERTILITY

*Dr. Chandra Jyoti and Dr. Manojkumar and Dr. H. H. Sinha

AIIMS Patna, India

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Article History: Received 09 th November, 2018	Background: Hysteroscopy is gold standard procedure for uterine cavity evaluation (1). There are many randomized controlled trials on technical feasibility and patient compliance demonstrating that
Received in revised form 27 th December, 2018 Accepted 09 th January, 2019 Published online 28 th February, 2019	the procedure is well tolerated and effective in treatment of intrauterine pathology. Objective: To review the role of hysteroscopy in evaluation of infertility. Method: The study group includes 100 infertile women presenting to OPD during the period January 2017 -July 2018. This retrospective study was conducted at Department of Gynecology in AIIMS Patna. Patients aged 21-42 years with
Key Words:	infertility were included in this study. Results: Among 100 infertile women, abnormal hysteroscopic finding were seen in 52% of cases. The most common abnormality was intrauterine adhesion
Infertility, Intrauterine adhesion, Hysteroscopy.	(46.4%). Cervico-isthmic abnormalities were present in 7.1%. Observed abnormalities were septate uterus 12.5%, submucous myoma 7.1%, endometrial polyp was seen in 23.4%. Uterine cavity was normal in 88% cases, deformed cavity in 12% of cases. Conclusion: Intrauterine adhesion were the most frequent abnormal finding in patient evaluated for infertility. Hysteroscopy is valuable
*Corresponding author: Chandra Jyoti	diagnostic and therapeutic modality in management of infertility. Subject Area: obstetrics and Gynaecology

Copyright © 2019, Chandra Jyoti. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Dr. Chandra Jyoti and Dr. Manojkumar and Dr. H. H. Sinha. 2019. "Role of hysteroscopy in evaluation of infertility", International Journal of Current Research, 11, (02), 1387-1389.

INTRODUCTION

According to the National Centre for Health Statistics, it was tabulated that between 2006 and 2010, rates of infertility were to range 8-30% between age15-44 years (Chandra, 2005).The introduction of hysteroscopy in gynaecologic practice revolutionized the diagnosis and treatment of intrauterine disease. Evaluation of the uterine cavity is a basic step in female infertility workup. Classically, hysterosalpingography and transvaginal sonography are most commonly used for this purpose. Hysteroscopy, however, is considered the gold standard for diagnosis of intrauterine lesions (Bakour, 2006). Hysteroscopy allows direct visualisation of endometrial lining and evaluation of the potential implantation site which is important step in management of women with infertility. Many studies have concluded that whenever laparoscopy is performed, it should be combined with hysteroscopy in order to complete the assessment before starting the infertility treatment.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study group includes 100 infertile women presenting to OPD during the period January 2017 -July 2018. This retrospective study was conducted at Department of Gynaecology in AIIMS Patna. Patients aged 21-42 years with infertility were included in this study. Hysteroscopy was performed to look for and evaluate the presence of intrauterine abnormalities. Diagnostic hysteroscopy was performed using 4mm hysteroscope, under short general anesthesia. Hysteroscopy was performed with standard sequence, inspecting the endocervical canal, uterine cavity, endometrium and tubal ostia. A result of p < 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Hysteroscopy was performed in 100 infertile women ,78% were diagnosed with primary infertility and 22% with secondary infertility. Hysteroscopy was normal in 44% of cases. In our study 46% were of age <30 years and 54% were of age >30years.In 70% of patientduration of infertility was <5 years, majority (60) of them were with primary infertility and 30% cases duration of infertility was>5 years. Patients with secondary infertility 6 had >2 parity, 10 had one abortion, 6 had one parity. Table 2 shows hysteroscopic finding in primary and secondary infertility. In our study 56 women had abnormal intrauterine finding, in which intrauterine adhesion were most common finding. Majority of were with primary infertility with age >30 years.44% had normal finding with age <30 year. Cervico-Isthmic abnormalities seen7.1% cases, 5.8% had cervical polyp, cervical stenosis seen in 1.3% of women. Intrauterine synechia seen in 26% of cases, Mullerian anomaly seen in 14.3%, Deformed cavity (9.7%), Ostial fibrosis (12.9%). Endomertial polyp seen in 3.9 % of patient with primary infertility.

 Table 1. Percentage distribution of primary/secondary infertility, age of presentations and duration of infertility

Primary infertility	78%
Secondary infertility	22%
Age<30 years	46%
Age >30 years	54%
Duration of infertility<5 years	70%
Duration of infertility>5 years	30%

 Table 2. Distribution of hysteroscopic findings according to age and primary/secondary infertility

	Normal finding	Abnormal finding
Primary infertility	35(80%)	43(75.6%)
Secondary infertility	9(20%)	13(24.4%)
Ag<30 year	24(54%)	22(40%)
Age >30 year	20(46%)	34(60%)

 Table 3. Distribution of abnormal hysteroscopic findings in primary /secondary infertility

Abnormal finding	Primary infertility	Secondary infertility
Cervical polyp	1 (1.3%)	1 (4.5%)
Cervical stenosis	1 (1.3%)	0
Synechia	16 (20.8%)	10 (45%)
Grade-1	12 (15.6%)	4 (18%)
Submucous myoma	2 (2.6%)	1 (4.5%)
Mullerian anomaly	7 (9.8%)	1(4.5%)
Deformed cavity	4 (5.2%)	1 (4.5%)
Ostial fibrosis	3(3.9%)	2(9%)
Endometrial polyp	3(3.9%)	1 (4.5%)

 Table 4. This shows the comparison of distribution of abnormal hysteroscopic findings by different studies

	Martin koskas et al 2010	Lasmar <i>et al</i> 2010	Ugboja Jo <i>et al</i> 2019
Abnormal finding	40.4%	54.2%	70.4%
Synechia	3.9%	19.4%	47.8%
Submucous myoma	2.3%	4.9%	11.9%
Mullerian	3.5%(unicornuate)	3.4%	10.7(arcuate)
Endometrial polyp	9.7%	12.1%	17.6%
Cervico-ithmus	4.3%	6%	
Deformed cavity	3.1%		

DISCUSSION

Hysteroscopy is valuable diagnostic and therapeutic modality in the management of infertility. The role of hysteroscopy in infertility has been changing as its capabilities are increased while other diagnostic modalities has been replaced from its former uses. Evaluation of uterine cavity is a basic step in infertility workup. Initially, hysterosalpingography and transvaginal sonography are most common used for this purpose. According to the American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM), hysteroscopy is the definitive method for the diagnosis of intrauterine lesions. In our study abnormal finding seen in 56 % of cases. According to Martin Koskas *et al* (40.4%), Lasmer RB *et al* (54.4%), Ugboja *et al* (70.4%) had abnormal finding (Martin Koskas *et al.*, 2010; Lasmar *et al.* 2010; and Ugboaja, 2008).

Table 1 shows that 78% had primary infertility and 22% presented with secondary infertility which correspond with study by Lasmar RB *et al* (73.4%). It has been reported that mild intrauterine adhesion can cause infertility due to changes in the functional aspects of the endometrium. Pregnancy outcome in women with synechia was significantly lower than that of women with normal cavity (Yu, 2008). 14.3% had mulleriananomaly, most common anomaly was septate uterus,

according to Ugboja et al 10.7% had arcuate uterus. Intrauterine adhesions are the common finding in our study 26%, but according to Hucke et al Mullerian anomalies are the most frequently found disorders (Hucke, 2000). The septate uterusis most common anomaly associated with the highest incidence of reproductive failure (Taylor, 2008). Submucous fibroids interfere with fertility and should be removed in infertile women regardless of size or presence of symptoms (Bosteels, 2013). According to the ASRM 2008, hysteroscopic myomectomy is indicated for intracavitary and submucous Myoma having at least 50% of their volume within the uterine cavity. Endometrial polypwere diagnosed n both primary and secondary infertility groups with no statistically significant difference. Implantation and pregnancy outcome were significantly increased after hysteroscopic removal of polyp Stamatellos et al 2008 (Stamatellos, 2008). Many studies describe the incidence of abnormal findings with hysteroscopy infertile women but none give the proportion of these women who could benefit from an adapted treatment based on hysteroscopic findings.

Conclusion

The study show that 56% had abnormal finding in hysteroscopy. Intrauterine adhesion was most common finding. Evaluation of the uterine cavity is basic step in the investigation of infertile women. Hysteroscopy is used as a therapeutic method in many conditions that may affect fertility, such as uterine septum, intrauterine adhesions, endometrial polyps, submucous myoma and tubal fibrosis.

REFERENCES

- Bakour, S. H. S. E. Jones, and P. O'Donovan, "Ambulatory hysteroscopy: evidence-based guide to diagnosis and therapy," Best Practice & Research Clinical Obstetrics & Gynaecology, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 953–975, 2006. View at Publisher: View at Google Scholar.
- Bosteels, J. J. Kasius, S. Weyers, F. J. Broekmans, B. W. J. Mol, and T. M. D'Hooghe, 2013. "Hysteroscopy for treating subfertility associated with suspected major uterine cavity abnormalities," Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, no. 1, Article ID CD009461.
- Chandra A, Martinez GM, Mosher WD, Amba JC, Jones J. Fertility, family planning and reproductive health of U.S. women: data from the 2002 National Survey of Family Growth. Vital Health Stat 23.2005; 1-160.
- Golan, A. Eilat, E. Ron -El, R. A Herman, Y. 1996. Soffer, and I. Bukovsky, Hysteroscopyis superior to HSG in infertility investigation, Acta Obstetrica et Gynaecologica Scandinavica, vol.75, no7, pp.654-656.
- Hucke J, et al, De Bruynef. Balan P. Contrib Gynecol Obstet. 2000; 20:13-20.
- Lasmar, R.B Banozo PR., P arente RC, Lasmar BP, da Rosa DB, Penna IA, Dias R. Rev Bras Ginecul Obstet. 2010 Aug; 32(8); 393-7.
- Martin Koskas, Jean-Luc Mergui, Chadi Yazbeck, Serge Uzan, Dept of obs and gynae France 2010.
- Stamatellos, A. Apostolides, P. Stamatopoulos, and J. Bontis, "Pregnancy rates after hysteroscopic polypectomy depending on the size or number of the polyps," Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics, vol. 277, no. 5, pp. 395– 399, 2008.
- Taylor, E. and V. Gomel, The uterus and fertility, Fertlity and Sterlity, Vol 89, no.1, pp. 1-16 2008.

The Practice Committee of American Society for Reproductive Medicine in collaboration with Society of Reproductive Surgeons, "Myomas and reproductive function," Fertility and Sterility, vol. 90, no. 5, pp. S125–S130, 2008. Ugboaja Jo, Oguejiofor CB, Igwegbe AO, Niger J Clinpract 2019 Jan; 22(1):9-1510.4103 Injp .njp-404-17.

Yu D, et, LiTc, XIGE, Fertil Steril. 2008 Mar; 89 (3): 715-22 E pub 2007Aug.
