



RESEARCH ARTICLE

PROPERTIES OF $sg^*\alpha$ -CLOSED SETS IN TOPOLOGY

¹Govindappa Navalagi and ^{2,*}Sujata S. Tallur

¹Department of Mathematics, KIT, Tiptur-572202, Karnataka, India

²Department of Mathematics, Government First Grade College, Kalaghatagi-582104, Karnataka, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 10th May, 2018
Received in revised form
24th June, 2018
Accepted 05th July, 2018
Published online 30th August, 2018

ABSTRACT

In this paper we introduce a new class of sets namely, $sg^*\alpha$ -closed sets in topological spaces. For these $sg^*\alpha$ -closed sets, we define and study their neighbourhoods. **Mathematics Subject Classifications (2010):** 54A05, 54B05.

Key Words:

Semiopen Sets,
Semiclosed Sets,
 α -Open Sets,
g-Closed Sets ,
 α g-Closed Sets.

Copyright © 2018, Govindappa Navalagi and Sujata S. Tallur. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Govindappa Navalagi and Sujata S. Tallur. 2018. "Properties of $sg^*\alpha$ -closed sets in topology", *International Journal of Current Research*, 10, (08), 72218-72223.

1. INTRODUCTION

In (Levine, 1970), Levine generalized the concept of closed set to generalized closed sets. Bhattacharya and Lahari (1987) generalized the concept of closed sets to semi-generalized closed sets via semi-open sets. In this paper we generalized the concept of closed sets to semi-generalized closed sets via αg^*s -open sets called semi generalized star α -closed (in short $sg^*\alpha$ -closed) sets in topological spaces and study some of their relationship and their properties. Furthermore, the notion of $sg^*\alpha$ -neighbourhood, $sg^*\alpha$ -limit points, $sg^*\alpha$ -derived sets, $sg^*\alpha$ -closure $sg^*\alpha$ -interior and $sg^*\alpha$ - R_0 as well as weakly $sg^*\alpha$ - R_0 spaces are presented.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper (X, τ) , (Y, σ) and (Z, η) represent topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. For a subset A of a space (X, τ) the closure and interior of A with respect to τ are denoted by $Cl(A)$ and $Int(A)$ respectively. The complement of A is denoted by A^c or $X-A$.

*Corresponding author: Sujata S. Tallur

²Department of Mathematics, Government First Grade College, Kalaghatagi-582104, Karnataka, India

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24941/ijcr.31984.08.2018>

Definition 2.1: A subset A of a topological space (in short, TS) X is called a

- semi-open set (Levine, 1963) if $A \subseteq cl(int(A))$ and a semi-closed set (Crossley, 1972) if $int(cl(A)) \subseteq A$.
- α -open set (8) if $A \subseteq int(cl(int(A)))$ and a α -closed set (Njåstad, 1965) if $cl(int(cl(A))) \subseteq A$.
- The complement of semi-open set is called semi-closed (Levine., 1963) set of a space X. The family of all semi-open (resp. pre-open, semi-preopen) sets of a space X is denoted by $SO(X)$ and that of semi-closed sets of X is denoted by $SF(X)$.

Definition 2.2 (Crossley, 1972): For a subset A of X,

- The intersection of all semi-closed subsets of X containing A is called semi-closure of A and is denoted by $sCl(A)$.
- Semi-interior of A is the union of all semi-open sets contained in A in X and is denoted by $sInt(A)$.

Definition 2.3: A subset A of a TS X is known as

- Generalized closed (briefly g-closed) (5) if $cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open in X.

- Generalized-semi closed (briefly gs-closed) (1) if $scl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open in X .
- α -Generalized-closed (briefly αg -closed) set (6) if $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is open in X .
- Generalized α -closed (briefly $g\alpha$ -closed) set (7) if $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is α -open in X .
- αg^*s set (9) if $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is $g\alpha$ -open in X . and the collection of αg^*s -closed sets in X is denoted by $\alpha g^*sC(X)$.

3. Properties of $sg^*\alpha$ -closed sets

We, present basic results of semi generalized star α - closed sets in this section.

Definition 3.1: A subset A of X is termed as semi generalized star α -closed (in short $sg^*\alpha$ -closed) set if $sCl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is αg^*s -open in X . The family of entire $sg^*\alpha$ -closed members of X is labeled as $SG^*\alpha C(X)$.

Example 3.2: Take $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Here $SO(X) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$. $\alpha C(X) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$. $GSO(X) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$. $\alpha g^*sC(X) = \{X, \phi, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$. So, $SG^*\alpha C(X) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$.

Theorem 3.3: Each closed set is $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set still converse is not true.

Proof: Allow K be a closed set in X . Note that $sCl(K) \subseteq Cl(K)$ holds good as well as $Cl(K) = K$ as K is closed. So if $A \subseteq G$ where G is αg^*s -open set in X . Subsequently $sCl(A) \subseteq G$. Thereupon A is $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set in X .

Example 3.4: In 3.2, $\{a\}$ and $\{b\}$ are $sg^*\alpha$ -closed sets but not closed sets in X .

Theorem 3.5: Each $g\alpha$ -closed, αg -closed set is $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set although converse is false.

Proof: Allow A be a αg -closed set in X . Allow $A \subseteq U$, where U is open as well as it is α -open set which in turn it is αg^*s -open. Again $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$. Note that $sCl(A) \subseteq \alpha cl(A)$. Consequently, $sCl(A) \subseteq U$. Hence A is $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set in X .

Example 3.6: In example 3.2, $\{b\}$ is $sg^*\alpha$ -closed although it isn't $g\alpha$ -closed as well as αg -closed.

Theorem 3.7: Each α -closed is αg -closed and hence $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set though reverse is not true.

Proof: Trivial.

Example 3.8: In example 3.2, $\{a\}$ is $sg^*\alpha$ -closed still not α -closed.

Theorem 3.9: Each $sg^*\alpha$ -closed is $g\alpha$ -closed set though contrarily false.

Proof: Authorize M be a $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set in X . Make O be an open set and so it is αg^*s -open set such that $M \subseteq O$. Hence $sCl(M) \subseteq O$. Consequently, M is $g\alpha$ -closed set in X .

Example 3.10: Consider a topology $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ on $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $SG^*\alpha C(X) = \{X, \phi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$. $g\alpha$ -closed sets are $\{X, \phi, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. As we can see that $\{a, c\}$ is $g\alpha$ -closed yet it isn't $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set.

Theorem 3.11: Each αg^*s -closed is $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set though contrarily false.

Proof: Authorize M be a αg^*s -closed set in X . Make O be an αg^*s -open set and so it is $g\alpha$ -open. Again $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$. Note that $sCl(A) \subseteq \alpha cl(A)$. Hence $sCl(M) \subseteq O$. Consequently, M is $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set in X .

Example 3.12: In 3.2 $\{b\}$ is $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set but it is not αg^*s -closed.

Remark 3.13: The intersection of two $sg^*\alpha$ -closed sets is again $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set however union of two $sg^*\alpha$ -closed sets isn't $sg^*\alpha$ -closed.

Example 3.14: In 3.2, $\{a\}$ and $\{b\}$ are $sg^*\alpha$ -closed sets but their union $\{a, b\}$ is not $sg^*\alpha$ -closed.

Definition 3.15: A TS X is termed as $sg^*\alpha T_{1/2}$ -space whenever each $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set is closed.

4. $sg^*\alpha$ -Neighbourhoods

Definition 4.1: A subset P of a TS X is named as semi generalized star α -neighbourhood (in short $sg^*\alpha$ -nhd) of a point k of X if there arises a $sg^*\alpha$ -open set U so that $k \in U \subseteq P$. The collection of entire $sg^*\alpha$ -nhd's of $x \in X$ is termed $sg^*\alpha$ -nhd system of x and is labeled as $sg^*\alpha$ - $N(x)$.

Theorem 4.2: Enable p be any arbitrary point of a TS X . At that time $sg^*\alpha$ - $N(x)$ satisfies succeeding properties.

- $sg^*\alpha$ - $N(p) \neq \phi$
- Whenever $N \in sg^*\alpha$ - $N(p)$ then $p \in N$.
- Whenever $N \in sg^*\alpha$ - $N(p)$ and $N \subset M$ at that time $M \in sg^*\alpha$ - $N(p)$.

Proof: (i) By the reason of each $p \in X$, X is a $sg^*\alpha$ -open set. Therefore $x \in X \subset X$, implicit X is $sg^*\alpha$ -nhd of p , hence $X \in \alpha$ - sg - $N(x)$. Accordingly, $sg^*\alpha$ - $N(p) \neq \phi$.

- Given $N \in sg^*\alpha$ - $N(p)$, implicit N is a $sg^*\alpha$ -nhd of p , which indicates there is a $sg^*\alpha$ -open set G so as $p \in G \subset N$. This impart, $x \in N$.
- Given $N \in \alpha$ - sg - $N(p)$ implicit there is a $sg^*\alpha$ -open set G in such a manner $p \in G \subset N$. And $N \subset M$, which implicit $p \in G \subset M$. This shows that $M \in sg^*\alpha$ - $N(x)$.

Theorem 4.3: Let A be a member of a TS X . Thereupon A is $sg^*\alpha$ -open iff A contains a $sg^*\alpha$ -nhd of each of its points.

Proof: Allow A be a $sg^*\alpha$ -open set in X . Make $x \in A$, which imparts $x \in A \subseteq A$. So A is $sg^*\alpha$ -nhd of x . Hence A contains a $sg^*\alpha$ -nhd of each of its points. Contrarily, A contains a $sg^*\alpha$ -nhd of each of its points.

For each $x \in A$ there arises a neighbourhood N_x of x such that $x \in N_x \subseteq A$. By the definition of $sg^*\alpha$ -nhd of x , there is a $sg^*\alpha$ -open set G_x such that $x \in G_x \subseteq N_x \subseteq A$. Now we shall prove that $A = \cup \{G_x: x \in A\}$. Let $x \in A$. Then there is $sg^*\alpha$ -open set G_x such that $x \in G_x$. Therefore, $x \in \cup \{G_x: x \in A\}$ which implies $A \subseteq \cup \{G_x: x \in A\}$. Now let $y \in \cup \{G_x: x \in A\}$ so that $y \in$ some G_x for some $x \in A$ and hence $y \in A$. Hence, $\cup \{G_x: x \in A\} \subseteq A$. Hence $A = \cup \{G_x: x \in A\}$. Also each G_x is a $sg^*\alpha$ -open set. And hence A is a $sg^*\alpha$ -open set.

Theorem 4.4: Whenever A is a $sg^*\alpha$ -closed subset of X and $x \in X - A$, accordingly there is an $sg^*\alpha$ -nhd N of x so that $N \cap A = \phi$.

Proof: Assuming that A is a $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set in X , then $X - A$ is a $sg^*\alpha$ -open set. By the Theorem 4.3, $X - A$ contains a $sg^*\alpha$ -nhd of each of its points. Which intimate that, there is an $sg^*\alpha$ -nhd N of x so as $N \subseteq X - A$. That is, no point of N belongs to A and hence $N \cap A = \phi$.

Definition 4.5: A point $x \in X$ is termed as $sg^*\alpha$ -limit point of A iff each $sg^*\alpha$ -nhd of x contains a point of A different from x . That is $(N - \{x\}) \cap A \neq \phi$, for each $sg^*\alpha$ -nhd N of x . Also equivalently iff each $sg^*\alpha$ -open set G comprising x contains a point of A other than x . The collection of entire $sg^*\alpha$ -limit points of A is named as $sg^*\alpha$ -derived set of A and is labeled as $sg^*\alpha$ -d(A).

Theorem 4.6 : Enable subsets A, B of X and $A \subseteq B$ implies $sg^*\alpha$ -d(A) \subseteq $sg^*\alpha$ -d(B).

Proof: Enable $x \in sg^*\alpha$ -d(A) implies x is a $sg^*\alpha$ -limit point of A that is each $sg^*\alpha$ -nhd of x contains a point of A other than x . As $A \subseteq B$, each $sg^*\alpha$ -nhd of x contains a point of B other than x . Consequently x is a $sg^*\alpha$ -limit point of B . That is $x \in sg^*\alpha$ -d(B). Hence $sg^*\alpha$ -d(A) \subseteq $sg^*\alpha$ -d(B).

Theorem 4.7: A subset P of X is $sg^*\alpha$ -closed iff $sg^*\alpha$ -d(P) \subseteq P .

Proof: Whenever P is $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set. That is $X - P$ is $sg^*\alpha$ -open. Now we prove that $sg^*\alpha$ -d(P) \subseteq P . Allow $x \in sg^*\alpha$ -d(P) which intend x is a $sg^*\alpha$ -limit point of P , that is each $sg^*\alpha$ -nhd of x contains a point of P different from x . Now think $x \notin P$ so that $x \in X - P$, which is $sg^*\alpha$ -open and by definition of $sg^*\alpha$ -open sets, there is a $sg^*\alpha$ -nhd N of x in such a manner $N \subseteq X - P$. From this we conclude that N contains no point of P , which is a contradiction. Therefore $x \in P$ and hence $sg^*\alpha$ -d(P) \subseteq P . Contrarily assume that $sg^*\alpha$ -d(P) \subseteq P and we will prove that P is a $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set in X or $X - P$ is $sg^*\alpha$ -open set. Ensure x be an arbitrary point of $X - P$, so that $x \notin P$ which imparts that $x \notin sg^*\alpha$ -d(A). That is there exists a $sg^*\alpha$ -nbd N of x which consists of only points of $X - P$. This means that $X - P$ is $sg^*\alpha$ -open. And hence P is $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set in X .

Theorem 4.8: Each $sg^*\alpha$ -derived set in X is $sg^*\alpha$ -closed.

Proof: Permit A be a member of X and $sg^*\alpha$ -d(A) is $sg^*\alpha$ -derived set of A . By Theorem 4.7, $sg^*\alpha$ -d(A) is $sg^*\alpha$ -closed iff $sg^*\alpha$ -d($sg^*\alpha$ -d(A)) \subseteq $sg^*\alpha$ -d(A). That is each $sg^*\alpha$ -limit point of $sg^*\alpha$ -d(A) belongs to $sg^*\alpha$ -d(A).

Now allow x be a $sg^*\alpha$ -limit point of $sg^*\alpha$ -d(A). That is $x \in sg^*\alpha$ -d($sg^*\alpha$ -d(A)). So that there is a $sg^*\alpha$ -open set G containing x such that $\{G - \{x\}\} \cap sg^*\alpha$ -d(A) $\neq \phi$ which imparts $\{G - \{x\}\} \cap A \neq \phi$, as each $sg^*\alpha$ -nhd of an element of $sg^*\alpha$ -d(A) has at least one point of A . Hence x is a $sg^*\alpha$ -limit point of A . That is x belongs to $sg^*\alpha$ -d(A). So $x \in sg^*\alpha$ -d($sg^*\alpha$ -d(A)) implicit $x \in sg^*\alpha$ -d(A). Accordingly $sg^*\alpha$ -d(A) is $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set in X .

Theorem 4.9: The following properties are true for $A, B \subset X$

- $sg^*\alpha$ -d(ϕ) = ϕ .
- Whenever $A \subset B$ then $sg^*\alpha$ -d(A) \subseteq $sg^*\alpha$ -d(B).
- Whenever $q \in sg^*\alpha$ -d(A) then $q \in sg^*\alpha$ -d($A - \{q\}$).
- $sg^*\alpha$ -d(A) \cup $sg^*\alpha$ -d(B) \subseteq $sg^*\alpha$ -d($A \cup B$).
- $sg^*\alpha$ -d($A \cap B$) \subseteq $sg^*\alpha$ -d(A) \cap $sg^*\alpha$ -d(B).

Proof: (i) Authorize $q \in X$ and G be a $sg^*\alpha$ -open involving q . Then $(G - \{q\}) \cap \phi = \phi$. This suggest $x \notin sg^*\alpha$ -d(ϕ). Accordingly for any $q \in X$, q is not $sg^*\alpha$ -limit point of ϕ . Hence $sg^*\alpha$ -d(ϕ) = ϕ .

- Allow $q \in sg^*\alpha$ -d(A). Afterwards $G \cap (A - \{q\}) \neq \phi$, for each $sg^*\alpha$ -open set G involving q . As $A \subset B$, implies $G \cap (B - \{q\}) \neq \phi$. This impart $q \in sg^*\alpha$ -d(B). Thereupon, $q \in sg^*\alpha$ -d(A) implies $q \in sg^*\alpha$ -d(B). Therefore, $sg^*\alpha$ -d(A) \subseteq $sg^*\alpha$ -d(B).
- Let $q \in sg^*\alpha$ -d(A). Then $G \cap (A - \{x\}) \neq \phi$, for each $sg^*\alpha$ -open set G containing x . This intimate that each $sg^*\alpha$ -open set G including q , contains at least one point different from q of $A - \{q\}$. Therefore $x \in sg^*\alpha$ -d($A - \{x\}$).
- Since $A \subset A \cup B$ and $B \subset A \cup B$ and by (ii), $sg^*\alpha$ -d(A) \subseteq $sg^*\alpha$ -d($A \cup B$) and $sg^*\alpha$ -d(B) \subseteq $sg^*\alpha$ -d($A \cup B$). Hence, $sg^*\alpha$ -d(A) \cup $sg^*\alpha$ -d(B) \subseteq $sg^*\alpha$ -d($A \cup B$).
- Since $A \cap B \subset A$ and $A \cap B \subset B$ and by (ii), $sg^*\alpha$ -d($A \cap B$) \subseteq $sg^*\alpha$ -d(A) and $sg^*\alpha$ -d($A \cap B$) \subseteq $sg^*\alpha$ -d(B). Therefore $sg^*\alpha$ -d($A \cap B$) \subseteq $sg^*\alpha$ -d(A) \cap $sg^*\alpha$ -d(B).

Theorem 4.10: Whenever A is member of X , then $A \cup sg^*\alpha$ -d(A) is $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set.

Proof: To prove $A \cup sg^*\alpha$ -d(A) is $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set, it is sufficient to prove $X - (A \cup sg^*\alpha$ -d(A)) is α -sg-open. Whenever $X - (A \cup sg^*\alpha$ -d(A)) = ϕ , then it is clearly $sg^*\alpha$ -open set. Enable $X - (A \cup sg^*\alpha$ -d(A)) $\neq \phi$ and $x \in X - (A \cup sg^*\alpha$ -d(A)), implies $x \notin A \cup sg^*\alpha$ -d(A). This impart $x \notin A$ and $x \notin sg^*\alpha$ -d(A). Now $x \notin sg^*\alpha$ -d(A), which indicates x is not $sg^*\alpha$ -limit point of A . Therefore, there is a $sg^*\alpha$ -open set G so that $G \cap (A - \{x\}) = \phi$. As $x \notin A$, implies $G \cap A = \phi$. This suggest $x \in G \subset X - A$ —(1). Again G is $sg^*\alpha$ -open set and $G \cap A = \phi$ implies no point of G can be α -sg-limit point of A . This follows $G \cap sg^*\alpha$ -d(A) = ϕ , implies $x \in G \subset X - sg^*\alpha$ -d(A)—(2). From (1) and (2), $x \in G \subset (X - A) \cap (X - sg^*\alpha$ -d(A)) = $X - (A \cup sg^*\alpha$ -d(A)). That is $x \in G \subset X - (A \cup sg^*\alpha$ -d(A)). This impart $X - (A \cup sg^*\alpha$ -d(A)) is $sg^*\alpha$ -sg-nhd of each of its points. By theorem 4.4, $X - (A \cup sg^*\alpha$ -d(A)) is α -sg-open as well as $A \cup sg^*\alpha$ -d(A) is α -sg-closed set.

Theorem 4.11: For $A \subset X$. Then A is $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set iff $sg^*\alpha$ -d(A) \subset A .

Proof: Imagine A is $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set, in that case $sg^*\alpha\text{-d}(A) = \phi$, then the result is trivial. Whenever $sg^*\alpha\text{-d}(A) \neq \phi$ then $x \in sg^*\alpha\text{-d}(A)$, implies $G \cap (A - \{x\}) \neq \phi$ for each $sg^*\alpha$ -open set G containing x . Assuming that $x \notin A$ later $x \in X - A$. As A is α - sg -closed and $X - A$ is $sg^*\alpha$ -open set containing x and not containing any other point of A . Which is contradiction to $x \in sg^*\alpha\text{-d}(A)$, therefore $x \in A$. For this reason, $x \in sg^*\alpha\text{-d}(A)$ implies $x \in A$. Hence $sg^*\alpha\text{-d}(A) \subset A$. On the other hand, $sg^*\alpha\text{-d}(A) \subset A$. To prove A is $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set; it is similar to prove $X - A$ is $sg^*\alpha$ -open set. Enable $x \in X - A$ implies $x \notin A$. In view of $sg^*\alpha\text{-d}(A) \subset A$, implies $x \notin sg^*\alpha\text{-d}(A)$, which impart there is an $sg^*\alpha$ -open set G containing x thereby $G \cap (A - \{x\}) = \phi$. That is $G \cap A = \phi$ as $x \notin A$, implies, $x \in G \subset X - A$. Therefore, $X - A$ is $sg^*\alpha$ -nhd of x . As x is arbitrary $X - A$ is α - sg -nhd of each of its points. By theorem 4.4, $X - A$ is $sg^*\alpha$ -open set. Hence A is $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set.

5. On $sg^*\alpha$ -closure and $sg^*\alpha$ -interior operators

Definition 5.1: Consider X be a TS and $Q \subseteq X$. The set of intersection of entire $sg^*\alpha$ -closed sets including Q is named $sg^*\alpha$ -closure of Q and is labelled as $sg^*\alpha Cl(Q)$.

Theorem 5.2: For members A, B of X , the listed properties hold:

- $sg^*\alpha Cl(X) = X$ and $sg^*\alpha Cl(\phi) = \phi$.
- Whenever $A \subseteq B$, then $sg^*\alpha Cl(A) \subseteq sg^*\alpha Cl(B)$
- $sg^*\alpha Cl(P) \cup sg^*\alpha Cl(Q) \subseteq sg^*\alpha Cl(P \cup Q)$
- $sg^*\alpha Cl(A \cap B) \subseteq sg^*\alpha Cl(A) \cap sg^*\alpha Cl(B)$
- $sg^*\alpha Cl(sg^*\alpha Cl(A)) = sg^*\alpha Cl(A)$
- A is $sg^*\alpha$ -closed iff $sg^*\alpha Cl(A) = A$.

Theorem 5.3: For $A \subseteq X$, then $x \in sg^*\alpha Cl(A)$ iff $G \cap A \neq \phi$ for each $sg^*\alpha$ -open set G containing x .

Proof: Necessity, enable $x \in sg^*\alpha Cl(A)$ for any $x \in X$. Expect there is a $sg^*\alpha$ -open set G comprising x so that $G \cap A = \phi$. Then $A \subset X - G$. As $X - G$ is $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set comprising A , we have $sg^*\alpha Cl(A) \subset X - G$, which indicates $x \notin sg^*\alpha Cl(A)$. This is contradiction to hypothesis. Hence $G \cap A \neq \phi$. Contrarily, presume $x \notin sg^*\alpha Cl(A)$. There exist a $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set F involving A so that $x \notin F$. Then $x \in X - F$ and $X - F$ is $sg^*\alpha$ -open. Also $(X - F) \cap A = \phi$. This is contradiction to the hypothesis. Therefore $x \in sg^*\alpha Cl(A)$.

Definition 5.4: For a TS X and $S \subset X$ the union of entire $sg^*\alpha$ -open sets included in S is termed as $sg^*\alpha$ -interior of S and is labelled as $sg^*\alpha Int(A)$.

Theorem 5.5: A and B be members of TS X . Then the listed results hold:

- $sg^*\alpha Int(X) = X$ and $sg^*\alpha Int(\phi) = \phi$.
- Whenever $A \subseteq B$, then $sg^*\alpha Int(A) \subseteq sg^*\alpha Int(B)$
- $sg^*\alpha Int(A) \cup sg^*\alpha Int(B) \subseteq sg^*\alpha Int(A \cup B)$
- $sg^*\alpha Int(A \cap B) \subseteq sg^*\alpha Int(A) \cap sg^*\alpha Int(B)$

- $sg^*\alpha Int(sg^*\alpha Int(A)) = sg^*\alpha Int(A)$
- A is $sg^*\alpha$ -open iff $sg^*\alpha Int(A) = A$.

Theorem 5.6: For a member A of X , the listed results hold:

- $sg^*\alpha Cl(X - A) = X - sg^*\alpha Int(A)$
- $sg^*\alpha Int(X - A) = X - sg^*\alpha Cl(A)$
- $sg^*\alpha Int(A) = X - sg^*\alpha Cl(X - A)$
- $sg^*\alpha Cl(A) = X - sg^*\alpha Int(X - A)$

Proof: (1) Allow $x \in X - sg^*\alpha Int(A)$. So $x \notin sg^*\alpha Int(A)$, implies for each $sg^*\alpha$ -open set U comprising x we have $U \cap (X - A) \neq \phi$. Thus, $x \in sg^*\alpha Cl(X - A)$. Hence $X - sg^*\alpha Int(A) \subset sg^*\alpha Cl(X - A)$. Contrarily, allow $x \in X - sg^*\alpha Cl(A)$. So $U \not\subset A$ for each $sg^*\alpha$ -open set U comprising x . Hence $x \notin sg^*\alpha Int(A)$, implies $x \in X - sg^*\alpha Int(A)$. This indicates $sg^*\alpha Cl(X - A) \subset X - sg^*\alpha Int(A)$. Therefore, $X - sg^*\alpha Int(A) = sg^*\alpha Cl(X - A)$.

(2) Enable $x \in X - sg^*\alpha Cl(A)$. So $x \notin sg^*\alpha Cl(A)$, implies for each α - sg -open set U including x we have $U \cap A = \phi$. This impart $x \in U \subset A^c$, so $x \in sg^*\alpha Int(A^c)$ or $x \in sg^*\alpha Int(X - A)$. Therefore we have $X - sg^*\alpha Cl(A) \subset sg^*\alpha Int(X - A)$. Contrarily, make $x \in sg^*\alpha Int(X - A)$. Then there is an $sg^*\alpha$ -open set U including x so that $x \in U \subset X - A$. Hence $U \cap A = \phi$, $x \notin sg^*\alpha Cl(A)$, implies $x \in X - sg^*\alpha Cl(A)$. This indicates $sg^*\alpha Int(X - A) \subset X - sg^*\alpha Cl(A)$. Therefore, $X - sg^*\alpha Cl(A) = sg^*\alpha Int(X - A)$.

(3) Replacing A by $X - A$ in (2) we result (3)

(4) Replacing A by $X - A$ in (2) we result (4)

6. $sg^*\alpha$ - R_0 SPACES

Definition 6.1: Let A be a subset of a TSX. The $sg^*\alpha$ -kernel of A , labeled as $sg^*\alpha\text{-ker}(A)$ is defined to be the set $sg^*\alpha\text{-ker}(A) = \cap \{U : A \subseteq U \text{ and } U \text{ is } sg^*\alpha\text{-open in } X\}$

Definition 6.2: Let x be a point of a TSX. The $sg^*\alpha$ -kernel of x , labeled as $sg^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\})$ is defined to be the set $sg^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\}) = \cap \{U : x \in U \text{ and } U \text{ is } sg^*\alpha\text{-open in } (X, \tau)\}$

Lemma 6.3: Let X be a TS and $x \in X$. Then $sg^*\alpha\text{-ker}(A) = \{x \in X : sg^*\alpha Cl(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$.

Proof: Let $x \in sg^*\alpha\text{-ker}(A)$ and suppose $sg^*\alpha Cl(\{x\}) \cap A = \emptyset$. Hence $x \notin X - sg^*\alpha Cl(\{x\})$ which is a $sg^*\alpha$ -open set including A . This is absurd, as $x \in sg^*\alpha\text{-ker}(A)$. Hence $sg^*\alpha Cl(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Contrarily, let $sg^*\alpha Cl(\{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ and assume that $x \notin sg^*\alpha\text{-ker}(A)$. Then there is a $sg^*\alpha$ -open set U including A and $x \notin U$. Let $y \in sg^*\alpha Cl(\{x\}) \cap A$. Hence, U is a $sg^*\alpha$ -nhd of y in which $x \notin U$. By this contradiction, $x \in sg^*\alpha\text{-ker}(A)$ and the claim.

Definition 6.4: ATS X is named as semi generalized star α - R_0 (in short, $sg^*\alpha$ - R_0) space iff for each $sg^*\alpha$ -open set G and $x \in G$ implies $sg^*\alpha Cl(\{x\}) \subseteq G$.

Lemma 6.5: Let X be a TS and $x \in X$. Then $y \in sg^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\})$ iff $x \in sg^*\alpha Cl(\{y\})$.

Proof: Suppose that $y \notin \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\})$. Then there exists a $\text{sg}^*\alpha$ -open set V comprising x such that $y \notin V$. Therefore we have $x \notin \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\})$. The proof of converse can be done similarly.

Lemma 6.6: The following results are similar for any points x and y in a TS X :

- $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \neq \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{y\})$
- $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) \neq \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\})$.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii). Suppose that $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \neq \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{y\})$, then there exists a point z in X such that $z \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\})$ and $z \notin \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{y\})$. From $z \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\})$ it follows that $\{x\} \cap \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{z\}) \neq \emptyset$ which implies $x \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{z\})$. By $z \notin \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{y\})$, we have $\{y\} \cap \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{z\}) = \emptyset$. Since $x \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{z\})$, $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) \subset \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{z\})$ and $\{y\} \cap \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) = \emptyset$. Therefore it follows that $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) \neq \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\})$. (ii) \rightarrow (i). Suppose that $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) \neq \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\})$. There exists a point z in X such that $z \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\})$ and $z \notin \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\})$. Then there exists a $\text{sg}^*\alpha$ -open set containing z and therefore x but not y , namely, $y \notin \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\})$. Hence $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \neq \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{y\})$.

Theorem 6.7: A TS X is $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-R}_0$ space iff for any x, y in X , $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) \neq \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\})$ implies $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\}) = \emptyset$.

Proof: Consider X is $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-R}_0$ space and $x, y \in X$ in that case $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) \neq \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\})$. Then there exists a point $z \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\})$ so that $z \notin \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\})$ (or $z \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{y\})$ such that $z \notin \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\})$). There exists a $\text{sg}^*\alpha$ -open set V such that $y \notin V$ and $z \in V$; hence $x \in V$. Therefore, we have $x \notin \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\})$. Thus $x \in X - \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\})$ a $\text{sg}^*\alpha$ -open set, which implies $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\})$ and $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\}) = \emptyset$.

Contrarily, let V be a $\text{sg}^*\alpha$ -open set in X and let $x \in V$. Now we have claim that $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) \subset V$. Make $y \notin V$ that is, $y \in X - V$. Then $x \neq y$ as well as $x \notin \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\})$. This implies, $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) \neq \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\})$. By assumption, $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\}) = \emptyset$. Hence $y \notin \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\})$ and therefore $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq V$.

Theorem 6.8: A TS X is $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-R}_0$ space iff for any x, y in X $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \neq \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{y\})$ implies $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \cap \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{y\}) = \emptyset$.

Proof: Suppose X is $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-R}_0$ space. Thus by Lemma 6.6 for any points $x, y \in X$ whenever $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \neq \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{y\})$ then $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) \neq \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\})$. Now we prove that $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \cap \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{y\}) = \emptyset$. Suppose that $z \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \cap \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{y\})$. By Lemma 6.5 and $z \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\})$ implies $x \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{z\})$. Since $x \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\})$, by Theorem 6.7, $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) = \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{z\})$. Similarly, we have $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\}) = \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\})$ a contradiction. Hence $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \cap \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{y\}) = \emptyset$. Conversely, Let X be a topological space such that for any points x and y in X , $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \neq \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{y\})$ implies $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \cap \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{y\}) = \emptyset$. If $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) \neq \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\})$, then by Lemma 6.6, $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \neq \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{y\})$. Hence $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \cap \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{y\}) = \emptyset$ implies $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\}) = \emptyset$. Since $z \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\})$ implies that $x \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{z\})$. Therefore $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\}) = \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{z\})$. Then $z \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\})$ implies that $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\}) = \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{z\}) = \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{y\})$, a contradiction. Hence $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\}) = \emptyset$. Therefore by Theorem 6.7, X is a $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-R}_0$ space.

$(\{y\}) = \emptyset$. Since $z \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\})$ implies that $x \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{z\})$. Therefore $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\}) = \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{z\})$. Then $z \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\})$ implies that $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\}) = \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{z\}) = \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{y\})$, a contradiction. Hence $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\}) = \emptyset$. Therefore by Theorem 6.7, X is a $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-R}_0$ space.

Theorem 6.9: For a TS X the following properties are equivalent:

- X is a $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-R}_0$ space.
- $x \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\})$ if and only if $y \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\})$ for any points x and y in X .

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii). Assume that X is a $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-R}_0$ space. Let $x \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\})$ and U be any $\text{sg}^*\alpha$ -open set such that $y \in U$. Now by hypothesis $x \in U$. Therefore, every $\text{sg}^*\alpha$ -open set containing y contains x . Hence $y \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\})$.

(ii) \rightarrow (i). Let V be a $\text{sg}^*\alpha$ -open set and $x \in V$. If $y \notin V$ then $x \notin \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\})$ and hence $y \notin \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\})$. This implies that $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq V$. Hence X is a $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-R}_0$ space.

Theorem 6.10: For a topological space X the following properties are equivalent;

- X is a $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-R}_0$ space.
- Whenever A is a $\text{sg}^*\alpha$ -closed, then $A = \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(A)$.
- Whenever A is a $\text{sg}^*\alpha$ -closed as well as $x \in A$, thereupon $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \subseteq A$.
- Whenever $x \in X$, then $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \subseteq \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\})$.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii). Let A be $\text{sg}^*\alpha$ -closed and $x \notin A$. Thus $X - A$ is a $\text{sg}^*\alpha$ -open and $x \in X - A$. Since X is a $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-R}_0$ space, $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq X - A$. Thus $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) \cap A = \emptyset$ and by the Lemma 6.3, $x \notin \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(A)$. Therefore $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(A) = A$.

(ii) \rightarrow (ii). In general $U \subseteq V$ implies $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(U) \subseteq \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(V)$. Therefore $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \subseteq \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(A) = A$ by (ii).

(iii) \rightarrow (iv). Since $x \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\})$ and $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\})$ is $\text{sg}^*\alpha$ -closed by

(iii) $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \subseteq \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\})$. (iv) \rightarrow (i). Let $x \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\})$ then by the Lemma 6.5, $y \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\})$. Since $x \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\})$ and $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\})$ is $\text{sg}^*\alpha$ -closed, by (iv) we obtain $y \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\}) \subseteq \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\})$. Therefore $x \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{y\})$ implies $y \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\})$. The converse is obvious and X is a $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-R}_0$ space.

Definition 6.14: A TS X is termed as

- $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-C}_0$ whenever for $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, there exists a $\text{sg}^*\alpha$ -open set G such that $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{G\})$ contains one of x and y but not other.
- $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-C}_1$ whenever for $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$, there exist $\text{sg}^*\alpha$ -open sets G and H such that $x \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(G)$, $y \in \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(H)$ but $x \notin \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(H)$, $y \notin \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(G)$.
- weakly $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-C}_0$ whenever $\cap \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-ker}(\{x\}) = \emptyset$.
- weakly $\text{sg}^*\alpha\text{-R}_0$ whenever $\cap \{ \text{sg}^*\alpha\text{Cl}(\{x\}) / x \in X \}$

Theorem 6.15: A topological space X is weakly $sg^*\alpha$ - R_0 if and only if $sg^*\alpha$ -ker $(\{x\}) \neq X$ for $x \in X$

Proof: Necessity: Assume that there is a point x_0 in X with $sg^*\alpha$ -ker $(\{x_0\}) = X$. Then X is the only $sg^*\alpha$ -open set containing x_0 . This implies that $x_0 \in sg^*\alpha$ -ker $(\{x\})$ for every $x \in X$. Hence $x_0 \in \bigcap \{sg^*\alpha Cl(\{x\}) / x \in X\} \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction.

Sufficiency: If X is not weakly $sg^*\alpha$ - R_0 , then choose some x_0 in X such that $x_0 \in \bigcap \{sg^*\alpha Cl(\{x\}) / x \in X\}$. This implies that every $sg^*\alpha$ -open set containing x_0 must contain every point of X . Thus the space X is the unique $sg^*\alpha$ -open set containing x_0 . Hence $sg^*\alpha$ -ker $(\{x_0\}) = X$, which is a contradiction. Therefore X is weakly $sg^*\alpha$ - R_0 .

Theorem 6.16: A space X is weakly $sg^*\alpha$ - C_0 if and only if for each $x \in X$, there exists a proper $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set containing x .

Proof: Suppose there is some $y \in X$ such that X is the only $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set containing y . Let U be any proper $sg^*\alpha$ -open subset of X containing a point of x . This implies that $X - U \neq X$. Since $X - U$ is $sg^*\alpha$ -closed set, we have $y \in X - U$. So, $y \in U$. Thus $y \in \bigcap \{sg^*\alpha$ -ker $(\{x\}) / x \in X\}$ for any point x of X , a contradiction. Conversely, suppose X is not weakly $sg^*\alpha$ - C_0 , then choose $y \in \bigcap \{sg^*\alpha$ -ker $(\{x\}) / x \in X\}$. So y belongs to $sg^*\alpha$ -ker $(\{x\})$ for any $x \in X$. This implies that X is the only $sg^*\alpha$ -open set which contains the point y , a contradiction.

Theorem 6.17: Every $sg^*\alpha$ - C_0 (or $sg^*\alpha$ - C_1) space is weakly $sg^*\alpha$ - C_0 .

Proof: Whenever $p, q \in X$ such that $p \neq q$, where X is a $sg^*\alpha$ - C_0 space, then without loss of generality, we can assume that there exists a $sg^*\alpha$ -open set G such that $p \in sg^*\alpha Cl(G)$ but $q \notin sg^*\alpha Cl(G)$. This implies that $G \neq \emptyset$. Hence we can choose some z in G . Now $sg^*\alpha$ -ker $(z) \cap sg^*\alpha$ -ker $(q) \subseteq G \cap (sg^*\alpha Cl(G))^c = sg^*\alpha Cl(G) \cap (sg^*\alpha Cl(G))^c = \emptyset$. Therefore $\bigcap \{sg^*\alpha$ -ker $(\{p\}) / p \in X\} = \emptyset$. Hence the space X is weakly $sg^*\alpha$ - C_0 .

REFERENCES

- Arya S.P. and Nour, T.M. 1990. Characterizations of S-normal spaces, Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math., 21(8), 717-719.
- Bhattacharya, P. and Lahiri, B.K. 1987. Semi-generalized closed sets in topology, Indian J. Math., 29(3), 375-382.
- Crossley, S. G. and Hildebrand, S. K. 1972. Semi-Topological properties, Fund. Math. 74, 233-254.
- Levine, N. 1963. Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly, 70 36-41.
- Levine, N. 1970. Generalized closed sets in topology, Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo, 19, (2), 89-96.
- Maki, H., Devi R. and Balachandran, K. 1993. Generalized α -closed sets in topology, Bull. Fukuoka Univ. Ed. Part III, 42, 13-21.
- Maki, H., Devi R. and Balachandran, K. 1994. Associated topologies of generalized α -closed sets and α -generalized closed sets, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser.A. Math., 1, 51-63.
- Njåstad, O. 1965. On some classes of nearly open sets, Pacific J. Math., 15, 961-970.
- Rayangoudar, T. D. Ph.D. Thesis, 2007. Karnatak Univ. Dharwad-580003, Karnataka.
