



RESEARCH ARTICLE

PROFILE OF HEPATITIS B VIRUS IN RELATION TO EDUCATIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL STATUS OF PATIENTS IN KARU, NASARAWA STATE OF NIGERIA

*Gberikon, G.M., Dahil, K.M. and Sar, T.T.

Department of Biological Sciences, Federal University of Agriculture, Makurdi

ARTICLE INFO

Article History:

Received 29th March, 2018
Received in revised form
05th April, 2018
Accepted 19th May, 2018
Published online 30th June, 2018

Key words:

Hepatitis B virus,
Profile,
Vaccination, Education,
Occupation.

ABSTRACT

Image classification has gained vital attention Investigations were carried out to determine Hepatitis B virus profile of patients in Karu, Karu Local Government Area of Nasarawa State, Nigeria. A total of three hundred and eighty four (384) blood samples comprising of two hundred and forty (240) male and one hundred and forty four (144) female were collected from patients using 5ml syringe and needle. The blood samples were dispensed into ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA) tubes. Each sample was centrifuged at 3000 revolution per minute for 5 minutes in a centrifuge to get the plasma. Three (3) drops of each sample (plasma) was dropped into the five sample wells and results were recorded in fifteen minutes. Results showed that the highest rate of infection was from those in secondary schools with 90(48.39%) followed by tertiary institution with 78 (41.93%). Those in the tertiary institution and secondary schools were more at risk with 12(40.00%) positive HBe Ag each with the least from the adult education and no any form of education 0(00.00%). There was significant difference ($p < 0.005$) with rate of hepatitis B virus infection between educated and uneducated individuals. Business individuals were more infected with 78(40.63%) followed by students 66(34.37%). Civil servants infected with HBV were 36(18.75%) and applicants 12(6.25%). There was no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) between business men and their non- business counterparts. Vaccination is important in the prevention of infection with this virus. Therefore mass immunization of those not vaccinated and enlightenment programs be encouraged through campaigns, seminars and workshops.

Copyright © 2018, Gberikon et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Gberikon, G.M., Dahil, K.M. and Sar, T.T. 2018. "Profile of Hepatitis B Virus in Relation to Educational and Occupational Status of Patients in Karu, Nasarawa State of Nigeria", *International Journal of Current Research*, 10, (06), 70370-70372.

INTRODUCTION

Hepatitis generally is the inflammation of the liver and it is mainly caused by viruses, auto-immune diseases, chemical agents and irradiation (Ochei and Kolhatkar, 2007). Eleven viruses are currently recognized as causing hepatitis. Two of these are herpes viruses (Cytomegalovirus and Epstein Barr virus) and nine are hepatropic viruses that specifically target liver hepatocytes (Willey et al., 2008). Conventionally, hepatitis refers to the disease caused by viruses which primarily affects the liver. This is mainly caused by hepatitis viruses which include hepatitis A, B, C, D, and E and hepatitis G and Transfusion Transmitted Virus (TTV) that were recently discovered and not properly characterized (Ochei and Kolhatkar, 2007; Willey et al., 2008).

*Corresponding author: Gberikon, G.M.,
Department of Biological Sciences, Federal University of Agriculture,
Makurdi

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.24941/ijcr.30368.06.2018>

Most people become infected at birth or through sexual means, vertical means (mother to child at birth), contact with body fluids such as blood and blood products during transfusion, and body fluids contaminated equipment (Baker et al., 2009; Falase and Akinkugbe, 2002; Willey et al., 2008). The virus can also pass through the placenta to the fetus of an infected mother and through other means such as saliva, sweat, semen, breast milk, urine, faeces (Falase and Akinkugbe, 2002; Willey et al., 2008). Blood and other body fluids can be infectious by mouth; the virus infecting the recipient through small scratches or abrasions (Nester et al., 2009). It is also the most frequently reported laboratory acquired viral infection, especially in people working in clinical laboratories and with blood (Willey et al., 2008). Some authors called it an occupational disease among medical and dental personnel (Grist and Mandel, 1986; Lozano et al., 2010). The disease could be symptomatic or asymptomatic. Half of the people infected are asymptomatic. However, symptoms normally appear 1-4 months after contact in those that are symptomatic (Willey et al., 2008).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample Size: With a population of 205,477; confidence level = 95; confidence interval = 5. The sample size = 383(Raosoft.com software).

Sample collection: Samples of 5ml of blood were collected from each patient using a 5ml syringe and needle. It was dispensed into ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) tubes. Blood samples were centrifuged at 3000 revolutions for five minutes. The plasma was dispensed into clean, labeled tubes using Pasteur pipette and was immediately subjected to analysis

Sample analysis: The reagent and stored samples were brought to room temperature (27⁰C). The pouch at the notch was removed from the device. The test device was placed on a clean, flat surface and labeled with the respective specimen's number. The plasma was taken from the tubes using a dropper; 2-3 drops (60-90µl) into each of the sample wells ensuring no bubbles. The buffer was added to specimen that did not flow within thirty seconds to the result window. The timer was set and results were recorded within fifteen minutes. This analysis was carried out according to manufacturer's instructions.

Questionnaires: Questionnaires were used to seek information from every client with respect to occupational and educational status (primary education, secondary education, tertiary education, adult education and no any form of education).

Statistical analysis: The POSHOC for multiple comparison at 95% level of confidence using Excel 2001 was used.

DISCUSSION

Results from the analyses of hepatitis B virus infection based on level of education showed that rate of infection was low among the educated and high among the uneducated. This could be due to the fact that their high educational status exposed the educated ones to enlightenment programs that broaden their horizons on the dangers of this virus. This is in agreement with the study of Wang *et al.* (2002) that the higher the educational status of an individual, the lower the rate of infection with hepatitis B virus. This is also in agreement with a study among pregnant women in Eastern Nigeria where it was found that the prevalence of this virus decreased with increase in educational and social status of the women (Emechebe *et al.*, 2009).

Those susceptible to the infection could be as a result of lack of exposure to programs that will enlighten them on measures to adapt in the prevention of this virus, or might have acquired the virus from childhood or due to close contact with the infected clients or other means of transmission as opined by Cheesbrough (2004); Lucas and Gilles (2009); Nester *et al.* (2009); Willey *et al.* (2008). Statistically, there was significant difference ($p < 0.05$) between rate of infection among the educated and the uneducated. The rate of infection was also high in business individuals than their non-business counterparts, this may be attributed to the fact that they are prone to travelling, and if they are not vaccinated interaction with business partners who are infected and are not vaccinated will put them at risk and subsequently they may become infected as opined by Lucas *et al.* (2009). There was no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) in rate of infection between business individuals and their non-business counterparts.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1. Hepatitis B Virus Profile Based on Educational Status of Patients in Karu

HBs Ag HBe Ag HBs Ab HBe Ab HBc Ab

| ES | N(%) | P(%) | N(%) | P(%) | N(%) | P(%) | N(%) | P(%) | N(%) | P(%) |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------|------------|-----------|----------|------------|------------|-----------|
| No any form (6) | 0(0.00) | 6(3.23) | 6(1.69) | 0(0.00) | 6(1.75) | 0(0.00) | 0(0.00) | 6(2.56) | 6(2.70) | 0(0.00) |
| Adult (6) | 6(3.03) | 0(0.00) | 6(1.69) | 0(0.00) | 6(1.75) | 0(0.00) | 0(0.00) | 6(2.56) | 0(0.00) | 6(3.70) |
| Primary (36) | 24(12.12) | 12(6.45) | 0(8.48) | 6(20.00) | 30(8.78) | 6(14.29) | 6(4.00) | 30(12.82) | 12(5.40) | 24(14.82) |
| Secondary (168) | 78(39.39) | 90(48.39) | 156(44.07) | 12(40) | 162(47.37) | 6(14.29) | 90(60) | 78(33.34) | 102(45.95) | 66(40.74) |
| Tertiary (168) | 90(45.46) | 78(41.93) | 156(44.07) | 12(40) | 138(40.35) | 30(71.42) | 54(36) | 114(48.72) | 102(45.95) | 66(40.74) |
| Total (384) | 198(100) | 186(100) | 354(100) | 30(100) | 342(100) | 42(100) | 150(100) | 234(100) | 222(100) | 162(100) |

$F_{cal} = 3.08$. ($P < 0.05$) Significant at 0.05 level of significance.

Key
HBsAg = Hepatitis B surface antibody; HBeAg = Hepatitis B enzyme antigen; HBsAb = Hepatitis B surface antibody; HBeAb = Hepatitis B enzyme antibody; HBcAb Hepatitis B core antibody; ES = Educational status, N = Negative, P = positive

Table 2. Hepatitis B Virus Profile Based on Occupational Status of Patients in Karu

| OSN | HBsAg HBeAg HBsAb HBeAb HbcAb | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|-------------------------------|-----------|------------|----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | (%) | P(%) | N(%) | P(%) | N(%) | P(%) | N(%) | P(%) | N(%) | P(%) |
| CS (114) | 78(40.62) | 36(18.75) | 114(32.20) | 0(0.00) | 96(28.07) | 18(42.86) | 90(44.12) | 24(13.33) | 54(24.32) | 60(37.04) |
| BS (126) | 48(25.00) | 78(40.63) | 120(33.90) | 6(20.00) | 120(35.09) | 6(14.29) | 66(32.35) | 60(33.33) | 84(37.84) | 42(25.93) |
| APP (30) | 18(9.38) | 12(6.25) | 30(8.48) | 0(0.00) | 18(5.26) | 12(28.57) | 18(8.82) | 12(6.67) | 12(5.41) | 18(11.11) |
| ST (114) | 48(25.00) | 66(34.37) | 90(25.42) | 24(80) | 108(31.58) | 6(14.29) | 30(14.71) | 84(46.67) | 72(32.43) | 42(25.93) |
| Total (384) | 192(100) | 192(100) | 354(100) | 30(100) | 342(100) | 42(100) | 204(100) | 180(100) | 222(100) | 162(100) |

$F_{cal} = 2.20$. $f_{value} = 3.03$. Significant at 0.05 level ($P > 0.05$).

Key: HBsAg = Hepatitis B surface antigen; HBeAg = Hepatitis B enzyme antigen; HBsAb = Hepatitis B antibody; HBeAg = Hepatitis B enzyme antibody; HBcAb Hepatitis B core antibody; CS = Civil servants; BS = Business; APP = Applicants; ST = Student; OS = Occupational status, N = Negative, P = Positive

Conclusion

Based on the analysis carried out from this study, it was concluded that Hepatitis B virus infection rate was low among the highly educated and highest among businessmen with respect to occupational status. HBV can be transmitted from infected to uninfected individuals, therefore people from all walks of life should be vaccinated.

REFERENCES

- Falase A. O and Akinkugbe O.O. 2002. *A compendium of clinical medicine* Second Ed. Spectrum Books Limited. Pp976- 978.
- Baker, F.J; Silvertown R.E; Pallister, C.J. 2009. *Introduction to Medical Laboratory Technology*. 7th -edition, reprinted Published in Nigeria under license by Bounty Press Limited. P329.
- Cheesbrough, M. 2004. *District Laboratory Practice in Tropical countries*. Reprinted edition. United Kingdom, Press Syndicate of the University of Cambridge. Pp433-434.
- Emechebe, G.O., Emodi, I.J., Ikefuna, A.N., Ilechukwu G.C., Igwe W.C., Ejiofor, O.S and Ilechukwu, C.A. 2009. Hepatitis B virus infection in Nigeria- A Review. *Nigeria Medical Journal*. 50:18-22
- Grist N.R and Mandel B.K 1986. Recent advances in the prevention of hepatitis B virus infection. *Journal of infection*.1 (3):71-78
- Lozano A., Naghavi M., Foreman K., Lim S., Shibuya K., Aboyams V., Abraham J. 2010. Global and Regional mortality from 234 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010. *Lancet*. 380: 2095- 2128.
- Lucas A. O.and Gilles H. M. 2009. *Short Textbook of Public Health Medicine for the Tropics*.
- Nester, W. E., Denise, G. A., Roberts, C. E. Jr., Nester, M.T. 2009. *Microbiology*(A-human perspective) 6th edition, New York, McGraw- Hill. PP606-608.
- Raosoft.com (2014). A calculator Revised 4th edition, London. PP 57-58.
- Wang, S., Chang, T., Yao, W., Chou, P 2002. Comparism of HBV and hepatitis C (HCV) prevalence and risk factors in a community- based study in Southern Taiwan's A-LELA township. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene*; 66(4). 389-393.
- Willey,J. M., Sherwood, M., Woolverton, C. J. 2008. *Microbiology*. 7th Edition, New York, McGraw-Hill Companies. 100pp.
