



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Toxic metals, nitrates and nitrites in water and residues in bovine milk

Peña B. Silvia, D. and Posadas P. S Denise

¹Department of Agricultural and Animal Production Laboratory of Toxicology UAM-X Calzada del Hueso 1100 Col. Villa quietud, Delegación Coyoacán. CDMX

²Instituto Tecnológico y de Estudios Superiores de Monterrey ITESM-CCM CDMX

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 25th April, 2018
Received in revised form
17th May, 2018
Accepted 05th June, 2018
Published online 31st July, 2018

Key Words:

Environmental,
Pollution, Cattle,
Production.

ABSTRACT

Water with toxic metals represent a risk to animal production and to public health due to their presence in milk. The information of the chemical quality in water for animal consumption in the milk basin of Xochimilco, Mexico is scarce. A water sampling was carried out to identify arsenic, lead, mercury, nitrates and nitrites, pH, temperature, colour and smell in four dairy farms in winter season, 2017. The toxic metals were identified by qualitative Reinsch test and the concentration by flame atomic absorption spectrometry technique. The results of pH and temperature showed an average of 7.8, and of 10.5 °C, the mean nitrate content was 0.79 mg L⁻¹ and 0.070 mg L⁻¹ for nitrites. Reinsch test indicated that all water samples were positive for at least one metal, with mercury being the most frequent (66%). The detected mean concentrations were: lead (0.040±0.03 mg L⁻¹), arsenic (0.0015±0.0011 mg L⁻¹), copper (0.019±0.0053 mg L⁻¹), cadmium (0.039±0 mg L⁻¹), all inside the livestock maximum permissible levels, while mercury (0.078±0.03 mg L⁻¹) was found in excess. Arsenic was the only metal found inside the drinking water permissible levels and copper was found in the limit of the drinking water. In milk samples, lead and cadmium were found. It is concluded that the contamination by toxic metals in the water of dairy cows consumption in the Xochimilco Basin, can affect milk production, and lead can be a risk in human health in a long term exposure.

Copyright © 2018, Peña B. Silvia and Posadas P. S Denise. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Peña B. Silvia, D. and Posadas P. S Denise, 2018. "Toxic metals, nitrates and nitrites in water and residues in bovine milk", *International Journal of Current Research*, 10, (07), 71659-71661.

INTRODUCTION

Water is essential in animal production; livestock milk production requires 15,000 L of water to produce one kg of food (FAO, 2012), however little information exists of metal toxic substances in many places of animal production despite being a condition that affects their productivity principally, moreover these contaminants may transfer to food, as mentioned (Adamse et al., 2017). The toxic compounds that have been detected in rivers in Mexico are lead, arsenic and mercury, due to many human activities, as the domestic water waste (Méndez et al. (2000). Arsenic (As), is a member of copper sulfides, cobalt, lead, zinc and organic complexes, the maximum permissible limit (MPL) is 0.20 mg L⁻¹. This metal has a cumulative property, its toxicity depends on its chemical form, being arsenite the most powerful. In cattle a chronic intoxication is identified with lack of appetite, anemia, depression, bloody diarrhea, and reproductive problems (Bera et al, 2010).

Cadmium (Cd), is a heavy metal, present in air, water and soil (Waisberg et al., 2003). Sources of contamination are fertilizers, recycling of electronic waste and diet; their MPL in water is 0.02 mg L⁻¹ and 0.05mg L⁻¹ for meat. Lead is a silver coloured solid, its MPL is 0.10 mg L⁻¹ (WHO, 2011), since the source of contamination can be anthropogenic. In cattle this metal causes an important economic loss due to the reduction of milk production and it also causes cattle intoxication; the symptoms are anemia, nerve disorders and kidney damage (ATSDR, 2012). Mercury (Hg) is a silver coloured liquid, not biodegradable, its organic form is the most toxic for animals (methyl mercury). The maximum limit is 0.50 mg L⁻¹ in fish meat (González, 2009). Nitrates and nitrites, from agricultural lands leached and by the decomposition of organic or waste domestic water, may provoke a lower intake of food, weakness, diarrhea and anemia. The safety limit for nitrites and nitrates, is noted by NMX-AA-079- SCFI-2001 and by NMX-AA-079-SCFI-1986 respectively. Therefore, the objective of the study was to identify the presence of lead, mercury and arsenic in the water of dairy cattle consumption in small productions, located in Xochimilco, Mexico City, the mean concentration in water and in raw milk.

*Corresponding author: Peña B. Silvia, D.,
Department of Agricultural and Animal Production Laboratory of Toxicology
UAM-X Calzada del Hueso 1100 Col. Villa quietud, Delegación Coyoacán. CDMX
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24941/ijcr.31421.07.2018>

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was carried out in Xochimilco milk basin, located near Mexico City. Sampling was performed in four small familiar productions, collecting three water samples in each sampling, during winter season from October and November 2017. Sampling began from 8:00 and 9:00 h in the morning, identifying the location and transporting them in coolers in order to be taken to the laboratory. Additionally, ten milk samples were collected from dairy cows and kept refrigerated at a temperature of 7°C, until analysis. In water, the parameters of potential hydrogen (pH) were determined by means of NMX-AA-008-SCFI-2000, colour and od or according to the NMX-AA-007-SCFI-2000. The presence of arsenic, mercury and lead was determined in water and milk by a qualitative test and concentrations determined by Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrometry technique (FAA), NMX-AA-051-SCFI-2001. A blank and standard solutions for devices calibration were used, as well as a standard calibration curve was achieved. The results obtained were evaluated according to the criteria and norms established in Mexico (NOM-127-SSA1-1994 and CE-CCA-001/89), of the maximum permissible limits (MPL) for each metal detected in water. The maximum, minimum, mean and standard deviation of the detected concentrations were calculated. The NOM-243-SSA, 2010, from milk data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The temperature obtained in the water samples was similar to the recommended one of NMX-AA007-SCFI2013, between 10 and 11 °C. The pH obtained was 7.8 on average, which is inside the range 5-9 according to NOM -002-ECOL-1996.

The water colour in the stables of the barn was white without smell, these characteristics are accordingly to guidelines. In water samples tested with the qualitative Reinsch test, the presence of at least one metal was detected, in water. Mercury was found in 66.6% of the evaluated samples, arsenic and lead in 33.4% (Table 1). This test showed to be a tool for an easy and quickly initial detection. In water samples tested with atomic absorption (FAA), the mean concentration of lead (0.040 ± 0.03 mg L⁻¹), arsenic (0.0015 ± 0.0011 mg L⁻¹), copper (0.019 ± 0.0053 mg L⁻¹) and cadmium (0.039 ± 0 mg L⁻¹) were found, these values are inside the livestock maximum permissible levels, while Hg (0.078 ± 0.03 mg L⁻¹) was out of the permissible levels. Arsenic was the only metal found inside the drinking water permissible levels (human consumption) with a mean concentration of 0.0015 ± 0.0011 mg L⁻¹; although copper was found in the limit of the drinking water maximum permissible levels (Table 2). In this work, lead content found in water was similar to (Villalba et al, 2013; Villalba et al., 2011), probably due to neutral pH encountered in the water samples, since the metal requires acidic water in order to be soluble; additionally, Córdoba and col, 2012, found that the presence of lead in the Xochimilco channel is within the Mexican regulation. However, the lead concentration it is out of drinking water, moreover it is an accumulative poison can generate many health problems in public health, as osteomalacia and cardiovascular disease with a long exposure; moreover, lead with cadmium has an additive effect. The excess of mercury can cause gastroenteritis, kidney damage and infertility in dairy cows, affecting their milk production. In general, the low concentration of cadmium, copper and arsenic detected in water in this work, can be explained with the season of the study; in this season, the rains, temperature and other environmental factors didn't contribute in the

Table 1. Quality detection of mercury, lead and arsenic in water consumed by dairy cattle

| Samples | Sampling 1 | Sampling 2 | Sampling 3 |
|---------|------------|------------|------------|
| (a) | Hg | Hg | Hg |
| (b) | Hg | Hg | Hg |
| (c) | Hg, Pb, As | Hg | Hg, Pb, As |

Sampling 1, 2, 3: from different dates

Table 2. Summary of descriptive statistics for metal concentrations found in water for dairy cows

| sample | Pb (mg L-1) | Cd (mg L-1) | As (mg L-1) | Nitrates (mg L-1) | Nitrites (mg L-1) | Cu (mg L-1) | Hg (mg L-1) |
|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Minimum | 0.008 | 0.039 | 0.0006 | 0.79 | 0.07 | 0.033 | 0.045 |
| Maximum | 0.072 | 0.039 | 0.003 | 0.79 | 0.07 | 0.026 | 0.135 |
| Mean | 0.040 | 0.039 | 0.0015 | 0.79 | 0.07 | 0.019 | 0.078 |
| Standard deviation | 0.03 | 0 | 0.0011 | 0 | 0 | 0.0053 | 0.03 |
| MPL (livestock use) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.01 |
| MPL (drinking water) | 0.01 | 0.005 | 0.01 | NC | NC | 0.02 | 0.001 |

Table 3. Concentration means of lead, cadmium, arsenic, mercury, copper, nitrates nitrites in milk

| sample | Pb | Cd | As | Nitrates | Nitrites | Cu | Hg |
|------------------------------------|----------|------|-----|----------|----------|----|----------|
| Mean of the detected concentration | 0.09 | 0.02 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |
| MPL | 0.02-0.1 | 0.05 | 0.2 | NC | NC | NC | 0.5-0.05 |

Table 4. Lead and Cadmium detection in Holstein bovines milk

| Sample | Pb (mg L-1) | Cd (mg L-1) |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|
| (a) | 0.140 | 0.019 |
| (b) | 0.055 | 0.015 |
| (c) | 0.013 | 0.018 |
| Composite | 0.139 | 0.015 |
| Mean | 0.087 | 0.017 |
| Standard deviation | 0.0633 | 0.0021 |

concentration (Moseki, 2018), the nitrates and nitrites were found within the standard range, which is correlated with physical characteristics found which were the good colour and the odorless water. Milk samples contained lead concentrations (0.090mgL⁻¹), that it is high compared with the reported by Elatrash and Atoweir, 2014, and with NOM- 243-SSA1, Mexican regulation, but cadmium was found into recommendations.

Conclusion

This study shows the presence in low concentrations for lead, cadmium, arsenic and copper in water for dairy cattle consumption, being mercury, the most abundant metal, in high level. It suggests that the source of contamination is the untreated water waste, whose origin is the human economic activity. However, the residues of lead and cadmium in milk found in this work could cause a public health problem in child population, a long term chronic exposure. The lead and cadmium had been associated with anemia, osteomalacia and cardiovascular diseases. The nitrates and nitrites were found in low levels does not affect lactating dairy cows. It is strongly recommended to keep clean the water troughs, maintain water pipes in good conditions and carry out a regular sampling of the water quality for animal consumption in order to avoid the entry of toxic metals in the food chain.

REFERENCES

- Adamse P., Van der fels K.H., De Jong J. 2017. Cadmium, lead, mercury and arsenic in animal feed and feed materials – trend analysis of monitoring results. *Journal Food Additives and Contaminants: Part A*. 8.
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, ATSDR 2012. Reseña toxicológica del plomo. Atlanta, USA: Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Departamento de Salud y Servicios Humanos de EE.UU., Servicio de Salud Pública.
- Arce VA. 2002. Fundamentos técnicos para el muestreo y análisis de aguas residuales SMARNAT-Comisión nacional del agua, Mexico.
- Attia YA., El-Hanoun AM., Abd El-Hamid AE., Abdella MM. 2015. Responses of the fertility, semen quality, blood constituents, immunity, and antioxidant status of rabbit bucks to type and magnetizing of water. *Annals Anim Sci*. 2, 387-407.
- Bera AK., Ran T, Das S. 2010. Ground water arsenic contamination in India. *Toxicol Ind Health*. 26, 709-716.
- Córdoba MC., Zugazagoitia RH. 2012. Determinación de metales pesados en el agua de un canal de Xochimilco. Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana. *Edu. quim*. 23, 375-382.
- ElatrashAtoweir 2014. Determination of lead and cadmium in raw cow's milk. *Int. J. Chem Sci*.1, 92-100.
- FAO 2016. Aquastat. Organización de las Naciones Unidas, para la agricultura y alimentación, Roma. Italia.
- Méndez-G. TL., Rodríguez-D., Palacios MS. 2000. Impacto del riego con aguas contaminadas, evaluado a través de la presencia de metales pesados en suelos. *Terra*, 18, 277-288.
- Moseki, MM 2018. Climate change impacts on groundwater. *Env. Risk Assesment and remediation*. 1.
- NOM- 243-SSA1-2010. Productos y Servicios. Leche, fórmula láctea y derivados. Disposiciones y especificaciones sanitarias. Métodos de prueba
- Norma Oficial Mexicana (NMX-AA-007-SCFI-2000). Análisis de agua-determinación de pH.
- Norma Oficial Mexicana (NMX-AA007-SCFI-2013). Análisis de agua medición de la temperatura en aguas naturales, residuales y residuales tratadas.
- Norma Oficial Mexicana (NOM-002-ECOL-1996) Límites máximos permisibles de contaminantes en las descargas de aguas residuales a los sistemas de alcantarillado urbano o municipal.
- Sager LR. 2000. Agua para bebida de bovinos. INTA EEA. Serie Técnica, Argentina.
- Theoneste, N., Gang, D., Jing, SG., Xu, G., and Lei, H. 2013. Pollution and potential ecological risk assessment of heavy metals in a lake. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, 4, 1129 -1134.
- Villalba A, Del Castillo AJM., Gómez ÁA., Pérez V. AM., Nubes OG., Villalba P., Iba US, and Salcido, EA. 2013. Soil and water pollution in aguaprieta river ecosystem from Sonora, Mexico. *Biotechnia*, 1.
- VillalbaAA. and Gómez, AA. 2011. Calidad del agua en la región Noreste del Estado de Sonora, México. (Editorial Académica Española Madrid, España).
- WHO (World Health Organization), 2011. Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality. Volume 2. World Health Organization, Belgium
