



RESEARCH ARTICLE

ASSESSMENT OF AFLATOXINS PRODUCED BY CERTAIN ASPERGILLA IN HEAVY METALS
CONTAMINATED SOIL TREATED WITH COMMERCIAL CHITOSAN

*Nesrine Hassan Youssef

Regional Center for Food and Feed, Agriculture Research Center, Cairo, Egypt

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 17th April, 2018
Received in revised form
26th May, 2018
Accepted 17th June, 2018
Published online 31st July, 2018

Key Words:

aflatoxin- Heavy Metals- Contaminated
Soil-Sandy Soil- Chitosan.

ABSTRACT

Heavy metals and aflatoxins contaminated crops are considered a big problem threatening animals and humans. The relationships between heavy metal mixture in contaminated soil and certain fungi produced aflatoxins are studied. The role of commercial chitosan as bi-functional agent was studied too. Chitosan powder 1% realized high heavy metals adsorbance efficacies in both soil and reduces its availability in obtained plants. This study focuses also on developing an effective technology for treatment of aflatoxins and available heavy metals-contaminated soil. Our results indicated that added chitosan 1% to seed beads area increased the percentage of germinated seeds, minimized the availability of Cu, Zn, Mn, Ni, Fe and Al in produced rocket plants. Chitosan significantly reduced aflatoxin G₁ in both tested soils. Meanwhile, the aflatoxin B₁ inhibition was significantly exhibited only in case of sterilized sandy soil. On the other hand, aflatoxin B₁ and G₁ were significantly inhibited in plants. The competition between soil flora and the two tested fungi don't affect the chitosan's efficacy.

Copyright © 2018, Nesrine Hassan Youssef. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Nesrine Hassan Youssef, 2018. "Assessment of aflatoxins produced by certain Aspergilla in heavy metals contaminated soil treated with commercial chitosan.", *International Journal of Current Research*, 10, (07), 71562-71569.

INTRODUCTION

Many countries around the world suffer from water shortage problem. Egypt is not able to meet increasing water demand using fresh water from the Nile and has been developing treated waste water (TWW) reuse strategies to increase water availability to meet future demands. The daily volume of available treated wastewater (TWW) in Egypt is expected to increase from 6.3 million m³ in 2000 to 8.3 million m³ by 2018 Ayar, (2010) and (USDA) Agricultural Research Service, (2013). Unfortunately, all small-scale farmers in peri-urban areas like Abou rawash region often irrigate corn, fruits, legumes and any edible crops with marginal-quality water, (waste-water) because they have no alternative.) Long-term irrigation with Waste water convert sandy soil to contaminated yellow soil which contains a variety of pollutants such as heavy metals, metalloids, pathogens and organic compounds. Any of these components can harm human health and the environment. A lot of researches focused on the harmful effects of heavy metals in the irrigated wastewater on human and animal health (Arora *et al.*, 2008; Githongo, 2010).

*Corresponding author: Nesrine Hassan Youssef
Regional Center for Food and Feed, Agriculture Research Center,
Cairo, Egypt
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24941/ijcr.30642.07.2018>

Rather researchers studied heavy metal effects on aflatoxins production in *vitro*. Heavy metal contaminated crops are considered a big problem threatening human (Draghici *et al.*, 2010 and Manju Mahurpawar, 2015), animal (Vieira *et al.*, 2011) and plant health (Duxbury *et al.*, 2003). Metal ions (e.g., Zn²⁺, Cu²⁺, and Fe²⁺) enhanced fungal growth and aflatoxin synthesis in *Aspergillus flavus* (Cuero *et al.*, 1987 and 2003 and Hartikainen *et al.*, 2012). They found also a relationship between mineral composition (e.g. zinc) of soils and the presence of toxigenic fungi and aflatoxin in maize kernels on plants grown in those soils. Aziz *et al.*, (2000) mentioned that Zn²⁺ (from 100 to 300 mg/kg) increased the growth of *A. flavus* and the increase in Cu²⁺ or Fe²⁺ concentration numerically decreased the growth of *A. flavus* (Yuyong *et al.*, 2016). Effect of nickel and aluminium was studied on aflatoxin and lipid production by two strains of *Aspergillus flavus* in a sucrose-asparagine-salts medium. Inclusion of aluminium in the medium established an inverse relationship between aflatoxin and lipid production (Malini *et al.*, 1984). Chitosan is a natural hydrophilic biopolymer derived from chitin, a structural component of fungi, insects and shrimp, which exerts antimicrobial effects against bacteria and fungi (Rabea *et al.*, 2003 and Albuquerque *et al.*, 2010) this compound can be easily obtained by N-deacetylation of chitin. Chitosan molecules were shown to inhibit fungal growth. They were reported also to be active against viruses, bacteria and other pests. Chitosan also removes phosphorus, heavy minerals, and

oils from the water. (El Hadrami *et al.*, 2010). Kamari *et al.*, 2011 investigated the potential of chitosan, a fishery waste-based material, as a soil amendment to clean-up metal contaminated Soil. FTIR analysis confirmed that N and O atoms served as binding sites for the metal ions. Chitosan and treated chitosans were able to bind metal ions, even in the presence of K⁺, Cl⁻ and NO₃⁻, which are dominant ions in soil. Therefore, They mentioned that remediation of metal contaminated soil using chitosan and cross-linked treated chitosans as soil amendments is feasible. The aim of this study is to investigate the effect of heavy metal mixture (which naturally occurred in abou rawash soil) on aflatoxin production and its bioavailability to plant and consequently to human. This study revealed also to investigate the effect of commercial chitosan on bioavailability of heavy metals and aflatoxins in contaminated soil. Furthermore, this work revealed to study the role of heavy metals on certain fungi produced mycotoxins during occurrence and absence of natural flora in soil. This study aimed also to investigate the role of commercial chitosan on aflatoxins detoxification and heavy metal adsorbance to prevent or at least to minimize these contaminants to reach food chain during the absence and existence of natural flora of the both tested soils on aflatoxins production and on the effectiveness of chitosan remediation process under field conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials used during this study

- Rocket seeds bring from Agriculture researches Center (Ghiza-Cairo).
- The tested soils: Sandy and contaminated soil (from region abu Rawash).
- Fresh Shrimp shells.
- **Fungal isolates:** *Aspergillus flavus* and *Aspergillus parasiticus* previously isolated from sewage water (bring from dekhila station) with hair bait analysis technique according to Shtayeh and Jamous, (2000) and (Ulfig, 2003), then purified and identified according to Raper and Fennel 1965 and finely tested for aflatoxin production capability using plug agar method according to Abbas *et al.*, 2004). then aflatoxin was re-determined using HPLC (Mahoney and. Molyneux, 2010). Both isolates are aflatoxin producers.
- **Preparation of sterile soils:** Plots containing tested soils (500g) each were sterilized using 1% formalin according to (Thulin *et al.*, 1958) and the pots are left covered for one month until formalin odor was completely evaporated.

Preparation of commercial chitosan

Extraction of chitin and chitosan: The chitosan preparation process was carried out according to Shah *et al.*, 2011 and Younes and Rinaudo, 2015, the chitin and chitosan sequence involves washing of crushed shrimps shells (5kg) were placed in 2000 ml beakers and soaked in boiling sodium hydroxide (2 and 4% w/v) for one hour in order to dissolve the proteins and sugars thus isolating the crude chitin. 4% NaOH is used for chitin preparation (Lertsutthiwong *et al.*, 2002 and Abdou *et al.*, 2008). After the samples are boiled in the

sodium hydroxide, the beakers containing shrimp shell samples are removed from the hot plate, and allowed to cool for 30 minutes at room temperature (Lamarque, 2005). Then they are further crushed to pieces of 0.5-5.0 mm using a meat tenderizer.

Demineralization: The grounded shrimp shells is demineralized using 1% HCl with four times its quantity. The samples were allowed to soak for 24 h to remove the minerals (mainly calcium carbonate) (Trung, 2006). The demineralized shrimp shell samples were then treated for one hour with 50 ml of a 2% NaOH solution to decompose the albumen into water soluble amino-acids. The remaining chitin is washed with deionized water, which is then drained off. The chitin was further converted into chitosan by the process of deacetylation (Huang, 2004).

Deacetylation: The deacetylation process is carried out by adding 50% NaOH and then boiled at 100°C for 2 h on a hot plate. The samples are then placed under the hood and cooled for 30 min at room temperature. After-wards the samples are washed continuously with the 50% NaOH and filtered in order to retain the solid matter, which is the chitosan. The samples were then left uncovered and oven dried at 110°C for 6 h. The chitosan obtained will be in a creamy-white form (Muzzarelli and Rochetti, 1985).

Effect of chitosan on germination process: 20g of rocket Seeds (Arugula) or (*Eruca Sativa*) were implanted on cotton cushion and dampened with distilled water and added to sterile de-ionized water 10 ml and chitosan powder 1% for 7days under room temperature. The chitosan had been previously ground to a fine powder in an electrical mill. Seeds implanted on cotton pad with chitosan powder 1% and other implanted on non treated pad are used as controls (Ohta *et al.*, 1999). After one week, germinated seed were counted then germination ratio was calculated, shoot and root lengths are registered to tested the chitosan effects on germinated seeds growth rate as illustrated in table n°= (1a&b).

Determination of available heavy metals in Abou rawash (sample of contaminated soil) and Sandy soil: The available heavy metal in Abou rawash as a sample of contaminated soil and sandy soil as a non contaminated soil were determined in DTPA extract for both soils using flame atomic absorption spectrometry (contrAA 300) according to Lindsay and Norvell, 1978. The resulted data are registered as shown in table n°=2).

Estimation of aflatoxins in both soil samples: Aflatoxins were estimated in both soil samples (20g) each according to Abbas *et al.*, 2004. Ethanol and water 70:30 were add to soil after blending, mixture was filtered then aflatoxin was determined in the supernatant containing fungal spores and hyphae. Results were registered.

Effect of chitosan on heavy metals concentration in produced fresh plant: For the soil treatment, 1.0% chitosan (by weight of rhizosphere area (200g/kg) was mixed with a sterile contaminated soil and /or sandy soil and two replicates were used for each treatment. The same process was repeated with non sterilized soils. The pots were placed at 25 °C day/18 °C night in natural daylight in a greenhouse. Starting 2 weeks after germination, the seedlings were watered daily the seedlings were thinned to two per plot at the two-pair leaf

stage. Rocket plants were collected after one month of the last mentioned stage. Plants are purified gently with sterilized water, weighted then divided into two groups and kept freeze. Heavy metals are estimated in the first group of plants collected from each treatment according to Issac *et al.*, 1975. Data were registered as explained by table n°=3.

Effect of chitosan on aflatoxins concentration in produced fresh plant: The second group of plants was been allocated for aflatoxins estimation. The results were registered as illustrated in table n°=4

Determination the effects of obtained metal ions mixtures on the synthesis of aflatoxins in both tested soils during the absence and presence of natural mycoflora: In order to determine the role of soil natural mycoflora in induction or suppressing the both tested functions of chitosan as detoxifying agent and heavy metals (H.M.) adsorbent agent, this experiment was carried out during occurrence and absence of soil natural mycoflora. Aflatoxins determination process was carrying out using HPLC apparatus according to Fern'andez-Cruz *et al.*, 2010. The resulted data are registered as shown in table n°=5.

RESULTS

Effect of chitosan on germination process: The effect of chitosan on germination process and growth rate of germinates seeds efficacies was tested. Data in table n=1&b illustrated that chitosan increase germination process and growth parameters including germination percentage and radical length. Whereas, no significant difference between treated and untreated seeds in hypocotyl length Our findings coincided with those of Oha *et al.*, 1999, El Tawala *et al.*, 2013 which indicated that there were significant differences in germination percentage, hypocotyl length and radical length between treated and nontreated seeds. The highest germination percentage, hypocotyl length, radical length was proportionel with the concentration of chitosan. Our results also are closely in agreement with Sheikha and Al-Malki (2011) who indicated that chitosan enhanced bean shoot and root length, fresh and dry weights of shoots, root and leaf area as well as the level of chlorophylls. Our findings are in harmony with those of Salachna and Zawadzińska, (2014) which found that chitosan influence on the length of the main inflorescence shoots.

Determination of Heavy metal availability in Abou rawash (sample of contaminated soil) and Sandy soil: Heavy metal availability in Abou rawash as a sample of contaminated soil and sandy soil as a non contaminated soil were determined in DTPA extract for both soils using flame atomic absorption spectrometry (contrAA 300) according to Lindsay and Norvell, 1978. The resulted data as shown in table n°=(2) illustrated that copper (Cu),magnesium (Mn), lead (Pb), zinc (Zn), iron(Fe) and aluminum(Al) metal concentrations in DTPA-soil extract were significantly higher than non contaminated soil except manganese (Mg) and chrome (Cr). Nickel (Ni) concentrations difference between the two tested soils is not significant. These resulted data were highly in agreement with Khouri *et al.*, 1994; Arora, *et al.*, 2008; Karanja and Githongo., 2010 and Githongo, 2010.

Estimation of aflatoxins in both soil samples: Total aflatoxins were estimated in both soil samples (20g) each according to Abbas *et al.*, 2004. The total amount of aflatoxins

in contaminated soil sample was 2.89 ppb and 1.57ppb in non contaminated soil sample, these results are in harmony with those of Falih,1997.who mentioned that *Apergillus* genus is most tolerant to heavy metals exposure than other genera specially genus *Fusarium* and *Curvularia*.

Effect of chitosan on heavy metals concentration in produced fresh plant: Total heavy metals concentration are estimated individually in the first group of plants according to Isaac *et al.*, 1975. The registered data as explained by table n°=(3) illustrated the following:

- In case of contaminated soil:
- Chitosan efficacy as adsorbant and antifungal agent was not the same for all metal ions.

Chitosan reduced the bioavailability and plant uptake of Cu, Mn, Ni, Fe and Al. This findings are in harmony with those of Eric Guibal, 2003 which declared that Metal cations can be adsorbed by chelation on amine groups of chitosan. Our findings are also in agreement with those of Tripathi *et al.*,2016 who evaluated the effects of pure and modified chitosan beads on the remediation of zinc (Zn) polluted soils and compared to the non-amended soils, chitosan bead amended soils had greater plant biomass, reduced plant metal uptake and increased immobilisation of Zn in soil and pore water. The application of modified chitosan beads to Zn contaminated soil could significantly decrease Zn bioavailability and toxicity Furthermore. These findings were in harmony with those of Burke *et al.*, (2002) who mentioned that in vitro, adsorption experiments showed that chitosan is capable of adsorbing excess iron and The equilibrium studies showed that chitosan powder has the highest sorption capacity for the iron.

On the other hand, the fungi infected soil release more metal ions which caused their concentration in plant tissues. *Aspergillus flavus* release Cu, Mn, Fe and Al ions more than *A.parasiticus*, this phenomen can be explained by Karcprzak and Malina (2005) and Arora *et al.*, (2008) which have stated that filamentous fungi have the capacity to form chemical complexes between the metal ions and extracellular enzymes, besides the ability to bind the metals ions to their cell walls. Fungi are accumulated broad range of metals due to the composition of their cell walls that are obtained of polysaccharides, protein and lipids are accumulated substantial amounts of metals, so, they can provide an array of binding sites for metals ions which cause a sorte of heavy metal accumulation during their plant invasion. Our finding are also in harmony with Siddiquee *et al.*, (2013) who mentioned that the resistance levels of different concentrations of heavy metals are diverse because the uses of different strains of filamentous fungi. The absence of nickel (Ni) in case of *A.parasiticus* may be due to the ability of the fungus to removing Ni .these findings are coincided with those of Iram *et al.*, (2009) who ranged *Aspergillus* sp> *Pencillium* sp> *Fusarium* sp regarding their abilities to removing metals from contaminated soil.

In case of non contaminated (Sandy) soil: The process of plant production was failed in case of sandy soil .The treatment with chitosan ameliorate the cultivation process this fact was closely coincided with those of Salachna and Zawadzińska, (2014) which revealed that chitosan is used as a biostimulator in the cultivation of potted freesia.

Table (1a): Effect of chitosan on rocket seeds germination ratios

Treatment	Germination ratio after 3days (%)	Germination ratio after 6 days (%)
Control	44.374b	62.736b
Control+chitosan 1%	76.730a	83.386a
L.S.D _{0.05}	2.266957	2.266957

Table 1b. Effect of chitosan on growth rate of germinated rocket seeds

Treatments		Growth rate average of germinated seeds after 6days			L.S.D 0.05
		Average of group 1	Average of group 2	Average of group 3	
Control	hypocotyl length	2.015 a	2.3226 a	3.140 a	0.30637
	radical length	0.309 b	0.3655 b	0.4467b	
Control+ Chitosan1%	hypocotyl length	2.212a	1.4818 a	1.292 a	0.30637
	radical length	1.138a	0.6870 a	0.6881 a	

Table 2. Heavy metal contents in both tested soils

Abou Rawash (contaminated Sandy Soil with WW)	Conc mg/L (ppm)	(Non contaminated Soil) Sandy Soil)	Conc mg/L (ppm)	L.S.D _{0.05}
Cd	0.00	Cd	0.00	---
Cu	9.045a	Cu	3.5 b	2.26695
Mn	752.5a	Mn	99.5625b	160.361
Ni	5.39a	Ni	6a	1.6028
mg	0.0b	mg	1028.125a	6.411925
Pb	33.6a	Pb	6.0825b	5.06907
Zn	35.6625a	Zn	16b	4.5339
Fe	5024.375a	Fe	2311.25b	817.3633
Al	4500 a	Al	4098.75a	1602.99
Cr	0.00b	Cr	9.00a	3.20596

Table 3. Effect of chitosan on heavy metal concentration in produced fresh plant

Modified Treatments		AV. Of one Plant Fresh. Weight.	Heavy metal concentration in plant parts (shoot and root) mg/L (ppm)							
			Cd	Cu	Mn	Ni	Pb	Zn	Fe	Al
Abou Rawash (contaminated Sandy Soil with WW)	Healthy grains (H.G)	0.803 ^a	N.D	95.13 ^d	122.24	20.05	N.D	456.95	2577.42	1620.74 ^a
	(H.G)+Chitosan	1.777 ^{ab}	N.D	71.74 ^c	66.09	10.25	N.D	257.93	2099.88	938.1 ^b
	Infected grains with <i>A.flavus</i> (I.P.A.f)	1.310 ^{bc}	N.D	146.67 ^b	208.91	44.81	N.D	196.6	15528.84	2478.21 ^c
	(I.G.A.f)+ chitosan	1.523 ^c	N.D	79.33 ^b	122.62	0.04	N.D	104.13	2587.3	1389.29 ^d
	Infected grain with <i>A.parasiticus</i> (I.P.A.p)	0.773 ^d	N.D	116. ^c	196.96	0.00	N.D	247.72	2249.53	1670.11 ^e
	(I.G.A.p)+ chitosan	1.150 ^d	N.D	53.83	122.98	0.00	N.D	243.76	1389.32	1526.10 ^f
(Non contaminated Soil) Sandy Soil)	Healthy grains (H.G)	0.00 ^e	N.D	0.00	0.00	0.00	N.D	0.00	0.00	0.0 ⁱ
	(H.G)+Chitosan	0.990 ^d	N.D	164.46a	108.69	5.77	N.D	352.52	4836.21	1070.29 ^g
	Infected grains with <i>A.flavus</i> (I.P.A.f)	0.00 ^e	N.D	0.00	0.00	0.00	N.D	0.00	0.00	0.0 ⁱ
	(I.G.A.f)+ chitosan	0.737 ^d	N.D	65.65h	208.24	16.76	N.D	553.78	7102.71	1135.17h
	Infected grain with <i>A.parasiticus</i> (I.P.A.p)	0.00 ^e	N.D	0.00	0.00	0.00	N.D	0.00	0.00	0.0 ⁱ
	(I.G.A.p)+ chitosan	0.723d	N.D	81.73f	604.31	13.97	N.D	452.82	3971.43	4438.66
L.S.D _{0.05}		0.291	----	4.3671	4.62179	4.62179	-	4.77803	6.5556	9.7037

Where:

H.G = Healthy grains in non sterilized soil

I.G.A.f = Infected grains with *A.flavus*I.P.A.p = Infected grain with *A.parasiticus*

Table 4. Estimation of aflatoxins in produced plant (pp) (in sterilized soil before and after infection with tested isolated *Aspergilla*)

Tested soil	Treatments	AflaB ₁ (ppb)	AflaG ₁ (ppb)	ER%
Abou Rawash (contaminated Sandy Soil with WW)	Healthy pp (from H.G) in sterilized soil	0.00 d	0.00	----
	pp(from H.G) +Chitosan in sterilized soil	0.00 d	0.00	----
	Infected pp with <i>A.flavus</i> (I.G.A.f)	13.9b	0.0c	----
	Infect. pp from (I.G.A.f)+ chitosan	12.5b	0.0c	10.0719
	Infected pp with <i>A.parasiticus</i> from (I.G.A.p)	0.00	17a	----
	Infected pp (I.G.A.p)+ chitosan	0.00	13b	23.529
(Noncontaminated Soil) Sandy Soil)	Healthy pp from(H.G)	0.0	0.0	----
	pp(from H.G) +Chitosan	0.0	0.0	----
	Infected pp with <i>A.flavus</i> (I.G.A.f)	17.5a	0.0c	----
	Infect.pp from (I.G.A.f)+ chitosan	10c	0.0c	42.857
	Infected pp with <i>A.parasiticus</i> from (I.G.A.p)	0.0 d	18a	----
	Infected pp (I.G.A.p)+ chitosan	0.0 d	14b	22.223
L.S.D _{0.05}		2.206467	2.447856	

Where:

Pp= produced plants from healthy grain(H.G)

I.G.A.f= infected grains with *Aspergillus flavus*.I.G.A.p= infected grains with *Aspergillus parasiticus*.**Table 5. Role of chitosan and fungal competition between soil fungal flora and the two tested fungi on aflatoxin production during natural contamination with heavy metal mixture**

Tested soil	During Absence of competition	AflaB ₁ (ppb)	Afla G ₁ (ppb)	ER% of detoxification.	During Occurrence of competition	AflaB ₁ (ppb)	AflaG ₁ (ppb)	ER% of detoxification.
Abou Rawash (contaminated Sandy Soil with WW)	Sterilized soil (Ss)	1.3c	0.6c	----	Non sterilized soil (NSs)	0.9c	0.42c	----
	(Ss) +Chitosan	0.0c	0.0c	100	(NSs)+Chitosan	0.0c	0.0c	100
	Infected (Ss) with <i>A.flavus</i> (I.Ss.A.f)	18.6a	----	----	Infected(N Ss) with <i>A.flavus</i> (I.NSs.A.f)	15b	----	----
	(I.G.A.f)+ chitosan	14.5ab	----	22.043	(I.G.A.f)+ chitosan	11bc	----	26.667
	Infected(Ss) with <i>A.parasiticus</i> (I.Ss.A.p)	----	18a	----	Infected(NSs) with <i>A.parasiticus</i> (I.Ss.A.p)	----	16 b	----
	(I.Ss.A.p)+ chitosan	----	8.5bc	52.778	(I.NSs.A.p)+ chitosan		10bc	37.5
(Non contaminated Soil) (Sandy Soil)	Sterilized soil (Ss)	0.0c	0.0c	----	NonSterilized soil (NSs)	0.0c	0.0c	----
	(Ss) +Chitosan	0.0c	0.0c	----	(NSs) +Chitosan	0.0c	0.0c	----
	Infected(Ss) with <i>A.flavus</i> (I.Ss.A.f)	21a	----	----	Infected(Ss) with <i>A.flavus</i> (I.NSs.A.f)	23a	----	----
	(I.G.A.f)+ chitosan	14 ab	----	33.334	(I.G.A.f)+ chitosan	20b	----	13.043
	Infected(Ss) with <i>A.parasiticus</i> (I.Ss.A.p)	----	23a	----	Infected (NSs) with <i>A.parasiticus</i> (I.SNs.A.p)		31a	----
	(I.Ss.A.p)+ chitosan	----	10bc	56.522	(I.NSs.A.p)+ chitosan		18b	41.935
LSD _{0.05}	8.02648			8.14110798				

Our findings are also in agreement with those of Tripathi *et al.*, 2018 who mentioned that chitosan addition ameliorate the nutrient-deficient soil. The metal ions concentration varied in produced plants that may be due to the infected fungus specie and its availability to release or to be abiosorbent agent of metal ions from soil, this fungal act as a transporter of these metal ions and concentrated them during its plant invasion process. These findings are in harmony with those of Tangahu *et al.*, (2011) and Siddiquee *et al.*, (2015) who announced that Filamentous fungi are included as a biosorption agent of metal ions including Copper, Zinc, Cadmium, Iron, Nickel and lead.

Determination of the chitosan effect on aflatoxins concentration in produced fresh plant: Aflatoxins estimation was carried out in the second group of the collected plants using HPLC apparatus according to Fernandez-Cruz *et al.*, 2010. The registered results in table n^o= 4 illustrated that chitosan effect in reducing AFB₁ is significant in plant cultivated in sandy soil but not significant in contaminated soil, whereas, AFG₁ reduction is significant in plant cultivated in both soils. Our results are may be explained by the findings of Malini *et al.*, (1984). Who announced that aluminium and nickel induces the aflatoxin production by *Aspergillus flavus*.

Contaminated soil contained aluminium and nickel more than sandy soil Furthermore, *Aspergillus parasiticus* producing AFG₁ could be less sensitive than *Aspergillus flavus* to aluminium and nickel. Results indicated that chitosan was more effective in reducing aflatoxin G₁ in contaminated soil than aflatoxin B₁ that is may be due to the difference in sensitivity of both tested fungi against high concentration of heavy metals. This finding was closely in agreement with those of Valix *et al.*, 2001 who mentioned that *Aspergillus spp* are varied in their sensitivity against the same treatment. Furthermore, our results also were in harmony with those of Kumar *et al.*, 2011 who mentioned that *Aspergillus spp* are the most metal tolerant species, showing enhanced growth even at high concentrations (2000 mg⁻¹) of heavy metal. Minewhile, in sandy soil, the efficacy of aflaB₁ reduction was higher to fold than afla G₁ that may be due to fungus behavior toward drought amelioration caused by the chitosan addition, these results are relatively in agreement with those of rabea *et al.*, 2003 and João *et al.*, 2008 who mentioned that 129 references describes the biological activity of chitosan derivatives and their mode of actions varied as an antimicrobial agent against fungi, as an elicitor of plant defense mechanisms. The absence of resulted plant in sandy soil without treatments is logic, these results are coincided

with those of O'Danu, (2011) who mentioned that sandy soils can be difficult environments for seed germination; It was very important thing to remember with sandy soil that organic matter is essential to retaining water and nutrients for plants. It improves soil texture by settling between the large particles of and plugging the spaces in sandy soil. This notice explained why plants resulted in case of contaminated soil and treated soils with chitosan.

Effects of obtained metal ions mixtures on the synthesis of aflatoxins in both tested soils during the absence and presence of natural mycoflora:

In order to investigate the effectiveness of chitosan during the natural occurrence of soil mycoflora, in induction or suppressing the chitosan function as aflatoxins detoxifying agent. Aflatoxins determination process was carried out using HPLC apparatus according to Fern'andez-Cruz et al., 2010. The registered data as shown in table n°=5 illustrated that the natural occurred mycoflora in both tested soil don't antagonized the role of chitosan as aflatoxins detoxifying agent so, we can applied chitosan addition in seed beds to minimize the aflatoxins availability to plants.our results are in agreement with those of Campaniello et al., 2008; Munoz, et al., 2009 and Xianghong et al., 2010 who mentioned that chitosan inhibited fungal growth and mycotoxins production especially aflatoxins produced by *Aspergillus flavus*. Chitosan significantly (p <0.05) inhibited the growth of the *Aspergillus* and its effect was increased with increased concentration.

Conclusion

Sandy soil enhanced the aflatoxin B₁ and G₁ production, but the addition of chitosan ameliorate the texture of sandy soil particles and minimize the fast loosing of ground water which conduct to minimize the drought stress which considered the main inducer agent of aflatoxin production in plants. Chitosan can be applied in contaminated soil to minimize the aflatoxin production and the available heavy metals to cultivated plants. Chitosan can act as an amendment for remediation of such heavy metal toxicity in Contaminated soils, its efficiency was not affected by the soil microflora, this fact was very important for chitosan application in amended soils. The auther wants to thanks Assitant Prof. Mohamed Lotfy for his kind help in determination of heavy metals in soils and plants.

REFERENCES

- Abbas, H.K., Shier, W.T., Horn, B.W., and Weaver, M.A. 2004. Cultural Methods for aflatoxin Detection. *J. of toxicology. Toxin reviews.* 23:295-315.
- Abbas, H. K., Zablotowicz, R.M Weaver, M.A. Horn, B.W., Xie, W. and Shier, W.T. 2004. Comparison of cultural and analytical methods for determination of aflatoxin production by Mississippi Delta *Aspergillus* isolates. *Can. J. Microbiol.*, Vol. 50:193-206.
- Abdou, E.S., Nagy, K.S.A., Elsabee, M.Z., 2008. Extraction and characterization of chitin and chitosan from local sources. *Bioresour. Technol.* 99, 1359–1367.
- Alburquenque, C., Bucarey, S.A., Neira-Carrillo, A., Urzúa, B., Hermosilla, G., Tapia, C.V. 2010. Antifungal activity of low molecular weight chitosan against clinical isolates of *Candida* spp. *Med Mycol.* 48:1018-23. doi: 10.3109/13693786.2010.486412.
- Ali-Shtayeh, M.S. and Jamous, R.M.F. 2000. Keratinophilic fungi and related dermatophytes in polluted soil and water habitats. In Kushwaha RKS, Guarro J Eds.. *Biology of Dermatophytes and other Keratinophilic Fungi.* Revista Iberoamericana de Micología, Bilbao, 2000 @ *Revista Iberoamericana de Micología.*
- Arora, M., Kiran, B., Rani, S., Rani, A., Kaur, B. 2008. Heavy Metals accumulation in vegetables irrigated with water from different sources. *Food Chem* 111: 811-815.
- Ayars James. E. 2010. Adapting Irrigated Agriculture to Drought in the San Joaquin Valley of California. Policy Note: 03-0910, Water Science and Policy Center, University of California, Riverside, p. 4.
- Aziz, N.H.; Shahin, A.A.M., Abou-Zeid, A.A.M.; El-Zeany, S.A. 2000. Correlation of growth and aflatoxin production by *Aspergillus flavus* with some essential metals in gamma irradiated crushed corn. *Food.* 44:354-9.
- Burke, A., Yilmaz, E., Hasirci, N., Yilmaz, O. 2002. Iron III Ion Removal from Solution Through Adsorption on Chitosan. *J. of Applied Polymer Science*, Vol. 84, 1185–1192.
- Campaniello, C. A., Bevilacqua, M., Sinigaglia, M. R. 2008. Chitosan: Antimicrobial activity and potential applications for preserving minimally processed strawberries. *Food Microbiology.* Vol. 25, pp. 992–1000,
- Chang, K.L.B., Tsai, G., Lee, J., Fu, W.R. 1997. Heterogeneous N-deacetylation of chitin in alkaline solution. *Carbohydr. Res.* 1997, 303, 327–332.
- Cuero RG. 1999. Antimicrobial action of exogenous chitosan. *EXS.*[Abstr.] ,87:315-33.
- Cuero R, Ouellet T, Yu J, Mogongwa N. 2003. 6 Metal ion enhancement of fungal growth, gene expression and aflatoxin synthesis in *Aspergillus flavus*: RT-PCR characterization. *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, 2003;94:953-61.
- Draghici, C., Coman, G., Jelescu, C., Dima, C. and Chirila, E. 2010. Heavy metals determination in environmental and biological samples, In: *Environmental Heavy Metal Pollution and Effects on Child Mental Development- Risk Assessment and Prevention Strategies*, NATO Advanced Research Workshop, Sofia, Bulgaria, 28 April-1 May 2010.
- Duxbury, J.M, Mayer, A.B., Lauren, J.G. and Hassan, N. 2003. Food chain aspects of arsenic contamination in Bangladesh: effects on quality and productivity of rice. *Journal of Environmental Science Health Part A, Environmental Science Engineering and Toxic Hazard Substance Control*, 38:61–69.
- El Hadrami, A., Adam, L.R., El Hadrami, I., Daayf, F. 2010. Chitosan in plant protection. *Mar Drugs.* 30, 8 4:968-87. doi: 10.3390/md8040968.
- El Tawala, A.R., M., El Tawala, M. and Al Ghzawi, A.L.A. 2013. Effect of chitosan coating on seed germination and salt tolerance of lentil *Lens culinaris* L. *J Res. on Crops.*, 14 2: 489-491.
- Eric Guibal. 2004. Interactions of metal ions with chitosan-based sorbents: a review. *Separation and Purification Technology*, Vol. 38, 1: 43-74.
- Falih.A.M. 1997. The relationship between heavy metal concentration and soil mycoflora in the Gizan region, *Saudi Arabia. Qatar Univ., Sci.J.*, 171:111-119.
- Fern'andez-Cruz, M.L., M.L. Mansilla, J.L. Tadeo. 2010. Mycotoxins in fruits and their processed products: Analysis, occurrence and health implications. *Journal of Advanced Research*, 1, 113–122.
- Frisvad, J.C. and Thrane. C.A. 1995. Mycotoxins production by food borne fungi. In Samson. R.A., Hoekstra, E.S., Frisvad J.C., Filtenborg, O. ed, introduction to food borne fungi, 4th ed, pp.251-260.

- Githongo, M.W. 2010. Effect of sewage wastewater irrigation on soil biodiversity and heavy metals accumulation in soils and selected crops. *J. Tropical and subtropical agrosystems*, 12:1-63.
- Hartikainen ES, Lankinen P, Rajasärkkä J, Koponen H, Virta M, Hatakka A, 2012. Impact of copper and zinc on the growth of saprotrophic fungi and the production of extracellular enzymes. *Boreal Environ Res.*;17:210-18.
- Huang, M. 2004. Uptake and cytotoxicity of chitosan molecules and nanoparticles: Effects of molecular weight and degree of deacetylation. *Pharmaceutical Research*, 21 2, 344-353.
- Iram, S., Ahmad, I., Javed, B Yaqoob, S., Akhtar, K., kazmi, M.R. zaman, B.U. 2009. Fungal tolerance to heavy metals. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 415: 2583-2594.
- Isaac, R.A. and W.C. Johnson. 1975. Collaborative study of wet and dry techniques for the elemental analysis of plant tissue by atomic absorption spectrophotometry. *J. AOAC* 58.
- João C. Fernandes, Freni K. Tavaría, José C. Soares, Óscar S. Ramos, M. João Monteiro, Manuela E. Pintado, F. Xavier Malcata.2008. Antimicrobial effects of chitosans and chitoooligosaccharides, upon *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*, in food model systems. *Food Microbiology*, Volume 25, Issue 7, October 2008, Pages 922–928.
- Kamari, A., Pulford, I.D. Hargreaves, J.S.J. 2011. Chitosan as a potential amendment to remediate metal contaminated soil — A characterisation study. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces* 82 : 71–80.
- Karanja, N., Githongo, M.W.2010. Assessment of environmental and public health hazards in waste water used for urban agriculture in Nairobi, Kenya. *J.Tropical and subtropical agro-sysytems* 12:85-97.
- Karcprzak, M., Malina, G. 2005. The tolerance and Zn²⁺, Ba²⁺ and Fe²⁺ accumulation by *Trichoderma atroviride* and *Mortierella exigua* isolated from contaminated soil. *Can J Soil Sci.*, 85:283-290.
- Khouri N., Kalbermatten J.M. and Barton C.R., 1994. Reuse of wastewater in agriculture: a guide for planners. World Bank, Washington D.C USA.
- Lamarque, G. 2005. Physicochemical behavior of homogeneous series of acetylated chitosans in aqueous solution: role of various structural parameters. *Biomacromolecules*, 6 1, 131-142. [DOI]
- Lertsutthiwong, P., How, N.C., Chandkrachan, S. and Stevens, W.F. 2002 Effect of chemical treatment on the characteristics of shrimp chitosan. *Journal of metals, materials and minerals*, 121: 11-18. Lindsay, W.L., and W.A. Norvell. 1978. Development of DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese, and copper. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 42:421-428.
- Malini, R; Mukerji, K.G. and Venkitasubramanian, T.A. 1984. Effect of aluminium and nickel on aflatoxin production by *Aspergillus flavus*. *J. Folia Microbiol Praha.* 292:104-7.
- Mahoney, N. and Molyneux, R. J.2010. A Rapid Analytical Method for Determination of Aflatoxins in Plant-Derived Dietary Supplement and Cosmetic Oils. *J Agric Food Chem.*, 587: 4065–4070.
- Mahurpawar Manju, 2015. Effects of Heavy metals on Human Health. *Social Issues and Environmental Problems: 2350-0530: 2394-3629* .
- Manju Mahurpawar, 2015. EFFECTS OF HEAVY METALS ON HUMAN HEALTH. *Social Issues and Environmental Problems: 2350-0530: 2394-3629* .
- Munoz, A., Moret, S., Garce, S. 2009. Assessment of chitosan for inhibition of *Colletotrichum* sp. on tomatoes and grapes. *J. Crop Protection*. Vol. 28, pp. 36–40.
- Muzzarelli RAA, Rochetti R. 1985. Determination of the degree of deacetylation of chitosan by first derivative ultraviolet spectrophotometry. *J Carbohydr Polym*, 5, 461-72. [DOI].
- O'Danu Maureen. 2011.4 Responses to Growing and Gardening in Sandy Soil. Bultin, Extension Laboratory, University of Massachusetts in Amherst, USA.
- Ohta, K Taniguchi, A, Konishi, N. and Hosoki, T.1999. Chitosan Treatment Affects Plant Growth and Flower Quality in *Eustoma grandiflorum*. *Hortscience* , 342:233–234.
- Rabea, E.I., Mohamed., E., Badawy, T., Stevens, C. V., Smaghe, G. and Steurbaut, W. 2003. Chitosan as Antimicrobial Agent: Applications and Mode of Action. *J. Biomacromolecules*, 4 6, 1457–1465. DOI: 10.1021/bm034130m.
- Raper, K.B. and Fennel, D.I. 1965. The genus *Aspergillus*. The Williams Wilkins Co., Baltimore.
- Salachna, P., Zawadzinska, A.2014. Effect of chitosan on plant growth, flowering and corms yield of potted Freesia. *J. of Ecological Engineering* Vol. 15 3: 97–102 DOI: 10.12911/22998993.1110223.
- Shah.,M., Masumb., M.d., Rahma-na., M. Ashraful, I.M. 2011. Preparation of Chitosan from Shrimp Shell and Investigation of Its Prop-erties. *International Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences IJBAS-IJENS*, 111, 116-130.
- Siddiquee, S. Rovina, K., Al Azad, S., Naher, L., Suryani, S., and Pasicha Chaikaew., P.2015. Heavy Metal Contaminants Removal from Waste Water using the potential Filamentous Fungi Biomass: a Review. *J Microb Biochem Technol.*, 7:384-393. doi:10.4172/1948-5948.1000243.
- Tangahu, B.V., Sheikh Abdullah, S.R., Basri, H., Idris, M., Nurina Anuar, N. and Muhammad Mukhlisin, M. 2011. A Review on Heavy Metals As, Pb, and Hg Uptake by Plants through Phytoremediation *International J. of Chemical Engineering*. Vol 2011, pp 31. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2011/939161>.
- Thulin, I. J., Will, G. M. and Bassett, C. 1958. A Pilot Trial of Soil Sterilisation in a Forest Nursery. *N.Z.J.F.* 75: 88-93.
- Tripathi, N., Choppala, G., Singh, R.S.2016. Evaluation of modified chitosan for remediation of zinc contaminated soils. *J. of Geochemical Exploration*.pp5.
- Tripathi, N., Choppala, G., Singh, R. S., Hills, C. D. 2018. Impact of modified chitosan on pore water bioavailability of zinc in contaminated soils. *J. of Geochemical Exploration* 186: 94–99.
- Trung, T.S, Thein-Han, W.W, Qui, N.T, Stevens WF. 2006. Functional characteristics of shrimp chitosan and its membranes as affected by the degree of deacetylation. *Bioresour Technol*, 974, 659-63.
- Ulfig, K. 2003. Studies of Keratinolytic and Keratinophilic Fungi in Sewage Sludge by Means of a Multi-Temperature Hair Baiting Method. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies* Vol. 12 4 : 461-466.
- United States Department of Agriculture USDA - NASS, Census of Agriculture 2013. - Census of Aquaculture Last conducted for 2005. https://www.agcensus.usda.gov/Publications/Census_of_Aquaculture.
- Valix M, Tang JY, Malik R. 2001. Heavy metal tolerance of fungi. *Miner Eng*, 14:499–505.
- Vieira, C., Morais, S., Ramos, S., Delerue-Matos, C. and Oliveira, M.B.P.P. 2011. Mercury, cadmium, lead and

- arsenic levels in three pelagic fish species from the Atlantic Ocean: intra- and inter-specific variability and human health risks for consumption. *J. Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 49, 923-932.
- Younes, I. and Marguerite Rinaudo M. 2015. Chitin and Chitosan Preparation from Marine Sources. Structure, Properties and Applications *Mar. Drugs* 2015, 13, 1133-1174; doi:10.3390/md13031133.
- Yuyong, H.; Zhiyu, C., Hong, W., Wei, L., Huadong, W. 2016. Pyrosequencing investigation into the influence of Cu², Zn², Fe² and I₂ mixtures on fungal diversity and toxigenic fungal growth in a fermented liquid feed. *J. Animal Nutrition* 2 : 51-56.
- Wang, G., Zhang, S., Xu, X., Zhong, Q., Zhang, C., Jia, Y., Li, T., Deng, O., Li, Y. 2016. Heavy metal removal by GLDA washing: Optimization, redistribution, recycling, and changes in soil fertility. *J. Sci Total Environ.* 1: 557-570. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.06.155.[Format Abst.]
- Xianghong, M., Lingyu, Y., John, F., Kennedy, T. 2010. Effects of chitosan and oligochitosan on growth of two fungal pathogens and physiological properties in pear fruit. *J. Carbohydrate Polymers.*, Vol. 10, pp. 83-84,.
